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# Introduction

This email thread discusses the RRM performance part for Tx switching between two uplink carriers in agenda 7.11.3.

List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round:

* 1st round: Invite companies to review the recommended WF in each sub-topic, and provide comments.
* 2nd round: TBA

# Topic #1: Test case

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2011114 | Huawei, Hisilicon | Proposal: Two test cases shall be define to verify the interruption due to UE dynamic switching between two uplink carriers:1. DL Interruptions at UE switching between LTE 1Tx carrier and NR 2Tx carrier in inter-band ENDC case

Herein the interruptions on victim LTE serving cells and victim NR serving cells are both verified.-Test configurations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Config | Description |
| 1 | LTE FDD, NR 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode |
| 2 | LTE FDD, NR 30kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode |
| Note : The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations. |

-UE antenna configuration

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PCell (LTE carrier 1) | 1x2 |
| PSCell (NR carrier 2) | 2x2 |

1. DL Interruptions at UE switching between NR uplink carrier 1 and NR uplink carrier 2 in inter-band uplink CA case

-Test configurations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Config | Description |
| 1 | NR carrier 1 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| 2 | NR carrier 1 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| Note: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations |

-UE antenna configuration

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PCell (NR carrier 1) | 1x2 |
| PSCell (NR carrier 2) | 2x2 |

 |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1: Test case list

**Issue 1-1-1: Test case for no DL interruption**

* Background

It is agreed in [R4-2002815] that there is no DL interruption in the following cases:

* + SUL+TDD
	+ TDD+TDD CA with the same UL-DL pattern
	+ TDD+TDD EN-DC with the same UL-DL pattern

The corresponding core requirements are descripted in TS38.133 as below,

“No DL interruption is allowed in the NR downlink carrier(s) which is not indicated by *uplinkTxSwitching-DL-Interruption.*”

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: No test cases are defined for the above cases.
* Recommended WF
	+ Is option 1 agreeable?

**Issue 1-1-2: Test case list for Tx switching between two uplink carriers**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Two test cases shall be define to verify the interruption due to UE dynamic switching between two uplink carriers:
1. DL Interruptions at UE switching between LTE 1Tx carrier and NR 2Tx carrier in inter-band ENDC case
2. DL Interruptions at UE switching between NR uplink carrier 1 and NR uplink carrier 2 in inter-band uplink CA case
* Recommended WF
	+ Two test cases shall be define to verify the interruption due to UE dynamic switching between two uplink carriers:
1. DL Interruptions at UE switching between LTE 1Tx carrier and NR 2Tx carrier in inter-band ENDC case
2. DL Interruptions at UE switching between NR uplink carrier 1 and NR uplink carrier 2 in inter-band uplink CA case

### Sub-topic 1-2: Test case for DL Interruptions at UE switching between LTE 1Tx carrier and NR 2Tx carrier in inter-band ENDC case

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: DL Interruptions at UE switching between LTE 1Tx carrier and NR 2Tx carrier in inter-band ENDC case, herein the interruptions on victim LTE serving cells and victim NR serving cells are both verified.

-Test configurations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Config | Description |
| 1 | LTE FDD, NR 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode |
| 2 | LTE FDD, NR 30kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode |
| Note : The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations. |

-UE antenna configuration

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PCell (LTE carrier 1) | 1x2 |
| PSCell (NR carrier 2) | 2x2 |

* Recommended WF
	+ Is option 1 agreeable?

### Sub-topic 1-3: Test case for DL Interruptions at UE switching between NR uplink carrier 1 and NR uplink carrier 2 in inter-band uplink CA case

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Test case for DL Interruptions at UE switching between NR uplink carrier 1 and NR uplink carrier 2 in inter-band uplink CA case:

-Test configurations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Config | Description |
| 1 | NR carrier 1 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| 2 | NR carrier 1 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| Note: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations |

-UE antenna configuration

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PCell (NR carrier 1) | 1x2 |
| PSCell (NR carrier 2) | 2x2 |

* Recommended WF
	+ Is option 1 agreeable?

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1Issue 1-1-1: Issue 1-1-2:Sub topic 1-2: Sub topic 1-3: |
| China Telecom | Sub topic 1-1Issue 1-1-1: Ok with the recommended WF.Issue 1-1-2: Ok with the recommended WF.Sub topic 1-2: Ok with the recommended WF.Sub topic 1-3: Suggest to cover the typical scenario of FDD 15kHz + TDD 30Hz SCS. Maybe we can update config. 2 as follows?NR carrier 1 ***15*** kHz SSB SCS, ***10*** MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| MTK | **Issue 1-1-1: Test case for no DL interruption**Support the WF**Issue 1-1-2: Test case list for Tx switching between two uplink carriers** Support the WF**Sub-topic 1-2**Only config 2 is needed. Typically, carrier 1 is located at low band and carrier 2 is located at high band which is TDD. Therefore 30KHz makes more sense.**Sub-topic 1-3**Perhaps we only need one test case for NR carrier 1: 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2: 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode;**To CMCC**: We may need to study a bit about the testability if we carrier 1 is on a TDD band. If the switch happens during 2 UL slots of both carriers, then actually there is no DL slot interrupted by the switch. **To all**: We may also need to study on how to design the test case in order to check this symbol-level interruption. If the interruption collides with beginning of a DL slot which is used for PDCCH, then it will make the whole slot useless. Therefore, it is better to arrange the interruption happens only at the end of a DL slot.  |
| CMCC | **Issue 1-1-1: Test case for no DL interruption**Agree with the recommended WF**Issue 1-1-2: Test case list for Tx switching between two uplink carriers**Agree with the recommended WFSub-topic 1-2In the table of test configurations, the note says UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations. Since test 1 and test 2 has different SCS, which has different interruption time. In our view, both of them should be tested. Also if we test one of them, which one should be chosen if UE supports both.Sub-topic 1-3For the test configurations, we propose to add config 3 and 4 in the following table. We propose TDD band combinations for switching in this meeting. And TDD CA combinations can have different DL/UL patterns which will cause interruption. For config 1 and 2, we are OK to remove them if no other companies support to have them.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Config | Description |
| 1 | NR carrier 1 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| 2 | NR carrier 1 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| 3 | NR carrier 1 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| 4 | NR carrier 1 30 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| Note: The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations |

Also, we propose to further clarify the note. We prefer to test all the configurations. And if it is proposed to test one of them, which one should be tested?  |
| Huawei | Issue 1-1-1: support the recommended WF.Issue 1-1-2: support the recommended WF.Sub-topic 2-1: to MTK, we also think configuration 2 is typical. Could we only have config.2 in this test case? @China Telecom @CMCCSub-topic 1-3: as commented by China Telecom, MTK and CMCC, the configuration can be modified as below,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Config | Description |
| 1 | NR carrier 1 15 kHz SSB SCS, 10 MHz bandwidth, FDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |
| 2 | NR carrier 1 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode;NR carrier 2 30 kHz SSB SCS, 40 MHz bandwidth, TDD duplex mode; |

For the note “The UE is only required to be tested in one of the supported test configurations”, this is a general applicability principle for all the test cases in RRM. The motivation of adding this note is to reduce the test numbers. Herein we gave an example, in the interruption test (A.6.5.2), we agree that there is different interruption length for different SCS, but the note is still here. We suggest the same principle is applied for FR1 WI. |
| vivo | Sub. 1-1-1: ok with the recommended WF.Sub. 1-1-2: ok with the recommended WF.Sub. 1-2 We agree with MTK that only one case (here is configuration 2) is enoughSub. 1-3, Agree with Huawei’s latest comments. The note should be kept.  |
| Intel | Issue 1-1-1: option 1 is OK.Issue 1-1-2: recommended WF looks good.Sub-topic 1-2: since UE only needs to pass 1 test, we see no harm to introduce 2 tests. However, if majority prefer to keep config 2 only, we are also fine. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| N/A | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |