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# Introduction

This email discussion is to address the open issues in NR mobility enhancement RRM, based on the approved WF in RAN4#93 (R4-1913436) and the status report in RP#86 (RP-192533):

* Interruption in DAPS HO D1 to down select from option 1 and option 2 in slide 2 (R4-1913436).
* Power imbalance between the source and target cells in DAPS intra-frequency HO side condition.
* TRRC\_2 in conditional handover.
* Conditional PSCell change RRM requirement.

# Topic #1: DAPS handover

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000375 | Intel | **Proposal 1: interruption for DAPS handover in Delay (1) is defined as:**   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | |  | NR Slot length (ms) | Interruption length X (slotsnote 1) | | **0** | **1** | **[1]** | | **1** | **0.5** | **[1]** | | **2** | **0.25 Note 2** | **[2]** | | **Note 1: The same SCS of source cell and target cell is assumed.**  **Note 2: Both source cell and target cell is on FR1.** | | |   **Observation 1: small A3 offset (e.g. -1dB~1dB) can benefit the intra-frequency DAPS handover.**  **Proposal 2: add the following side condition in RRM requirement for intra-frequency DAPS handover: power imbalance between the two cells should be within [3] dB.** |
| R4-2000723 | Qualcomm | **Observation 1. In intra-frequency DAPS HO upon adding target cell, UE needs to perform basic tasks that cause interruptions and are not dependent on the SCS. Some of these tasks are as following:**   * **Activating another baseband module for the target cell** * **Adjust UE processing resources and power (e.g., clocks, memory) to reflect the increased processing demand due to target cell addition** * **Enabling streaming of RF samples (from the same or different RF module depending on UE implementation) to the newly activated baseband module**   **Proposal 1. RAN4 to adopt option 1 for interruption time in D1 for intra-frequency DAPS HO.**  **Proposal 2. RAN4 to define requirements for intra-frequency DAPS HO assuming at most 8 dB power imbalance between source and target cells.**  **Proposal 3. RAN4 to not define requirements for the case when CBW relationship between source and target cells are different from BWP relationship between source and target cells.** |
| R4-2001413 | Ericsson | **Proposal 1 : To support cases where the relationship of CBW of target and source cell is different to different the relationship between BWP of target and source cell, generic interruption requirements are necessary. An interruption is allowed both when the target cell is added, and the source cell is released. This allows the UE always to have its RF configured to receive CBW.**  **Proposal 2 : RAN4 should discuss if P1 is strictly necessary**  **Proposal 3 : The interruption for intra-frequency DAPS handover is specified as:**   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | |  | **NR Slot length (ms)** | **Interruption length X (slotsnote 1)** | | **0** | **1** | **[1]** | | **1** | **0.5** | **[1]** | | **2** | **0.25 Note 2** | **[2]** | | **Note 1: The same SCS of source cell and target cell is assumed.**  **Note 2: Both source cell and target cell are on FR1.** | | |   **Proposal 4: power imbalance between the two cells should be within [6] dB.** |
| R4-2001571 | Huawei | **Proposal 1: During DAPS HO delay (1), it is suggested to use option 2 to define the interruption time Tinterrupt1.**  **Proposal 2: For intra-band inter-frequency DAPS HO, it is suggested to clarify that the BWP of target cell is non-overlapped with the BWP of source cell in frequency domain.**  **Proposal 3: Both FR1-FR2 and FR2-FR1 DAPS handover requirements need to be standardized.** |
| R4-2001797 | MTK | **Observation 1: In Rel-15 it is RAN4 consensus that adjusting RF bandwidth based on CBW or BWP is the UE’s implementation issue.**  **Observation 2: Key factor that determines the UE RF bandwidth adjustment during Delay (1) is whether the target bandwidth is confined within the source bandwidth. The bandwidth can be either CBW or BWP, depending on UE implementation.**  **Observation 3: Key factor that determines the UE RF bandwidth adjustment during Delay (2) is whether the source bandwidth is confined within the target bandwidth. The bandwidth can be either CBW or BWP, depending on UE implementation.**  And we propose:  **Proposal 1: RAN4 to use 1ms as the interruption length of intra-frequency DAPS handover during Delay (1) even if UE does not adjust its RF bandwidth**  **Proposal 2: RAN4 to decide the power imbalance between source cell and target cell for DAPS handover in the performance part discussion**  **Proposal 3: RF retuning time during Delay (1) is needed if either one of the following condition is true**   * **CBWtarget is NOT confined within CBWsource** * **BWPtarget is NOT confined within BWPsource.**   **Similarly, the RF retuning time during Delay (2) is needed if either one of the following condition is true**   * **CBWsource is NOT confined within CBWtarget** * **BWPsource is NOT confined within BWPtarget.** |

## Open issues summary

**Issue 1-1: Interruption in intra-frequency DAPS HO D1**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: (Intel, Ericsson, Huawei)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | NR Slot length (ms) | Interruption length X (slotsnote 1) |
| 0 | 1 | [1] |
| 1 | 0.5 | [1] |
| 2 | 0.25 Note 2 | [2] |
| Note 1: The same SCS of source cell and target cell is assumed.  Note 2: Both source cell and target cell is on FR1. | | |

* + Option 2: (Qualcomm, MTK)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | NR Slot length (ms) | Interruption length X (slotsnote 1) |
| 0 | 1 | [1] |
| 1 | 0.5 | [2] |
| 2 | 0.25 Note 2 | [4] |
| Note 1: The same SCS of source cell and target cell is assumed.  Note 2: Both source cell and target cell is on FR1. | | |

* Recommended WF
  + Need more discussion

**Issue 1-2: Power imbalance in side condition**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: [3dB] (Intel)
  + Option 2: [8dB] (Qualcomm)
  + Option 3: [6dB] (Ericsson)
  + Option 4: decided only in test case (MTK)
* Recommended WF
  + Need more discussion

**Issue 1-3: When CBW relationship is different from that of BWP**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: no requirements (Qualcomm)
  + Option 2: An interruption is allowed both when the target cell is added, and the source cell is released. (Ericsson)
  + Option 2A: (MTK)
    - RF retuning time during Delay (1) is needed if either one of the following condition is true
      * CBWtarget is NOT confined within CBWsource
      * BWPtarget is NOT confined within BWPsource.
    - RF retuning time during Delay (2) is needed if either one of the following condition is true
      * CBWsource is NOT confined within CBWtarget
      * BWPsource is NOT confined within BWPtarget.
* Recommended WF
  + Need more discussion

**Issue 1-4: Restriction on BWP for inter-frequency DAPS HO:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: For inter-frequency DAPS HO, it is suggested to clarify that the BWP of target cell is non-overlapped with the BWP of source cell in frequency domain. (Huawei)
* Recommended WF
  + Need more discussion

**Issue 1-5: Requirement for inter-FR DAPS HO:**

* Proposals
  + Both FR1-FR2 and FR2-FR1 DAPS handover requirements need to be standardized. (Huawei)
* Recommended WF: agree to introduce both FR1-FR2 and FR2-FR1 DAPS handover requirements

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 1-1:  Issue 1-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2000376 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |
| R4-2001414 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |
| R4-2001572 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Issue 1-1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 1-2** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 1-3** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 1-4** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 1-5** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: Conditional handover

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000377 | Intel | **Proposal 1: TRRC\_2 is [10ms], as long as TRRC\_1 + TRRC\_2 will not significantly exceed the total RRC processing delay in conventional handover.** |
| R4-2000724 | Qualcomm | **Observation 1. Many steps in TS 38.331 cannot be executed until the first condition specified in the conditional HO command is met since:**   * **only in that instance of time the identity of the target cell is known** * **UE can prematurely declare RLF if some of the steps are executed as it is possible that the conditions configured in CHO command are never met**   **Proposal 1. For both NR and LTE conditional HO, TCHO\_execution = 10ms.** |
| R4-2001337 | Nokia | 1. Remove *TCHO\_execution* from Dhandover for conditional handover. 2. Update the reference to inter-frequency section. 3. Measurement delay would need to be considered in the CHO measurement time. 4. Update sections 6.1.1.7,6.1.1.8 and 6.1.1.9 5. Refer from sections 6.1.1.7, 6.1.1.8 and 6.1.1.9 to the generic NR CHO requirements in section 6.1.1.6. 6. Agree on the text proposal. |
| R4-2001415 | Ericsson | **Proposal 1 : Trrc2 is specified as [5]ms**  **Observation 1: Conditional PSCell addition or release is not within the scope of release 16 mobility enhancements since it involves the MN**  **Proposal 2 : No additional requirements are needed for PSCell addition, release or change in 36.133** |
| R4-2001573 | Huawei | **Proposal 1: For conditional HO, the time period Tmeasure can be defined as:**  **Tmeasure= TEvent\_DU + Tmeasure\_delay**  **Where**  **TEvent\_DU is the delay uncertainty in waiting an event that will trigger a CHO after UE successfully decoding the RRC message including CHO execution conditions.**  **Tmeasure\_delay is the measurement delay between the event that will trigger a CHO and the point when the UE starts to execute CHO. Tmeasure\_delay is same as the measurement reporting delay defined in existing event triggered reporting requirements.**  **Proposal 2: The values of TRRC\_2 used in conditional HO delay requirements can be defined as 13ms.** |
| R4-2001798 | MTK | **Proposal 1: Reuse the existing processing time for RRC reconfiguration message to specify the required time of TRRC\_2.** |

## Open issues summary

**Issue 2-1: TRRC\_2/TCHO\_execution:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: [10ms] (Intel, Qualcomm, MTK)
  + Option 2: [5ms] (Ericsson)
  + Option 3: [13ms] (Huawei)
* Recommended WF
  + Agree on option 1.

**Issue 2-2: Reformulate Tmeasure:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: (Huawei)

Tmeasure= TEvent\_DU + Tmeasure\_delay

Where:

* TEvent\_DU is the delay uncertainty in waiting an event that will trigger a CHO after UE successfully decoding the RRC message including CHO execution conditions.
* Tmeasure\_delay is the measurement delay between the event that will trigger a CHO and the point when the UE starts to execute CHO. Tmeasure\_delay is same as the measurement reporting delay defined in existing event triggered reporting requirements.
  + Option 2: keep previous agreement
* Recommended WF
  + Need more discussion

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 2-1:  Issue 2-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2000378 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |
| R4-2001338 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |
| R4-2001416 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Issue 2-1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 2-2** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #3: Conditional PSCell addition/change

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000379 | Intel | **Observation 1: RAN4 doesn’t need to define RRM requirement for conditional PSCell addition.**  **Proposal 1: conditional NR PSCell change RRM requirements are defined in TS38.133, covering both EN-DC and NR-DC.**  **Proposal 2: conditional PSCell change delay is defined as:**  **TCPC = TRRC\_1 + Tmeasure + TRRC\_2 + Tprocessing + Tsearch + T∆ + TPSCell\_ DU + 2 ms** |
| R4-2000725 | Qualcomm | **Proposal 1. RAN4 to capture NR PSCell addition/change requirements within EN-DC in TS 36.133 and NR PSCell addition/change requirements within NR-DC in TS 38.133.**  **Proposal 2. Conditional PSCell addition delay is formulated as:**  **Tconfig\_PSCell\_Conditional = TRRC\_processing + Tmeasure + TUE\_preparation + Tprocessing + T∆ + TPSCell\_ DU + 2 ms**  **Where**  **TRRC\_processing : is the RRC processing to process the conditional PSCell addition command which is not larger than currently defined TRRC\_processing in TS 36.133 and TS 38.133 and begins when UE receives the RRC command for conditional PSCell addition.**  **Tmeasure : is the from the end of RRC processing time to when until UE realizes the condition(s) for at least one of the PSCell candidates is/are met. When PCell is E-UTRA, the measurement period is bounded by Tidentify\_NR\_with\_index as defined in clause 8.17.4 of TS 36.133. When PCell lis NR, the measurement period is bounded by Tidentify\_inter\_with\_index as defined in clause 9.3.4 of TS 38.133.**  **TUE\_preparation : is the UE preparation time for conditional PSCell addition and starts after UE realizes the condition is met and identity of PSCell is determined. Its value is FFS but not larger than currently defined TRRC\_processing in TS 36.133 and TS 38.133.**  **T∆ : is the time for fine time tracking and acquiring full timing information of the target PSCell as in existing requirements.**  **Tprocessing : is the SW processing time needed by UE as in existing requirements**  **TPSCell\_ DU : is the delay uncertainty in acquiring first available PRACH occasion in the NR PSCell as in existing requirements.**  **Proposal 3. The conditional PSCell change delay requirements can be formulated as below:**  **Tconfig\_PSCell\_Conditional = TRRC\_processing + Tmeasure + TUE\_preparation + Tprocessing + T∆ + TPSCell\_ DU + 2 ms**  **Where**  **Tmeasure : is the from the end of RRC processing time to when until UE realizes the condition(s) for at least one of the PSCell candidates is/are met. For intra-frequency PSCell change, the measurement period is bounded by Tidentify\_intra\_with\_index as defined in clause 9.2.4 of TS 38.133. For inter-frequency PSCell change, the measurement period is bounded by Tidentify\_inter\_with\_index as defined in clause 9.3.4 of TS 38.133.**  **TUE\_preparation : is the UE preparation time for conditional PSCell change and starts after UE realizes the condition is met and identity of new PSCell is determined. Its value is FFS and it may include some RRC processing related to release of the existing PSCell.**  **All the other terms in the above formula are similar to those in Proposal 2.** |
| R4-2001574 | Huawei | **Proposal 1: The conditional PSCell addition/change delay can be defined a**s **Tconfig\_CPAC:**  **Tconfig\_CPAC = TRRC\_1 + Tmeasure + TRRC\_2 + Tprocessing + T∆ + TPSCell\_ DU + 2 ms**  **Where**  **TRRC\_1 is the RRC processing time for RRC reconfiguration message containing conditional PSCells addition execution conditions and candidate PSCell configuration.**  **Tmeasure is the time period from the time when UE successfully decoded CPAC RRC command until UE detects an event for CPAC.**  **TRRC\_2 is the RRC processing time for applying target PSCell configuration.**  **Tprocessing, T∆ and TPSCell\_ DU are defined same as legacy PSCell addition/change.**  **Proposal 2: The interruption at conditional PSCell addition/change shall be allowed only after UE detects an event for CPAC.** |
| R4-2001415 | Ericsson | **Proposal 1 : Trrc2 is specified as [5]ms**  **Observation 1: Conditional PSCell addition or release is not within the scope of release 16 mobility enhancements since it involves the MN**  **Proposal 2 : No additional requirements are needed for PSCell addition, release or change in 36.133** |

## Open issues summary

**Issue 3-1: Conditional PSCell addition/release:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: no requirement is needed in this work item (Intel, Ericsson)
  + Option 2: RAN4 to capture NR PSCell addition requirements within EN-DC in TS 36.133 and NR PSCell addition requirements within NR-DC in TS 38.133 (Qualcomm)
* Recommended WF
  + Requirements for conditional PSCell addition/release are not needed in this work item.

**Issue 3-2: Where to capture conditional PSCell change**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: capture in TS38.133 only (Intel, Ericsson)
  + Option 2: capture in both TS 36.133 and TS 38.133 (Qualcomm)
* Recommended WF
  + Capture in TS38.133 only

**Issue 3-3: Conditional PSCell change delay:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: (Qualcomm, Intel, Huawei)

Tconfig\_PSCell\_Conditional = TRRC\_processing + Tmeasure + TUE\_preparation + Tprocessing + T∆ + TPSCell\_ DU + 2 ms

Where

**TRRC\_processing**: is the RRC processing to process the conditional PSCell addition command which is not larger than currently defined TRRC\_processing in TS 36.133 and TS 38.133 and begins when UE receives the RRC command for conditional PSCell change.

**Tmeasure**: is the from the end of RRC processing time to when until UE realizes the condition(s) for at least one of the PSCell candidates is/are met. For intra-frequency PSCell change, the measurement period is bounded by Tidentify\_intra\_with\_index as defined in clause 9.2.4 of TS 38.133. For inter-frequency PSCell change, the measurement period is bounded by Tidentify\_inter\_with\_index as defined in clause 9.3.4 of TS 38.133.

**TUE\_preparation**: is the UE preparation time for conditional PSCell change and starts after UE realizes the condition is met and identity of new PSCell is determined. Its value is FFS and it may include some RRC processing related to release of the existing PSCell.

All the other terms in the above formula are similar to those in Proposal 2.

* Recommended WF
  + Agree on option 1.

**Issue 3-4: Interruption during conditional PSCell change:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: The interruption at conditional PSCell addition/change shall be allowed only after UE detects an event for CPAC. (Huawei)
* Recommended WF
  + Agree on option 1.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 2-1:  Issue 2-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2000380 | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Issue 3-1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 3-2** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 3-3** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 3-4** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #4: performance part

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001417 | Ericsson | In this contribution we discuss and analyse necessary test coverage for NR and LTE mobility enhancements (DAPS handover and conditional handover). While it would be possible to specify a large number of tests for both procedures, we propose for DAPS testing to develop fairly generic tests which can cover most different UE capabilities. For `conditional handover, we propose to verify the measurement/handover procedure and to rely on existing event triggered reporting and PSCell addition/change tests under the assumption that conditional PSCell change will behave as expected. |

## Open issues summary

**Issue 4-1: test case list for DAPS handover:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: (Ericsson)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Test case number | Test purpose | Description |
| 1 | Intrafrequency DAPS handover test in SA for FR1 | See R4-201417 |
| 2 | Interfrequency DAPS handover test in SA for FR1 |
| 3 | Conditional intrafrequency handover test in SA for FR1 |
| 4 | Conditional interfrequency handover test in SA for FR1 |
| 5 | Intrafrequency DAPS handover test in SA for FR2 |
| 6 | Interfrequency DAPS handover test in SA for FR2 |
| 7 | Conditional intrafrequency handover test in SA for FR2 |
| 8 | Conditional interfrequency handover test in SA for FR2 |

* Recommended WF
  + Postpone to RAN4#94bis.

**Issue 4-2: test case list for conditional handover:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: capture in TS38.133 only (Intel, Ericsson)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Test case number | Test purpose | Description |
| 1 | Intrafrequency DAPS handover test | See R4-201417 |
| 2 | Interfrequency DAPS handover test |
| 3 | Conditional intrafrequency handover test |
| 4 | Conditional interfrequency handover test |

* Recommended WF
  + Postpone to RAN4#94bis.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 2-1:  Issue 2-2:  ….  Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| xxxx | Company A |
| Company B |
| xxx |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Issue 4-1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |
| **Issue 4-2** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title** | **Assigned Company,**  **WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |