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# Introduction

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R3-223012](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223012.zip) | LS on Positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE State (RAN2) | LS in |
| [R3-223032](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223032.zip) | Reply LS on Positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE State (SA2) | LS in |
| [R3-223497](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223497.zip) | Correction for positioning measurement during INACTIVE (Intel Corporation) | discussion |
| [R3-223498](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223498.zip) | Rel-17 ePos correction on positioning measurement during INACTIVE for SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17 (Intel Corporation) | CR0933r, TS 38.473 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. F |
| [R3-223499](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223499.zip) | Correction on IE name mismatch in Rel-17 ePos Positioning Context Reservation Indication IE (Intel Corporation) | CR0934r, TS 38.473 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. F |
| [R3-223505](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223505.zip) | SRS for positioning measurement (Google Inc.) | CR0936r, TS 38.473 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. F |
| [R3-223276](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223276.zip) | Discussion on Positioning support in Inactive (CATT) | discussion |
| [R3-223346](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223346.zip) | Support of inactive positioning without anchor relocation (Huawei) | discussion |
| [R3-223587](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223587.zip) | Correction on Misalignment with Rel-17 changes in NRPPa (ZTE) | CR0836r, TS 38.413 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. Fwithdrawn |
| **CB: # Positioning\_04\_RRC\_INACTIVEandLS****- Agree on needed corrections for Inactive positioning****- Converge on Single CR per Spec**(Intel - moderator)Summary of offline disc [R3-223712](Inbox%5CR3-223712.zip) |

For the first round, companies are encouraged to provide comments **until May 13th (Fri) UTC 1300.**

# For the Chairman’s Notes

The following tdocs are up for agreement: TBD

# Discussion (Round 1)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R3-223499](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223499.zip) | Correction on IE name mismatch in Rel-17 ePos Positioning Context Reservation Indication IE (Intel Corporation) | CR0934r, TS 38.473 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. F |

Intel proposed to fix the IE name mismatch on *Positioning Context Reservation Indication* IE in F1AP.

### **Q1) Any objection? Please share your view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Preference** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

### **Summary**

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

**Regarding the SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17 from DU:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R3-223497](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223497.zip) | Correction for positioning measurement during INACTIVE (Intel Corporation) | discussion |
| [R3-223498](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223498.zip) | Rel-17 ePos correction on positioning measurement during INACTIVE for SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17 (Intel Corporation) | CR0933r, TS 38.473 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. F |
| [R3-223505](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223505.zip) | SRS for positioning measurement (Google Inc.) | CR0936r, TS 38.473 v17.0.0, Rel-17, Cat. F |

Intel and Google are talking about the same issue that the *SRS-PosRRC-InactiveConfig-r17* that RAN2 defined for positioning measurements during INACTIVE is generated by DU, but this IE is configured as part of suspend configuration in the *RRCRelease* message for a UE that is generated by CU.

So, the IE should be able to be transported to CU (via *DU to CU RRC Information* IE), and Intel further proposed a query for CU to retrieve this configuration from DU since CU is the one who decides to move the UE to INACTIVE state and also decides INACTIVE positioning measurements.

### **Q2) Any objection? Please share your view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Preference** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

### **Summary**

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

**Regarding LSes between RAN2 and SA2:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R3-223012](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223012.zip) | LS on Positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE State (RAN2) | LS in |
| [R3-223032](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223032.zip) | Reply LS on Positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE State (SA2) | LS in |
| [R3-223276](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223276.zip) | Discussion on Positioning support in Inactive (CATT) | discussion |

From LSes communicated between RAN2 and SA2 (RAN3 is cc-ed), there are no particular actions that RAN3 should take care of.

But regarding the CR S2-2203251 that SA2 has been working on, CATT found some mismatch between the CR and our design for SDT and proposed to reply the LS to clarify their CR:

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

***Observation 1: For SDT with anchor relocation case, the UL/DL NAS PDU are exchanged between the receiving gNB (i.e. the new serving gNB) and AMF after path switch.***

***Observation 2: For SDT with anchor relocation, the corresponding texts for UL/DL signalling transmission in SA2 CR [3] could be aligned with our RAN designs.***

***Observation 3: For SDT without anchor relocation case, the UL/DL NAS PDU is included in the RRC message and encapsulated in PDCP PDU, then be transferred between the last serving gNB and the receiving gNB via the (Xn) RRC TRANSFER message.***

***Observation 4: From SA2 CR [3], the UL/DL NAS TRANSPORT message (NAS PDU) may be forwarded between the receiving gNB and the anchor gNB in case of receiving gNB is different with the anchor gNB.***

***Proposal 1: Reply the LS to SA2 to clarify the RAN behaviours over Xn for SDT transmission.***

RAN3 thanks RAN2 and SA2 for the LS on Positioning in RRC\_INACTIVE State.

From the CR attached in the LS, the NOTEs (Note 3 and Note 5) in section 6.7.x/6.7.y/6.7.z indicate the UL/DL NAS TRANSPORT message may be forwarded between the receiving gNB and the anchor gNB in case of receiving gNB is not the anchor gNB.

|  |
| --- |
| 6. The receiving gNB node forwards the UL NAS TRANSPORT message to the serving AMF in an N2 Uplink NAS Transport message.NOTE 3: If the receiving gNB node is not the anchor gNB node for the UE, the UL NAS TRANSPORT message may be forwarded to the serving AMF via the anchor gNB node.……9. The AMF forwards the acknowledgment to the receiving gNB node in a DL NAS TRANSPORT message which is encapsulated in an N2 Downlink NAS Transport message.NOTE 5: If the receiving gNB node is not the anchor gNB node for the UE, the DL NAS TRANSPORT message may be forwarded to the receiving gNB node via the anchor gNB node. |

This is not quite clear from RAN3 point of view, RAN3 would like to clarify the inter-RAN node behaviours for SDT transmission, where the receiving node is different with the anchor node.

* In case of SDT with anchor relocation, the UL/DL NAS PDU, if any, is directly exchanged between the receiving gNB (i.e. the new serving gNB) and AMF after N2 Path Switch procedure.
* In case of SDT without anchor relocation, the UL/DL NAS PDU, if any, is (not may be) forwarded between receiving gNB and the anchor gNB.
	+ Directly forwarding of the NAS PDU between RAN nodes is not allowed/specified.
	+ NAS PDU, if any, is included in the RRC message and then encapsued in PDCP PDU before been forwarded between RAN nodes by using RRC TRANSFER message over Xn.

We kindly request SA2 to take above into consideration, and do corresponding specification changes if needed.

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

### **Q3) Any objection to reply LS to let SA2 be aligned? Comments on the content of the reply LS? Please share your view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Preference** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

### **Summary**

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

**INACTIVE positioning without anchor relocation:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R3-223346](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_116-e%5CDocs%5CR3-223346.zip) | Support of inactive positioning without anchor relocation (Huawei) | discussion |

Huawei proposed to support INACTIVE positioning in the scenarios of "without anchor relocation" in Rel-17 that we have discussed before (deferred positioning and normal positioning):

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

***Proposal 1: Support the scenario of without anchor relocation for Rel-17.***

***Proposal 2: Reuse the PARTIAL UE CONTEXT TRANSFER procedures to transfer positioning related information between the anchor gNB and the serving gNB.***

***Proposal 3: Agree the CR in the annex.***



Figure 1, Deferred Postioning in SDT without anchor relocation



Figure 2, normal positioning in SDT without anchor relocation

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

### **Q4) Please share your view on the proposals and the CR provided in the Annex.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Preference** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

### **Summary**

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////

# Conclusion

TBD