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# Introduction

This is the email discussion summary for RAN4#94e\_#84\_OTA\_BS\_testing on OTA BS testing WI, with the following topics covered:

* Topic 1: general issues
* Topic 2: Measurement uncertainty derivation
* Topic 3: Text proposals to the TR 37.941

Conclusion of the first round should aim to decide if these TPs can be agreed or need to be revised.

*List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round*

* 1st round: TBA
* 2nd round: TBA

# Topic #1: general issues

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001806 | Huawei | Work-plan for the OTA BS testing WI  This contribution provides description of the work-plan for the TR creation. |
| R4-2001807 | Huawei | Skeleton for TR 37.941 on OTA BS testing, Rel-15  This contribution is for approval. |
| R4-2001823 | Huawei | Big TP for TR 37.941, Rel-15  This is the placeholder for the final version of the OTA BS testing TR for Rel-15, which is to be drafted based on the skeleton and TPs submitted and agreed during this e-meeting. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1: TBA**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: TBA
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1:  Sub topic 1-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001807 | Moderator: Skeleton for agreement. |
| ZTE: For 11 and 12, I assume there is no need to differentiate in-band and out-of-band TRP measurement at least in this CR. One concern is as currently discussed 23.6--24GHz is in-band for band n258 but out-of-band for band n257. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001823 | Moderator: placeholder for the TPs to be agreed during this e-meeting. To be revised. |
| Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| R4-2001807 | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |
| R4-2001823 |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: Measurement uncertainty derivation

This topic is focused on the Excel spreadsheets for the MU and TT derivation for multiple requirements types. Those Excel spreadsheets are inputs to the related TP captured in topic #3.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001699 | Huawei | OTA BS testing Tx FR1 MU calculation tables  This contribution provides an Excel spreadsheet for the Tx FR1 MU values derivation, including corrections of errors and inconsistencies. This contribution if for Approval. |
| R4-2001700 | Huawei | OTA BS testing Tx FR2 MU calculation tables  This contribution provides an Excel spreadsheet for the Tx FR2 MU values derivation, including corrections of errors and inconsistencies. This contribution if for Approval. |
| R4-2001701 | Huawei | OTA BS testing RX FR1 MU calculation tables  This contribution provides an Excel spreadsheet for the Rx FR1 MU values derivation, including corrections of errors and inconsistencies. This contribution if for Approval. |
| R4-2001702 | Huawei | OTA BS testing FR1 co-location MU calculation tables  This contribution provides an Excel spreadsheet for the Rx FR1 MU values derivation, including corrections of errors and inconsistencies. This contribution if for Approval. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1: TBA**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: TBA
  + Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1:  Sub topic 1-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001699 | Ericsson: Can we round the values to 2 decimal places? I doubt the accuracy of the work is having more than that. |
| Keysight: There is an error in spreadsheet. Corrected version is uploaded with “Keysight” name appended in name. where correction done is yellow highlighted. Which is EIRP tab K55 which referred by K68, and summary tab H5 column. |
| R4-2001700 | Ericsson: The distribution is different in each table. i.e. Rectangular vs. Rect. Can this be aligned for consistency? |
| Company B |
| R4-2001701 | Ericsson: Under “TE” tab there is a co-location table, this should belong with co-location MU Excel sheet. |
|  | Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
|  | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |
|  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #3: Text proposals to the TR 37.941

TPs to TR 37.941 are captured in this topic.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001808 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: Scope |
| R4-2001809 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: general sections (2, 3) |
| R4-2001810 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: Coordinate system (4) |
| R4-2001811 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: conformance testing framework (5) |
| R4-2001812 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: measurement types (6) |
| R4-2001813 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: OTA measurement systems (7) |
| R4-2001814 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: measurement systems calibration (8) |
| R4-2001815 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: TX directional requirements (9) |
| R4-2001816 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: RX directional requirements (10) |
| R4-2001817 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: In-band TRP requirements (11) |
| R4-2001818 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: Out-of-band TRP requirements (12) |
| R4-2001703 | Huawei | TP to TR 37.941 : Colocation MU value derivation sub-clause updates (7.8, 8.8, 13) |
| R4-2001819 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: Out-of-band blocking requirements (14) |
| R4-2001820 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: Demodulation performance requirements (15) |
| R4-2001715 | ZTE | TP to OTA BS TR on EMC (16) |
| R4-2001821 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: EMC requirements (16) |
| R4-2001704 | Huawei | TP to TR 37.941: Summary clauses 17 and 18 |
| R4-2001698 | Huawei | TP to TR 37.941: Test uncertainty annexes (A, B, C) |
| R4-2001822 | Huawei | TP to the TR 37.941: annex D, E, F |
| R4-2001705 | Huawei | TP to TR 37.9xx : Tx MU value derivation sub-clause updates.  This contribution provides MU tables based on the Excel spreadsheets and on top of the TPs above.  This contribution will have to be revised during the meeting to add all the other missing MU tables into the TP, once the source MU Excel spreadsheets are agreed first. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1: EMC requirements

**Issue 2-1: Select the baseline TP for the EMC requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Use R4-2001715 from ZTE as the baseline
  + Option 2: Use R4-2001821 from Huawei as the baseline
* Recommended WF
  + TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Sub topic 1-1: The proposal are quite similar and either paper needs some correction on terminology issue. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001808 | Ericsson: BS type 1-H is missing and needs to be included:   * *BS type 1-O* in single RAT NR operation in FR1, as specified in NR BS radiated testing specification TS 38.141-2 [6], * *BS type 1-H in single RAT NR …* * *BS type 2-O* in single RAT NR operation in FR2, as specified in NR BS radiated testing specification TS 38.141-2 [6].   ZTE: I assume the OTA AAS BS includes BS type 1-O and BS type2-O as stated “NOTE: For NR operation, an OTA AAS BS corresponds to an NR type 1-O BS” in the TS 37.145-2. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001809 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001810 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001811 | Ericsson:   * As Figure 5.1-2 indicates, there is an “uncertainty budget format” this needs to be included and should not be removed as part of this work. * Point 9: needs to be updated to make it general for all requirements * Point 10: since the scope for this TR is broader than TS, we need to reformulate to say "in order to demonstrate the way a budget should be defined", remove reference to the TS to make it broader. It is also a description for external use. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001812 | Ericsson: For directional requirements we could also add a EIRP definition related to power density to be general. Regarding directional requirements, some information on RX directional requirements is missing; e.g. reference direction, RoAoA, OSDD, etc.  ZTE: For 6.3.2.5 EMC emission is mentioned. I think the TRP for OTA AAS BS radiated spurious emission covers EMC radiated emission needs to be pointed out so that no confusion for the other EIRP EMC emission. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001813 | ZTE: For figure 7.2.1-2, there are two figures. I think it is better to explain one is for co-location RX test. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001814 | Ericsson: 1D CATR calibration is missing. For the general chamber, we may need calibrations for co-location requirements |
| Company B |
| R4-2001815 | Ericsson: EIRP = EIRPp1 + EIRPp2 then should be calculated and is missing in some procedures |
| Company B |
| R4-2001816 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001817 | Ericsson: For RC test method, if change EUT to BS, then need to also update/change the equations. Otherwise there is an introduction of an uncertainty to the description. Rather here keep EUT for now at least in RC test method, we can update this later |
| Company B |
| R4-2001818 | Ericsson: If the calibration section is referenced for the test methods. Care needs to be taken to ensure that the calibration set up needs to also be calibrated for the whole frequency region – not just the wanted signal. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001703 | Ericsson: some places the “CLTA” is referenced, and some places “co-location test antenna” text is used, maybe we can use one or the other throughout the text rather than both. |
| ZTE: The “general chamber” term is used in subclause 13.2,2 and some other hw’s TP while “general OTA chamber” is used in this subclause 7.8. Need some alignment on the terminology. |
| R4-2001819 | Ericsson: description on how you calibrate the chamber to secure that OOB interferer is correct at the text object, its not a regular calibration perhaps an editorial note as a place holder |
| Company B |
| R4-2001820 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001715 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001821 | ZTE: This paper is quite similar with ZTE proposed in 1715. The EMC port definition figure need to align the terminology to avoid BS type. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001704 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001698 | Ericsson:   * Regarding the yellow highlighted text. This can be removed if we do not use it. However, as the majority of the descriptions relate to the TE it should be placed with the common TE description – this was missed when the discussion on the TE uncertainty came to. For example A5-7 should move to be in associated section of the common TE uncertainty. * Reference to Internal TR in (A2-13) * The background for having different MU for EIRP pointing error for BS power and TRP, is that for BS power and some TRP requirements pointing error is low, while for some emission methods pointing error can be very large. This should be captured somewhere. |
| Company B |
| R4-2001822 | Company A |
| Company B |
| R4-2001705 | Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
|  |  |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| R4-2001808 | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |
| R4-2001809 |  |
| R4-2001810 |  |
| R4-2001811 |  |
| R4-2001812 |  |
| R4-2001813 |  |
| R4-2001814 |  |
| R4-2001815 |  |
| R4-2001816 |  |
| R4-2001817 |  |
| R4-2001818 |  |
| R4-2001703 |  |
| R4-2001819 |  |
| R4-2001820 |  |
| R4-2001715 |  |
| R4-2001821 |  |
| R4-2001704 |  |
| R4-2001698 |  |
| R4-2001822 |  |
| R4-2001705 |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |