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# Introduction

The following CB is discussed in this document:

**CB: # AIPHY**

* **For Case3a, start with the solution signaling flow in 1720 based on common understanding on whether LMF or gNB selects the UE**
* **Check the TP for Case3b in R3-251770**
* **Capture agreements and open issues**

(moderator - CATT)

Summary of offline disc R3-252306

# For the Chairman’s Notes

Propose the following:

Case 3a:

* Stage 2 TP on Data collection?

Case 3b:

* TP?
* LS out?

# Discussion

## Case 3a

For Case 3a, we mainly discussed how the data collection is performed during online discussion, especially for the issue “**Which entity to perform the UE selection? LMF or gNB?”.**

The call flow in 1720 is taken as start point to further discuss the signalling flow of data collection.

* **For Case3a, start with the solution signaling flow in 1720 based on common understanding on whether LMF or gNB selects the UE.**

The call flow in 1720 is copied here for reference:



**Figure 7.x.2-1 Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures**

1. LMF initiates the Data Collection Notification procedure towards the gNBs which holds the AI/ML positioning model to establish the NRPPa transaction.

Note: This step could be ignored if NRPPa transaction(s) has already been established between the gNB and LMF for other purposes.

2. When gNB decides to train the model for AI/ML based positioning, it may request for the training data from LMF.

3. The gNB sends the Data Collection Request towards the LMF, with some assistance information, e.g. expected amount of UE labels, expected data type.

4. LMF confirms the requirements of the gNB and replies with the response message.

5. LMF decides the UE labels and initiates proper positioning procedures to collect the training data via the Positioning Information Transfer and Measurement procedures.

6. When the training data is collected, LMF provides the training data to the gNB via the Data Collection Update.

**Potential Way Forward:**

Go for a unified solution to cover both “Proactive” and “Opportunistic”.



**Figure x Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures (option 1)**



**Figure x Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures (Option 2)**

**Option 3:**



**Data Collection Request via NGAP (Option 3)**

1. LMF initiates the Data Collection Notification procedure towards the gNBs which holds the AI/ML positioning model to establish the NRPPa transaction.

2. When gNB decides to train the model for AI/ML based positioning, it may request for the training data from LMF.

3. The gNB sends the Data Collection Required towards the LMF.

**Editor’s Note:**

Step 3 is non-UE specific procedure for gNB to request for the data collection towards LMF. The signalling details could be further discussed, e.g. what information should be provided in the Request (TRP ID, number of UEs, etc.)

Due to the design of the signallings, two options:

* **Option 1:** Make step 1/3 as two class 2 procedures.
* **Option 2:** Make Step 1/3 as 1 class 1 procedures (e.g. Data Collection Notification and confirmation, naming could be further checked)
* **Option 3:** Data Collection Request via NGAP

**Proposal 1: Discuss and decide to which option to go to initiate NRPPa transaction for data collection in case 3a.**

🡺Base on the email discussion, it seems better to select option 1, use class 2 message to initiate the NRPPa transaction, and use class 2 to request for training data towards LMF.

4. LMF indicates gNB the UE is selected for data collection and gNB indicates LMF the Part B is needed for the UE when performing Measurement procedures for a UE.

**Editor’s Note:**

After step 3, it’s not necessary for LMF to trigger the UE label selection and corresponding positioning procedures immediately. When positioning sessions are triggered for a UE for any purpose, LMF may select it as the UE label. (We do not specify how UE label is selected by LMF, just focus on the NRPPa procedures after LMF select the UE for data collection)

LMF indicates the gNB the UE is selected for data collection (e.g. add an indicator in Measurement Request), the gNB could obtain the Part A internally.

**Proposal 2: Discuss and decide whether to introduce an indicator in the MEASUREMENT REQUEST to indicate the UE is selected for requested data collection.**

As proposed in some contributions (e.g. 2084), an indicator in Measurement Response may also be needed to confirm the selected UE for data collection, and request for the Part B.**Proposal 3: Discuss and decide whether to introduce an indicator in the MEASUREMENT RESPONSE to confirm the data selection, and indicate the Part B is needed.**

🡺 Base on the email discussion, the measurement procedure is non-UE associated, it seems not proper to use it to transfer UE specific information. Keep the measurement procedure unchanged is preferred.

5. LMF provides the requested training data to the gNB via the Data Collection Update. gNB associate Part A and Part B and use them as the collected data for AI/ML model training.

**Editor’s Note:**

FFS on how to associate Part A and Part B.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Proposal 4: Introduce a new class 2 procedure (DATA COLLECTION UPDATE) to provide Part B of a UE label. How to associate Part A and Part B is FFS.**

**Proposal 6: Discuss and agree the call flows and texts for stage 2 BL CR, latest call flow is provided in section 6.**

## Case 3b

Check stage 3 TPs for 38.455 and 38.473 in R3-251770 to make support of Sample-Based Measurement as agreed by RAN1.

**Proposal 7: Discuss and agree the TPs to make support of Sample-Based Measurement.**

Discuss the potential LS out on behalf of [R3-252026](file:///F%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E6%96%87%E4%BB%B6%5CRAN3%5C2025%E5%B9%B4%5CRAN3%23127bis%E6%AD%A6%E6%B1%89%5CDocs%5CR3-252026.zip), if the TP(s) are agreed.

**Proposal 8: Reply the LS to RAN1 to indicate RAN3 progress on LMF-based AI Positioning.**

# Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

**To be updated later, if needed.**
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# Data collection call flows for Case 3a (Potential WF)



**Figure x Data Collection Information Transfer Procedures**

1. LMF initiates the Data Collection Notification procedure towards the gNB to establish the NRPPa transaction for data collection.

2. gNB determines data collection is required and sends the Data Collection Required towards the LMF, some assistance information is provided.

Editor’s Note: FFS on what assistance information should be included in this message.

3. LMF selects proper UE labels and may initiate Measurement Information Transfer procedures to obtain necessary data.

4. LMF sends Data Collection Update to the gNB with the requested data to the gNB.

Editor’s Note: FFS on Data Collection Update is UE specific or non-UE specific.

Editor’s Note: FFS on how the Part A and Part B are associated in the gNB.