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# Introduction

This email discussion focuses on NR-U BS RF requirements (AI 7.1.4). Following sub-AIs are covered in this discussion:

7.1.4 BS RF requirements [NR\_unlic-Core] – 6 Tdocs submitted

7.1.4.1 Transmitter characteristics [NR\_unlic-Core] – 1 Tdocs submitted

7.1.4.2 Receiver characteristics [NR\_unlic-Core] – 3 Tdocs submitted

There are 3 Topics proposed to be discussed under this summary:

* **Topic #1:** CRs with NR-U introduction to specifications
  + Issue 1-1: CRs to TS 38.104
  + Issue 1-2: CR to TS 37.107
  + Issue 1-3: CRs to TS 36.104
  + Issue 1-4: CR to TS 37.104
  + Issue 1-5: CR to TS 37.105
* **Topic #2:** Details of NR-U BS transmitter requirements
  + Issue 2-1: Details of NR-U BS transmitter requirements
* **Topic #3:** Details of NR-U BS receiver requirements
  + Issue 3-1: Discussion on BS core specification drafting
  + Issue 3-2: NR-U BS RX ACS, IBB, OOBB, IMD requirements

# Topic #1: CRs with NR-U introduction to specifications

CRs with introduction of NR-U feature for respective specification are discussed under this topic #1. CR R4-2010961 was submitted to sub agenda 7.1.4.2 but moderator moved it to set of other CRs.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| **R4-2010738**  (CR to TS 38.104: Introduction of NR-U into BS core specification) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | This is running Big CR with introduction of NR-U requirements to BS core specification TS 38.104.  Following changes were made compare to big CR after RAN4#95e meeting (R4-2008762):  - Latest version of specification (v16.4.0) is used  - Inclusion of values for Rx requirements: Reference sensitivity, Dynamic range, In-channel sensitivity according as captured in R4-2008694  - Introduction of the requirements for a 6 GHz band for NR-U (band n96). |
| **R4-2010739**  (CR to TS 37.107 with introduction of NR-U feature – core part) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | This CR introduces NR-U feature to specification TS 37.107. Changes are introduced to core part. |
| **R4-2010962**  (CR to 36.104: Introduction of Band n46 in 36.104) | ZTE Corporation | Introduction of Band n46 in 36.104 |
| **R4-2011409**  (CR to 36.104: Introduction of NR-U co-existence requirements) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Introduction on NR-U co-existence requirements. |
| **R4-2011410**  (CR to 37.104: Introduction of NR-U co-existence requirements) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Introduction on NR-U co-existence requirements. |
| **R4-2011411**  (CR to 37.105: Introduction of NR-U co-existence requirements) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Introduction on NR-U co-existence requirements. |
| **R4-2010961**  (CR to 38.104: Introduction of NR-U BS RX requirement into TS38.104) | ZTE Corporation | CR adds NR-U BS RX requirement. |

Submitted CRs for respective specification:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Specification** | **CR Tdoc** |
| 38.104 | R4-2010738  R4-2010961 |
| 37.107 | R4-2010739 |
| 36.104 | R4-2010962  R4-2011409 |
| 37.104 | R4-2011410 |
| 37.105 | R4-2011411 |

## Open issues summary

Below submitted CR are split for given issue according specifications.

### Sub-topic 1-1

It should be noted that big CR to TS 38.104 may require additional corrections or complements when discussion some details in topic #2 (BS Tx requirements) and topic #3 (BS Rx requirements).

**Issue 1-1: CRs to TS 38.104**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: to agreed R4-2010738 (big CR)
  + Option 2: to agreed R4-2010961 (focused on BS Rx part only)
  + Option 3: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 1-2

**Issue 1-2: CR to TS 37.107**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: to agreed R4-2010739
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 1-3

**Issue 1-3: CRs to TS 36.104**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: to agreed R4-2010962
  + Option 2: to agreed R4-2011409
  + Option 3: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 1-4

**Issue 1-4: CR to TS 37.104**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: to agreed R4-2011410
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

### Sub-topic 1-5

**Issue 1-5: CR to TS 37.105**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: to agreed R4-2011411
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1:  Sub topic 1-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2010738  CR to 38.104 | Huawei: The discussion on 6GHz band should wait for the conclusion of email thread 106. |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2010739  CR to 37.107 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2010962  CR to 36.104 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2011409  CR to 36.104 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2011410  CR to 37.104 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2011411  CR to 37.105 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2010961  CR to 38.104 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: Details of NR-U BS transmitter requirements

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| **R4-2010959**  (Discussion on NR-U BS Tx requirements) | ZTE Corporation | Observation 1: even considering higher maximum output power for NR-U BS type 1-H, ED threshold setting could still alleviate the interference radiated by NR-U BS type 1-H to neighbour APs correspondingly;  Proposal 1: Prated,C,AC used in WF [4] and CR [3] should be updated as Prated,x;  Proposal 2: For NR-U BS type 1-C, to reuse the OBUE offset 10MHz and ΔfOOB offset 20MHz from LAA;  Proposal 3: to reuse OBUE offset 40MHz and ΔfOOB offset 60MHz of NR BS type 1-H for NR-U BS type 1-H at band n46;  Proposal 4 : to remove LO leakage exception requirements for NR-U BS. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1

**Issue 2-1: Details of NR-U BS transmitter requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: To agree respective proposals:
    - Proposal 1: Prated,C,AC used in WF [4] and CR [3] should be updated as Prated,x;
    - Proposal 2: For NR-U BS type 1-C, to reuse the OBUE offset 10MHz and ΔfOOB offset 20MHz from LAA;
    - Proposal 3: to reuse OBUE offset 40MHz and ΔfOOB offset 60MHz of NR BS type 1-H for NR-U BS type 1-H at band n46;
    - Proposal 4: to remove LO leakage exception requirements for NR-U BS.
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1: |
| Huawei | Sub topic 2-1:  We do not agree on P2 and P4. For band n46, the band is larger than 200 MHz, hence we need to adopt 40 MHz offset for TX and 60 MHz for RX even for BS type 1-C. On the LO leakage the exception may be needed for punctured channel mask. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

1. Topic #3: Details of NR-U BS receiver requirements

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

* 1. Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| **R4-2010743**  (Discussion on BS core specification drafting) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Proposal: It is proposed to use option 1 for introduction of 60 kHz SCS Rx requirements that are based on legacy NR FRCs i.e. Option 1) Have NR-U Rx 60 kHz requirements in 2 places in specification (once in nominal NR tables and once in NR-U tables). |
| **R4-2010960**  (NR-U BS RX ACS, IBB, OOBB, IMD requirements) | ZTE Corporation | Proposal 1: to use the following frequency offset for ACS interfering signal in Table 7.4.1.2-2a;  Proposal 2: for NR-U BS 1-C, it should reuse interfering signal and freq offset of LAA BS IBB/OOBB requirement;  Proposal 3: for NR-U BS 1-H, ΔfOOB could be defined as 60MHz.  Proposal 4: to use the following frequency offset for RX IMD interfering signal in Table 7.7.2-2a; |
| **R4-2010961**  (CR to 38.104: Introduction of NR-U BS RX requirement into TS38.104) | ZTE Corporation | NR-U BS RX requirements are not defined in TS38.104 and propose to add this feature.  CR is adding NR-U BS Rx requirement to TS 38.104. |

* 1. Open issues summary
     1. Sub-topic 3-1

**Issue 3-1: Discussion on BS core specification drafting**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: To agree option 1 for introduction of 60 kHz SCS Rx requirements that are based on legacy NR FRCs i.e. Option 1) Have NR-U Rx 60 kHz requirements in 2 places in specification (once in nominal NR tables and once in NR-U tables).
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA
    1. Sub-topic 3-2

**Issue 3-2: NR-U BS RX ACS, IBB, OOBB, IMD requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: To agreed respective proposals:
    - Proposal 1: to use the following frequency offset for ACS interfering signal in Table 7.4.1.2-2a;
    - Proposal 2: for NR-U BS 1-C, it should reuse interfering signal and freq offset of LAA BS IBB/OOBB requirement;
    - Proposal 3: for NR-U BS 1-H, ΔfOOB could be defined as 60MHz.
    - Proposal 4: to use the following frequency offset for RX IMD interfering signal in Table 7.7.2-2a;
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA
  1. Companies views’ collection for 1st round
     1. Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1:  Sub topic 2-2:  ….  Others: |
| Huawei | Sub topic 3-1: Discussion on BS core specification drafting  Separate table for NR-U is preferred.  Sub topic 3-2: NR-U BS RX ACS, IBB, OOBB, IMD requirements  Comment to P2, even for NR-U BS 1-C, ΔfOOB should also be 60 MHz due to the large band width. |
|  |  |

* + 1. CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

* 1. Summary for 1st round
     1. Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

* + 1. CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

* 1. Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)
  2. Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |