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# Introduction

The scope of this email discussion is to specify REFSENS and A-MPR requirements when introducing 40 MHz channel BWs in band n3.

The focus of the discussion should be on getting possible agreement on REFSENS values (1st round) and finalizing the CRs (2nd round).

# Topic #1: 40 MHz CBW

Main technical topic overview: Addition of 40 MHz channel BW in band n3.

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000088 | Qualcomm | **Proposal 1:** Use the UL configuration proposed in Table 2

| **Operating band / SCS / Channel bandwidth / Duplex mode** |
| --- |
| **Operating Band** | **SCS kHz** | **5****MHz** | **10****MHz** | **15****MHz** | **20****MHz** | **25 MHz** | **30 MHz** | **40****MHz** |  | **Duplex Mode** |
| n3 | 15 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  | FDD |
| 30 |  | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |  |
| 60 |  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |

**Proposal 2**: Use REFSENS values shown in Table 4.

| **Operating Band** | **SCS kHz** | **5****MHz(dBm)** | **10****MHz(dBm)** | **15****MHz(dBm)** | **20****MHz(dBm)** | **25****MHz(dBm)** | **30 MHz (dBm)** | **40****MHz(dBm)** | **Duplex Mode** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| n3 | 15 | -97 | -93.8 | -92.0 | -90.8 | -89.7 | -88.9 | -81.6 | FDD |
|  | 30 |  | -94.1 | -92.1 | -91.0 | -89.8 | -89.0 | -81.7 | FDD |
|  | 60 |  | -94.5 | -92.4 | -91.2 | -90.0 | -89.1 | -81.8 |  |

 |
| R4-2001205 | Ericsson | **Proposal 1: Approve the REFSENS values and RB allocation for 40MHz CBW as proposed in this contribution (Table 2 and Table 3).**

| Operating Band | SCS kHz | 5MHz(dBm) | 10MHz(dBm) | 15MHz(dBm) | 20MHz(dBm) | 25MHz(dBm) | 30 MHz (dBm) | 40MHz(dBm) | Duplex Mode |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| n3 | 15 | -97.0 | -93.8 | -92.0 | -90.8 | -89.7 | -88.9 | **-87.6** | FDD |
| 30 |  | -94.1 | -92.1 | -91.0 | -89.8 | -89.0 | **-87.7** |
| 60 |  | -94.5 | -92.4 | -91.2 | -90.0 | -89.1 | **-87.8** |

| Operating Band | SCS kHz | 5MHz | 10MHz | 15MHz | 20MHz | 25 MHz | 30 MHz | 40MHz | Duplex Mode |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| n3 | 15 | 25 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 501 | 501  | **501** | FDD |
| 30 |  | 24 | 241 | 241 | 241 | 241 | **241** |
| 60 |  | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 101 | **101** |

**Proposal 2: No A-MPR requirement is needed for band n3 when introducing 40 MHz channel bandwidth.** |

## Open issues summary

It seems agreeable no A-MPR is needed when adding 40MHz for band n3.

REFSENS values should be further discussed, but the corresponding RB allocation seems already agreable.

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Sub-topic description:* A-MPR

**Issue 1-1: A-MPR when adding 40MHz in band n3**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: No need for A-MPR
* Recommended WF

### Sub-topic 1-2

*Sub-topic description:* REFSENS

**Issue 1-1: REFSENS values and RB allocation for REFSENS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1:
		- Refsens values: -81.6 / -81.7 / -81.8
		- RB allocation: 50 / 24 / 10
	+ Option 2:
		- Refsens values: -87.6 / -87.7 / -87.8
		- RB allocation: 50 / 24 / 10
* Recommended WF

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001206CR#0251TS 38.101-1 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2001207CR#147TS 38.104 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |