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# Introduction

This email discussion focuses on Rel-17 UE feature list discussion (agenda 7). The feature list agreed in last meeting is R4-2210436 and R4-2211189. According to the meeting arrangement, the plan of UE feature list discussion in this meeting is:

* Submission and treatment of tdocs for the feature list
	+ The technical consensus should be reached before capturing a capability in the list.
	+ For a proposed feature group related to on-going WIs, please submit one brief tdoc under agenda 7 so that a placeholder could be set for it in the updated feature list, and another tdoc with detailed technique analysis under UE/BS RF, RRM, or demod agendas of the corresponding WIs.
	+ For the feature which is not related to on-going WI, please directly submit a paper with all information under AI 7.
	+ One dedicated email thread for feature list will be set in main session, where the feature groups for UE RF will be discussed in details. For RRM, demod, or BS RF related feature groups, the technique discussions will be handled in the individual session.
	+ On the last day, the feature list will be treated in the GTW of main session. All the stable feature groups will be captured in the feature list without [ ] or FFS. The potential feature groups, for which no consensus is reached, they will be captured in [ ] or with FFS.
* Plan for approval of feature list for RAN4-lead features
	+ It is expected to send LS to RAN2 by August 19 (Friday in the first round).
	+ Guidance RP-211582 was endorsed in RAN#92-e for the timeline for Rel-17 UE feature list, and the conclusions in RAN#96 are as follows
		- *REL-17 ASN.1 freeze will be declared in June 22*
		- *June 22 version may not be fully implementable and we will target Sep.22 to make sure that is fully implementable*
	+ To make the feature list implementable, RAN4 is supposed to provide the necessary input of feature list in August meeting.
		- RAN2 would not capture any feature or feature group if there is FFS or [].

It is appreciated that the delegates for this topic put their contact information in the table below.

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
| Samsung | Yuanyuan(Tina) Zhang | Tina55.zhang@samsung.com |
| Nokia | Hiromasa Umeda | hiromasa.umeda@nokia.com |
| vivo | Ruixin Wang | ruixin.wang@vivo.com |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)

# Topic #1: NR\_RF\_FR1\_enh

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [R4-2213371](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_104-e/Docs/R4-2213371.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | On UE power class per band per band combination |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1: On UE power class per band per band combination

**Agreements in RAN4#103e:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (V2X WI only)”. | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2 | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 16. NR\_RF\_FR1\_enh | 16-8 | UE power class per band per band combination | Per band per band combination power class |  | Yes | N/A | Per band power class inconsistent | Per band per BC | No | FR1 only | N/A | [It is not applicable to the case when UL-MIMO and intra-band UL CA are in operation at the same time.] | Optional with capability signalling |

**Proposal in RAN#104-e**

Removing the note from description of UE feature of Power class per band per band combination.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (V2X WI only)”. | **Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE** | **Type****(the ‘type’ definition from UE features should be based on the granularity of 1) Per UE or 2) Per Band or 3) Per BC or 4) Per FS or 5) Per FSPC)** | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2 | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 16. NR\_RF\_FR1\_enh | 16-8 | UE power class per band per band combination | Per band per band combination power class |  | Yes | N/A | Per band power class inconsistent | Per band per BC | No | FR1 only | N/A | ~~[It is not applicable to the case when UL-MIMO and intra-band UL CA are in operation at the same time.]~~ | Optional with capability signalling |

* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Sub-topic 1-1: On UE power class per band per band combination

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Samsung | We support to remove the note.With two related CRs approved in last meeting, more than 10 companies identify, recognize and are willing to resolve the power ambiguity issue. As we commented in last meeting, the power ambiguity issue does not exist in Intra-band UL CA scenario, UL MIMO scenario, and Intra-band ULCA+ UL MIMO scenario, specifically exclude one scenario is inappropriate. While power ambiguity issue was identified in inter-band CA scenario, and this new signaling exactly targets to resolve the ambiguity issue in inter-band CA which is reflected in the agreed CRs R4-2210764(Huawei) and R4-2210767(Qualcomm). With above, we see no reason to keep the note. |
| Nokia(HU) | In the end, what is the exact meaning of per band per band combination? Does the capability apply to “single CC” or “intra band CA” per band per band combination as *powerClassNRPart-r16*? Some clarification is needed at least. |
| vivo | We support to remove the note in square bracket.  |
| ZTE | Without this note, we are introducing new ambiguity issue when eliminating the old ambiguity issue, i.e., there is an ambiguity between two cases: (1) single band (1CC) + UL-MIMO (2) single band(2CCs) + UL-MIMO. This may originate from the RAN2 signaling design.Another possible way out to remove this new ambiguity issue is to specify that the same power class are applicable for both cases above, i.e., another note is still needed. |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: NR\_ext\_to\_71GHz

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2212118 | Intel Corporation | Adopt the UE feature listed in Table 1 for NR\_ext\_to\_71GHz. |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1: Improved ON/ON transient period

**Proposal in RAN#104-e:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Index | Feature group | Components | Prerequisite feature groups | Need for the gNB to know if the feature is supported | Applicable to the capability signalling exchange between UEs (V2X WI only)”. | Consequence if the feature is not supported by the UE | Type | Need of FDD/TDD differentiation | Need of FR1/FR2 differentiation | Capability interpretation for mixture of FDD/TDD and/or FR1/FR2 | Note | Mandatory/Optional |
| 15-x | [Improved ON/ON transient period] | 1) Support of improved ON/ON transient period of [2us] | FFS | Yes | No | UE does not support improved ON/ON transient period and support 5us transient period | Per UE | N/A | Applicable to FR2-2 only | N/A | Further RAN4 discussion is required on whether to support improved ON/ON transient period and X value | Optional with capability signalling |

* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Sub-topic 2-1: Improved ON/ON transient period

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **New Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
|  | WF on … | YYY |  |
|  | LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Revised to** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Revised to** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-22xxxxx |  | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents