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# 1 Introduction

**CB: # AIRAN1\_General**

**- Check the work plan, revise if needed**

**- Endorse the updated TR if agreeable**

**- Provide the conclusion for SI based on the outputs of other CBs**

(CMCC - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-222447](file:///D:\1.3GPP\0.XF's%20contribution\36.RAN3%23115\Inbox\R3-222447.zip)

The deadline for the first phase of the email discussion is Friday February 25th, 12am UTC.

# 2 For the Chairman’s Notes

# 3 Discussion

As per the guidance from the chair, the first round of the CB will be structed as follows:

* Endorse the updated TR if agreeable
* Provide the conclusion for SI

## 3.1 Draft TR

Moderator think we can endorse draft TR as BL [1]. In case companies have any comments, please indicate in following table:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Reasons/Comments/Suggestions** |
| Huawei | Yes |  |
| Intel | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary**

## 3.2 Conclusion for SI

In R3-222276 [2], following TP on conclusion for the SI is provided:

# 6 Conclusion

The AI/ML functionality and the following use cases are recommended by RAN3 to be specified in Rel-18 normative phase:

* AI/ML-based Network Energy Saving
* AI/ML-based Load Balancing
* AI/ML-based Mobility Optimization

Recommendations for each use case take the section of “Solutions and standard impacts” for each use case as basis.

**Q1: Companies are invited to provide views on whether agree above TP on conclusion of the SI?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Reasons/Comments/Suggestions** |
| Ericsson |  | We have provided a draft conclusion in R3-222100. The conclusion proposed is as follows:  The study on enhancements for Data Collection for NR and EN-DC can be considered completed.  The following is concluded:  • The high-level principles captured in section 4.1 of TR37.817 shall remain valid during normative phase.  • The Network Energy Saving use case description and “solutions and standard impacts” should be taken as baseline for normative phase.  • The Load Balancing use case description and “solutions and standard impacts” should be taken as baseline for normative phase.  • The Mobility Optimisation use case description and “solutions and standard impacts” should be taken as baseline for normative phase.  With respect to the conclusion above, we add that the high level principles shall be considered as baseline during WI phase |
| Huawei | Yes | We agree with moderator’s proposed texts, we also think E///’s proposal on high-level principles needs to be captured together, like:  …  …  Recommendations for each use case take the section of “Solutions and standard impacts” for each use case as basis, and the high-level principles captured in section 4.1 of TR37.817 shall remain valid during normative phase. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary**

In R3-221941 [3], following TP on conclusion for the SI is provided:

# 6 Conclusion

Potential impact on SA5 specifications:

* Support Model deployment/update, which is used to initially deploy a trained, validated, and tested AI/ML model to Model Inference function or to deliver an updated model to the Model Inference function.
* Support Model performance feedback, which may be used for monitoring the performance of the AI/ML model
* Support data collection of Input and Feedback information used for AI/ML-based network energy saving, AI/ML-based load balancing and AI/ML-based mobility optimization, as defined in Section 5.1.2.4, 5.1.2.6, 5.2.2.4, 5.2.2.6, 5.3.2.4 and 5.3.2.6.

RAN3 should work on standard impacts of each use case, which are identified in Section 5.1.2.7, 5.2.2.7 and 5.3.2.7, during work item phase in Rel-18.

Common information (input/output/feedback) for all three uses cases should be worked together. Potential common Xn interface impact among three use cases:

* New signalling procedure or enhanced existing procedure to collect the input data information
  + Predicted resource status between neighboring NG-RAN nodes and source NG-RAN node
* New signalling procedure or enhanced existing procedure to retrieve feedback information
  + UE performance information between neighboring NG-RAN nodes and source NG-RAN node
  + System KPIs between neighboring NG-RAN nodes and source NG-RAN node

Other standard impact of input/output/feedback can be found in Section 5.1.2.7, 5.2.2.7 and 5.3.2.7.

**Q2: Companies are invited to provide views on whether agree above TP on conclusion of the SI?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Reasons/Comments/Suggestions** |
| Ericsson | No | As mentioned above, we are in favour of a simpler conclusions the one presented in R3-222100, where it is stated that the high level principles and the use case descriptions shall be taken as baseline for normative work. The impact on SA5 stated above has not been analysed during the SI (RAN 3 concluded that Model Deployment/Update and Model Performance Feedback are out of scope)a lot of the information above is included in the use case descriptions under “Standard Impacts”.  For these reasons we would prefer not to take this conclusion as baseline. |
| Huawei | No | We think the summary in Q1 should be enough, we share similar comments as E/// on SA5 related part, thus we would suggest to take the proposals in Q1 (with some addition from E///’s contexts, as what we suggested) as base line. |
| Intel |  | For common information used by all three use cases, we are fine not capturing explicitly what are the duplicated information in the conclusion, while it would be good to reach an agreement to not duplicate working on the same information in each use case separately during WI.  Regarding to impact to SA5, we acknowledge and agree that model deployment/update, model performance feedback is not in the scope of RAN3.  Recalling that we send a LS to SA5 to support model deployment/update for “model training in OAM and model inference in NG-RAN” in RAN3 #113e meeting. SA5 also replied us they will study and support it during Rel-18. However, they are not informed “model performance feedback” is introduced in last RAN3 meeting.  The intention of capturing such standard impact is not to let RAN3 study or continue work on the impact during WI. It is proposed to capture such impact so that the solutions could be completed in 3GPP across WGs during WI, especially in SA5, in which case, SA5 could also refer to the outcome of RAN3 SI to complete their work in Rel-18.  Therefore, we think it would be beneficial to capture standard impact to SA5 in the conclusion. |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary**

# 4 Conclusion, Recommendations

# 5 Reference

1. R3-221610 TR37.817 v1.2.0 (CMCC)
2. R3-222276 TP to TR 37 817 for SI conclusion (CMCC)
3. R3-221941 Consideration on alignment for AIML in NG-RAN Rel-17 SI (Intel Corporation)