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# Introduction

This contribution provides the summary of the following email discussion,

**CB: # SLRelay1\_Authorization**

**- Discuss on UE authorization information for L2, and whether UE authorization information is needed for L3?**

**- Potential impacts on interfaces, e.g. NG, Xn? And whether to support for CU/DU split architecture?**

**- Split Qos to RLC channel, and Qos parameters？**

**- Any other issues for UE authorization?**

(ZTE - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-225020](Inbox\\R3-225020.zip)

Please provide your views by **23:59 UTC Wednesday August 17th**, so that moderator may have time to make summary for companies’ reviewing before online session.

# For the Chairman’s Notes

Propose to capture the following: [TBD]

# Discussion

## Authorization for U2U relay

In the R18 SL relay enhancement WI [1], one of the objectives is to specify mechanisms to support single-hop Layer-2 and Layer-3 UE-to-UE relay for unicast, in which the signalling support for Relay and remote UE authorization may involve RAN3 work if SA2 concludes it is needed.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Specify mechanisms to support single-hop Layer-2 and Layer-3 UE-to-UE relay (i.e., source UE -> relay UE -> destination UE) for unicast [RAN2, RAN3, RAN4].    1. Common part for Layer-2 and Layer-3 relay to be prioritized until RAN#98       1. Relay discovery and (re)selection [RAN2, RAN4]       2. Signalling support for Relay and remote UE authorization if SA2 concludes it is needed [RAN3] |

In TR 23.700-33 [2], SA2 has the on-going discussion on the potential solutions for Key Issue #1 (i.e., Support of UE-to-UE Relay) which includes how the network can control U2U relay operation. But there is no detailed discussion on service authorization to NG-RAN for U2U Relay operation.

|  |
| --- |
| Whether and how the network can control UE-to-UE Relay operation, at least including how to:  - Authorize the UE-to-UE Relay, e.g. authorize a UE as UE-to-UE Relay.  - Authorize Source/Target UEs to use a UE-to-UE Relay.  - Provisioning policy and parameters for UE-to-UE Relay service. |

In R17 SL relay, the 5G ProSe authorization information for Direct discovery, Direct communication and U2N Relay operation were specified in NG/Xn/F1AP signallings. And the 5G ProSe authorization includes the following items:

Table 1. 5G ProSe Authorized IE

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IE/Group Name | Presence | Range | IE type and reference | Semantics description |
| 5G ProSe Direct Discovery | O |  | ENUMERATED (authorized, not authorized, ...) | Indicates whether the UE is authorized for 5G ProSe Direct Discovery |
| 5G ProSe Direct Communication | O |  | ENUMERATED (authorized, not authorized, ...) | Indicates whether the UE is authorized for 5G ProSe Direct Communication |
| 5G ProSe Layer-2 UE-to-Network Relay | O |  | ENUMERATED (authorized, not authorized, ...) | Indicates whether the UE is authorized for 5G ProSe Layer-2 UE-to-Network Relay |
| 5G ProSe Layer-3 UE-to-Network Relay | O |  | ENUMERATED (authorized, not authorized, ...) | Indicates whether the UE is authorized for 5G ProSe Layer-3 UE-to-Network Relay |
| 5G ProSe Layer-2 Remote UE | O |  | ENUMERATED (authorized, not authorized, ...) | Indicates whether the UE is authorized for 5G ProSe Layer-2 Remote UE |

When it comes to U2U relay, similarly, the authorization for U2U relay operation may be considered. According to the contributions in this meeting, [3][5][9] present that authorization for U2U relay may be needed. [3] thinks UE authorization for L2 U2U relay is needed while it is not needed for L3 U2U relay. [5][7] indicate U2U relay authorization is pending to SA2 or RAN2 discussion, if SA2 and RAN2 conclude it is needed, *5G ProSe Authorized* IE can be easily extended to support the U2U Relay operation. [6][8] think the authorization of U2U relay at NG-RAN is not needed.

In moderator’s view, though there is no conclusion on service authorization to NG-RAN for U2U Relay operation in SA2 yet, RAN3 could have preliminary study on the signalling support for U2U authorization first and try to make some progress. If SA2 concludes it is needed, RAN3 could further check the signalling support based on the progress.

Companies are encouraged to share the views on whether the authorization for L2 and L3 U2U relay is needed from RAN3’s perspective, what is the detailed items of U2U relay authorization, and the signalling impact to support U2U relay authorization.

### **Question 1: Do companies think the authorization for L2 and L3 U2U relay operation is needed from RAN3’s point of view? What is the detailed items of U2U relay authorization if needed?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Moreover, contribution [3][7] indicate the 5G ProSe Authorized IE specified in R17 SL relay could be extended to include the U2U relay authorization if U2U relay authorization is supported (by SA2 conclusion).

### **Question 2: Do companies agree that the 5G ProSe Authorized IE specified in R17 SL relay should be extended to include the U2U relay authorization if supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Similar to the 5G ProSe Authorized IE specified in R17 SL relay, [3][5] indicate the U2U relay authorization information may be included in NGAP/XnAP messages. To be specific,

**The U2U relay authorization information may be included in the following NG and Xn messages:**

**- NGAP: Initial Context Setup Request, UE Context Modification Request, Handover Request, Path Switch Request Acknowledge;**

**- XnAP: Handover Request, Retrieve UE Context Response.**

### **Question 3: Do companies agree that the U2U relay authorization information may be included in the above listed NG and Xn messages, if supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In R17 SL relay, it was agreed to support U2N relay in CU-DU split architecture. So the 5G ProSe Authorized IE is also delivered in F1AP messages. [3][5] express the support of U2U relay in CU-DU split architecture. For U2U relay/remote UE in RRC\_Connected state, they are controlled by gNB. It is natural to support the U2U relay in CU-DU split architecture.

### **Question 4: Do companies agree to support the U2U relay in CU-DU split architecture?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If U2U relay is supported in CU-DU split architecture, U2U relay authorization may be included in F1AP messages. To be specific,

**The U2U relay authorization information may be included in the following F1AP messages:**

**- F1AP: UE context Setup Request, UE Context Modification Request.**

### **Question 5: Do companies agree that the U2U relay authorization information may be included in the above listed F1AP messages, if supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Support U2U relay in CU-DU split architecture

According to the discussion in Question 4, if U2U relay is supported in CU-DU split architecture, [3][5] indicate F1 impact on how to configure the L2 U2U relay/remote UE with PC5 RLC channel and bearer mapping may be considered.

For L2 U2U relay, the Source UE connects to Target UE via Relay UE. The end-to-end SLRB is established between the source UE and target UE. And the end-to-end SLRB shall be mapped to PC5 RLC channels by the two PC5 hops between source UE and relay UE and between relay UE and target UE, in which the end-to-end PC5 QoS should be satisfied by two hops of link in the U2U relay.

For PC5 RLC channel configuration for L2 U2U relay/remote UE, CU may provide PC5 RLC channel to be setup/modified list and PC5 RLC channel QoS information of each PC5 RLC channel to DU, while DU responses with admission result and DU side configurations. [5] proposes to introduce new IE that the CU indicates the DU to configure the PC5 RLC channel for U2U relay.

### **Question 6: Do companies agree that F1AP enhancement for PC5 RLC channel configuration is needed for L2 U2U relay?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. discusses two options for end-to-end PC5 QoS split, i.e. Option 1: QoS split at Source UE side, and Option 2: QoS split at Relay UE side, and proposes the gNB-CU on the Tx/source UE side split the E2E PC5 QoS to RLC channel level QoS parameters.

In moderator’s view, which node to perform E2E QoS split may also involve RAN2 discussion. Shall RAN3 wait for RAN2’s progress or RAN3 discuss this issue?

### **Question 7: Do companies think it is necessary for RAN3 to discuss which node to perform E2E PC5 QoS split for L2 U2U relay or wait for RAN2’s progress?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Authorization for multi-path transmission and path switching

Among contributions in this meeting, [4][6][8] address the discussion for multi-path authorization. SA2 has captured the following objectives as part of their Key Issue to support authorization for multi-path relays in TR 23.700-33:

*Whether and how the network authorizes and the triggers for connection establishment for* ***multi-path transmission****, including:*

* *Whether and how to authorise a Remote UE to use the multi-path transmission for specific ProSe service(s).*
* *What information is required for and how does a Remote UE or UE-to Network Relay or the network trigger the multi-path connection establishment.*
* *How to provide/update the rules for multiple-path transmission.*

Solution #26 proposed that the above key issue has the following impacts relevant to RAN3.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Solution #26: Multi-path transmission via Layer-2 UE-to-Network Relay | NG-RAN:  -     Multi-path connection establishment and the transfer of data via both paths, taking into account authorization from AMF.  AMF:  -     Provide authorization to NG-RAN. |

[4] proposes that gNB receive the multi-path authorized information from CN. During remote UE’s mobility, source gNB transfer the multi-path authorized information to target gNB during the handover preparation procedure. [6][8] suggest RAN3 to discuss the signalling support for authorization of multi-path transmission after SA2’s further progress.

### **Question 8: Do companies think NG/Xn/F1AP related signalling enhancement is needed to support the authorization for multi-path transmission from RAN3’s point of view?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In contribution [8], authorization for path switching is addressed. Among SA2’s solutions, Solution#23 for path switching includes the enhancement for the authorization policy to support inter-gNB mobility path switching. To support the inter-gNB path switch, SA2 has been discussing the delivery of the authorized PLMN list to NG-RAN.

|  |
| --- |
| **Solution #23 in TR 23.700-33**  **AMF:**  - Receives the target U2N relay UE info from gNB and AMF.  - Sends the target U2N relay UE info to gNB.  - Sends the authorized PLMN list to gNB. |

If SA2 concludes the AMF provides the authorized PLMN list fto gNB. RAN3 signalling enhancement may be required.

### **Question 9: Do companies think NG/Xn/F1AP related signalling enhancement is needed to support the authorization for path switching from RAN3’s point of view?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

[TBD]
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