**CB: # 10_Inclusive_Language_RAN3 #115e - Version 0.0.3**
**RAN3**

R3-222399

3GPP TSG-RAN WG3 #115e

21 February-3 March 2022

Online

# 1 Introduction

CB assignment is as follows:

- Agree the previously endorsed Rel-17 CRs (R3-221640, R3-221641, R3-221642, R3-221643) if no further comments
- Discuss and agree Rapporteur CRs for TSs 36.413 and 25.402 (R3-221694, R3-221708) if agreeable

The Moderator would like to also remind companies of the following, from R3-221688:

- When referring to clocks, replacing ”slave” with ”secondary” is not technically correct (for clocks, ”secondary” is used in the context of redundancy);
- ITU inclusive language discussions are ongoing, but it seems they might follow a similar approach as 3GPP;
- IEEE 1588 inclusive language discussions do not seem relevant to 3GPP RAN at this time;
- Minor adjustments to 3GPP specs might be needed once other SDOs finalize their work.

# 2 For the Chair's Notes

**R3-221640, R3-221641, R3-221642, R3-221643, and R3-221708 are agreed.**

**Revise R3-221694:**

- **the modified semantics becomes: ”Contains the excludedCellsToAddModList as defined in TS 36.331 [16]. It applies only to SSB resources.”**

**rev in R3-222667 to be agreed**

# 3 Discussion

PROPOSAL 1 - The Moderator proposes to agree R3-221640, R3-221641, R3-221642, R3-221643, R3-221708 as submitted. No further revisions are needed. Do you agree?

**Feedback Form 1: Agree R3-221640, R3-221641, R3-221642, R3-221643, R3-221708 as submitted?**

**OUTCOME FROM FIRST ROUND - as no objections have been received, we agree 1640, 1641, 1642, 1643, and 1708 as submitted.**

PROPOSAL 2 - The Moderator proposes to editorially modify R3-221694 (CR to TS 36.413) before agreeing it. The proposed changes in the semantics (Sec. 9.2.1.151, last row) would make the sentence awkward, as it would read: ”List of cells to add/modify in the list of exclude-listed cells. It applies only to SSB resources. As defined in TS 36.331 [16].” A possibly better formulation would be: ”Cells to add/modify in the exclude-list of cells. It applies only…”. Or: ”Cells to add/modify in the list of excluded cells. It applies only…”. Any views?

**Feedback Form 2: Whether to editorially revise R3-221694 according to the Moderator’s proposal, or to agree it as it is**

**1 – Nokia Corporation**

I used the same text from ”R2-2101988 Inclusive Language Review for TS 36.331” (copied as below)

***excludedCellsToAddModList***

List of cells to add/ modify in the list of exclude-listed cells.

so either update the text as Moderator (but then it is different to 36.331), or change it to ”contains the ***excludedCellsToAddModList*** as defined in TS 36.331”

**OUTCOME FROM FIRST ROUND - Agree with Rapporteur’s proposal for rewording. The modified semantics should then read: ”Contains the *excludedCellsToAddModList* as defined in TS 36.331 [16]. It applies only to SSB resources.”**

# 4 Conclusions

Conclusions (if needed) will go here.