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# Introduction

This email discussion targets to discuss the Rel-16 eMTC RRM core part maintenance and test cases.

Candidates target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round:

* 1st round:
  + Discuss the remaining open issues on Rel-16 eMTC RRM core parts
  + Review the test cases for Rel-16 eMTC RRM requirements.
* 2nd round:
  + Agree with the correction CR(s) for Rel-16 eMTC RRM core requirements.
  + Agree with the test cases for Rel-16 eMTC RRM requirements.

# Topic #1: RRM Core requirements maintenance

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2015778 | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: For non-DRX in Connected mode and rmax\*G >= 80ms case, the RSS measurement period is defined as Max(rmax\*G, TRSS ) x N.  Proposal 2: Update the RSS measurement condition related to MG to “There are at least 2 consecutive RSS subframes available outside measurement gaps (if configured) in the window of [n-6, n-2]”.  Proposal 3: Send LS to ask RAN2 to remove RSRQ evaluation in S criterion if the cell is measured based on RSS.  Proposal 4: Add another condition for RSS based measurement for Connected mode that RSRQ is not configured as trigger quantity or report quantity for intra-frequency measurement.  Proposal 5: UE performs neighbor cell RSS measurement in the radio frame w.r.t. neighbor cell timing that is closest to the derived serving cell radio frame offset.  Proposal 6: For neighbour cell RSS measurement, UE may assume the BL/CE DL subframe configuration of neighbor cells is same as serving cell.  Proposal 7: For eMTC in Inactive mode, the Idle mode requirements apply except   * The WUS and PUR requirement do not apply * The reselection requirements for eDRX, which should be defined without considering PTW and considering the new DRX cycles of 5.12s and 10.24s   Observation: RSRQ is used in S criterion that is used for cell selection and cell reselection.  Observation: The derived radio frame offset for neighbour cell RSS according to 36.331 should be w.r.t. serving cell timing. |
| R4-2016141 | Ericsson | * Not see any particular reason to distinguish between the eDRX requirements in IDLE and INACTIVE states. * For all the requirements that do apply for UEs in RRC\_INACTIVE state and are identical to those in RRC\_IDLE state |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1

Remaining issues on RSS measurement requirements

**Issue 1-1-1: RSS measurement period**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: For non-DRX in Connected mode and rmax\*G >= 80ms case, the RSS measurement period is defined as Max(rmax\*G, TRSS) x N
  + Option 2:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion.

**Issue 1-1-2: Time relation between MG and RSS**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Update the RSS measurement condition related to MG to “There are at least 2 consecutive RSS subframes available outside measurement gaps (if configured) in the window of [n-6, n-2]”
  + Option 2:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion

**Issue 1-1-3: RSS-based RSRQ measurements in IDLE mode**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Define RSS based RSRQ measurement
  + Option 2: Remove Squal > 0 in S criterion if the cell is measured based on RSS.
    - Send LS to ask RAN2 to remove RSRQ evaluation in S criterion if the cell is measured based on RSS.
  + Option 3:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion

**Issue 1-1-4: RSS-based RSRQ measurement in CONNECTED mode**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Add another condition for RSS based measurement for Connected mode that RSRQ is not configured as trigger quantity or report quantity for intra-frequency measurement
  + Option 2:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion

**Issue 1-1-5: Measurement timing of RSS in neighbor cell**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: UE takes the derived serving cell radio frame offset for measuring the neighbor cell. This means RSS measurement requirements apply when frame timing between serving and neighbour cell are aligned, e.g. within 3us.
  + Option 2: UE performs neighbor cell RSS measurement in the radio frame w.r.t. neighbor cell timing that is closest to the derived serving cell radio frame offset.
  + Option 3:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion

**Issue 1-1-6: Assumption of BL/CE DL subframe configuration for RSS measurements in the neighbor cell**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Serving cell provides the BL/CE DL subframe configuration of each neighbor cell to be measured with RSS
  + Option 2: UE assumes BL/CE DL subframe configuration of each neighbor cell is same as serving cell
  + Option 3:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion

### Sub-topic 1-2

eMTC measurement requirements in RRC\_INACTIVE

**Issue 1-2-1: eMTC measurement requirements in RRC\_INACTIVE**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: eMTC IDLE mode requirements apply except:
    - WUS and PUR requirements
    - Reselection requirements for eDRX, which should be defined without considering PTW and considering the new DRX cycles of 5.12s and 10.24s
  + Option 2: All the requirements that do apply for UEs in RRC\_INACTIVE state and are identical to those in RRC\_IDLE state
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1-1:  Sub topic 1-1-2:  Sub topic 1-1-3:  Sub topic 1-1-4:  Sub topic 1-1-5:  Sub topic 1-1-6:  Sub topic 1-2-1:  ….  Others: |
| Ericsson | Sub topic 1-1-1: Support option 1.  Sub topic 1-1-2: We don’t think it is necessary. This condition limits the RSS scheduling flexibility on the network.  Sub topic 1-1-3: In our understanding, the reason RAN1 does not apply RSS to RSRQ measurements is because of the very limited bandwidth of RSS. We don’t want to change RAN1 specification. On the other hand, we understand RAN2 cell selection criterion S requires RSRQ measurement results in RRC\_IDLE.  Since the both options require to change the spec (e.g., TS36.214 for option 1 and TS36.304 for option 2) but the core part has already completed, we are wondering if we take alternative way e.g., adding applicability in RAN4 spec. We need to think this issue carefully.  Sub topic 1-1-4: Similar comment to 1-1-3; we need to think this issue carefully.  Sub topic 1-1-5: We don’t think such a clarification is necessary, because UE should know the exact timing of the measured neighboring cell at this stage.  Sub topic 1-1-6: we understand the issue. Option 1 requires the RAN2 signaling updates and we don’t want it because the core part has completed.  We are ok with option 2, but we would like to make sure this assumption is applicable only when UE performs the RSS measurements in the neighboring cell. This means UE should NOT assume the BL/CE DL subframe configuration of the neighboring cell is same when UE does NOT perform RSS measurement in the neighboring cell.  Sub topic 1-2-1:  We have confirmed RAN2 agreed PUR/WUS is not applicable for eMTC with RRC\_INACTIVE. We are fine to exclude them.  As we discussed in R4-2016141, we do not see any reason to distinguish between the eDRX requirements in IDLE and INACTIVE states.  For the requirements, we prefer to specify the requirements one by one as same approach as normal LTE UE like TS36.133 clause 4A.1 to avoid confusion. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2015779 (Huawei, HiSilicon) | Ericsson: It depends on the conclusion from issues 1-1. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2015780 (Huawei, HiSilicon) | Ericsson: Need more discussion in the applicability of the requirements in RRM\_INACTIVE (Issue 2-1) |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2016142 (Ericsson) | Ericsson: Need more discussion in the applicability of the requirements in RRM\_INACTIVE (Issue 2-1) |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2016143 (Ericsson) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2016547 (Qualcomm) | Ericsson: Need more discussion in the applicability of the requirements in RRM\_INACTIVE (Issue 2-1) |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2016587 (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: RRM Performance requirements

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2015841 | Ericsson | Proposal 1: Introduce new Out-of-synch test cases for MPDDCH performance improvement with FD-FDD/HD-FDD/TDD for BL UE CE Mode A.  Proposal 2: Introduce new Early out-of-synch test cases for MPDDCH performance improvement with FD-FDD/HD-FDD/TDD for BL UE CE Mode B.  Proposal 3: Set SNR2/SNR3 1dB lower compared with the existing out-of-synch/early out-of-synch test cases. |
| R4-2016144 | Ericsson | Proposal: Serving cell measurement relaxation test is introduced only for normal coverage |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1

Test case design

**Issue 2-1-1: Test scope of RLM with MPDCCH performance improvement**

* Proposals
  + Option 1:
    - Introduce new Out-of-synch test cases for MPDDCH performance improvement with FD-FDD/HD-FDD/TDD for BL UE CE Mode A
    - Introduce new Early out-of-synch test cases for MPDDCH performance improvement with FD-FDD/HD-FDD/TDD for BL UE CE Mode B
  + Option 2:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion.

**Issue 2-1-2: Test point of RLM with MPDCCH performance improvement**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Set SNR2/SNR3 1dB lower compared with the existing out-of-synch/early out-of-synch test cases
  + Option 2:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion.

**Issue 2-1-3: Serving cell measurement relaxation test**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Serving cell measurement relaxation test is introduced only for normal coverage
  + Option 2:
* Recommended WF
  + Need discussion.

**Issue 2-1-4: Review the test cases**

* Directly provide comments, if any, in section 2.3.2.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1-1:  Sub topic 2-1-2:  Sub topic 2-1-3:  ….  Others: |
| Ericsson | Sub topic 2-1-1: Support Option 1.  Sub topic 2-1-2: Support Option 1.  Sub topic 2-1-3: Support Option 1. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2015781 (Huawei, HiSilicon) | Ericsson: Need to sort out the subclause number. Since Ericsson CR (R4-2016145) uses A.4.2.41-43, we suggest this CR uses subclause number from A.4.2.44 if Huawei is ok. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2015842 (Ericsson) | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2016145 (Ericsson) | Moderator: It should be draft CR. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2016552 (Qualcomm) | Moderator: It should be draft CR. |
| Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |