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# Introduction

The following CB is discussed in this document:

**CB: # AIPHY**

* **For Case3a, start with the solution signaling flow in 1720 based on common understanding on whether LMF or gNB selects the UE**
* **Check the TP for Case3b in R3-251770**
* **Capture agreements and open issues**

(moderator - CATT)

Summary of offline disc R3-252306

# For the Chairman’s Notes

Propose the following:

**Case 3a data collection:**

* Agree the TP to BL CR for TS 38.305 in R3-252420(revised from 1720)
* Further consider whether and how to support Proactive solution the next meeting.

**Case 3b:**

**On support of sample based measurement**

* Focus on NRPPa TP at this meeting, work on F1AP TP later when NRPPa TP is stable.
* Agree the NRPPa TP in R3-252427 (revised from 1770)
* Send the LS to RAN1 in R3-252418 (revised from 2026)

# Discussion

## Case 3a

For Case 3a, we mainly discussed how the data collection is performed during online discussion, especially for the issue “**Which entity to perform the UE selection? LMF or gNB?”.**

The call flow in 1720 is taken as start point to further discuss the signalling flow of data collection.

* **For Case3a, start with the solution signaling flow in 1720 based on common understanding on whether LMF or gNB selects the UE.**

The call flow in 1720 is copied here for reference:



**Figure 7.x.2-1 Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures**

1. LMF initiates the Data Collection Notification procedure towards the gNBs which holds the AI/ML positioning model to establish the NRPPa transaction.

Note: This step could be ignored if NRPPa transaction(s) has already been established between the gNB and LMF for other purposes.

2. When gNB decides to train the model for AI/ML based positioning, it may request for the training data from LMF.

3. The gNB sends the Data Collection Request towards the LMF, with some assistance information, e.g. expected amount of UE labels, expected data type.

4. LMF confirms the requirements of the gNB and replies with the response message.

5. LMF decides the UE labels and initiates proper positioning procedures to collect the training data via the Positioning Information Transfer and Measurement procedures.

6. When the training data is collected, LMF provides the training data to the gNB via the Data Collection Update.

**The Call flow of 1720 is revised a little bit to the option 1 and option 2 as below, and Option 3**



**Figure x Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures (option 1)**

1. LMF initiates the Data Collection Notification procedure towards the gNBs which holds the AI/ML positioning model to establish the NRPPa transaction.

2. When gNB decides to train the model for AI/ML based positioning, it may request for the training data from LMF.

3. The gNB sends the Data Collection Required towards the LMF.

4. LMF indicates gNB the UE is selected for data collection and gNB indicates LMF the Part B is needed for the UE when performing Measurement procedures for a UE.

5. LMF provides the requested training data to the gNB via the Data Collection Update. gNB associate Part A and Part B and use them as the collected data for AI/ML model training.



**Figure x Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures (Option 2)**

Option 2 is similar to Option 1, difference is step 1 and 2 are a pair of class 1 procedure.


**Data Collection Request via NGAP (Option 3)**

0. The gNB decides to train the AI/ML positioning model and may request for the training data from the LMF.

1. The gNB sends a non-UE associated class 2 NGAP Data Collection Trigger towards the AMF, with some assistance information. FFS content of assistance information e.g. UE NGAP ID list, number of measurements etc.

2. The AMF finds a suitable LMF and forwards the data collection trigger to the LMF using Nlmf\_DataExposure, or Nlmf\_Location\_DetermineLocation Request if UE(s) is provided by step 1 service.

3. If the list of UE(s) is not provided, the LMF may subscribe to the AMF serving the subscribed NG-RAN to retrieve the list of SUPIs located in an area of interest and the UE Positioning Capability for each UE, using the Namf\_EventExposure\_Subscriber\_Request operation. The LMF may also check the user privacy requirements and the model training consent from each UE.

*Note 1: Step 3 to be confirmed with SA2.*

4. The LMF may perform any of the UE positioning procedures defined in TS 23.273 and 38.305 to obtain the UE location (to determine/calculate the ground truth label information).

*NOTE 2: Step 4 may also be triggered by an external LCS client. In that case, the LMF may opt for considering the UE to be positioned as part of the data collection triggered in Step 2, if the UE list is not provided in Step 2.*

5. If the UE is served by the gNB, the LMF shall provide the UE/PRU location (ground truth label/Part B information) to the gNB using

Option 1: a Nlmf\_Location\_DetermineLocation response followed by a new class 2 non-UE-associated NGAP message.

Option 2: a new UE-associated NRPPa Data Collection Report/Update message.

**Moderator’s summary:**

Intension of the moderator is to find a unified solution for proactive and opportunistic data collection. According to offline discussion, majority of companies prefer the option 1. And majority of companies prefer to go for opportunistic data collection first. The option 1 is further updated, call flow could be referred to section 6.

For Proactive data collection, we can further discuss.

## Case 3b

Check stage 3 TPs for 38.455 and 38.473 in R3-251770 to make support of Sample-Based Measurement as agreed by RAN1.

* Focus on NRPPa TP at this meeting, work on F1AP TP later when NRPPa TP is stable.
* Measurement type should be added, with FFS.

Discuss the potential LS out on behalf of [R3-252026](file:///F%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E6%96%87%E4%BB%B6%5CRAN3%5C2025%E5%B9%B4%5CRAN3%23127bis%E6%AD%A6%E6%B1%89%5CDocs%5CR3-252026.zip), if the TP(s) are agreed.

# Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

See section 2.
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7.x Procedures for Data Collection Information Transfer

7.x.1 General

To support AI/ML based positioning with gNB-side model, data collection information is used to enable the data training in gNB-side AI model.

7.x.2 Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures

Figure 7.x.2-1 shows the Data Collection Information Transfer Procedure.



**Figure x Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures**

1. LMF initiates the Data Collection Notification procedure towards the gNB to establish the NRPPa transaction for data collection.

2. gNB determines to take the on-going UL positioning measurement(s) into account for data collection.

Editor’s Note: gNB generate and keep the Part A internally according to the UL positioning measurement, and request for corresponding Part B in the Step 3.

3. gNB sends Data Collection Required to the LMF with NRPPa Measurement ID to request for corresponding Part B.

Editor’s Note: Whether use “Part B” could be further checked and refined.

Editor’s Note: It’s assumed NRPPa Measurement ID is used to associate Part A and Part B.

4. LMF sends Data Collection Update to the gNB with the requested data (Part B).

Editor’s Note: FFS on the detail design of the signallings above.