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# Introduction

This is the summary document for the following come back:

**CB: # 94\_SecondaryRATDataReport**

**- Identify the issue which can not be solved by implementation**

**- Discuss the possible solutions and decide if agreeable**

(RadiSys - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-221104](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cgrajendr%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5CTemp1_RAN3_114bis-e_agenda_202201180020.zip%5CInbox%5CR3-221104.zip)

First round deadline - Thursday 20th at 16:00 UTC time

# For the Chairman’s Notes

Propose the following:

Agree TP … .

# First Round

The discussion paper [1] states the issues around un-coordinated Secondary RAT Data Usage Report Procedure.

Currently, Secondary RAT Data Usage Report is OAM configured in both MN and SN. The configuration of Secondary RAT Data Usage Report has different variables and parameters. If there is any mismatch in the configuration between MN and SN, MN may not be able to send the Secondary RAT Data Usage Report to the billing function in the core. Especially in case of Inter vendor deployment scenarios, absence of a common OAM will impact the configuration of Secondary RAT Data Usage Report in MN and SN.

Based on [1] the following observations are made. When MN is unaware of the optional procedure Secondary RAT Data Usage Report, MN may not wait for the Secondary RAT Data Usage Report and send UE Context Release to SN.

**Observation 1: Optional message like SN Status Transfer sent from SN to MN (if configured) is co-ordinated via Xn/Xn procedures between MN and SN and MN excepts SN Status Transfer prior releasing the SN**

**Observation 2: The Configuration of Secondary RAT Data Usage Report (enable/disable, Report Periodicity) at SN is left to OAM and there are no X2 or Xn procedures supporting co-ordination of Secondary RAT Data Usage Report configuration between MN and SN. Hence MN may not be aware of the Secondary RAT Data Usage Report arrival.**

**Observation 3: If MN is unaware of the Secondary RAT Data Usage Report configuration at SN, Secondary RAT Data Usage Report received at MN after UE Context Release is sent, will be dropped at MN and charging function at 5GC/EPC will have incorrect usage data for billing purposes.**

TS37.340 Clause 10.4.1

**MN initiated SN Release**



Figure 10.4.1-1: SN Release procedure – MN initiated

Q1. If MN is unaware of the Secondary RAT Data Usage Report configuration at SN, MN may not wait for Secondary RAT Data Usage Report before releasing the UE Context at SN. Do you agree?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Radisys | Yes, Optional procedures like SN Status Transfer are co-ordinated between MN and SN, so that MN is aware that it needs to wait for SN status Transfer before releasing the UE Context at SN. But in case of Secondary RAT Data Usage, if MN is unaware due to mismatch in the configuration or absence of common OAM, or dynamic change of OAM configuration, MN may not wait for Secondary RAT Data Usage and release the UE Context at SN. This will result in Secondary RAT Data Usage report being lost and incorrect information for billing.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Q2. For MN to be aware of the Secondary RAT Data Usage Report at SN, MN and SN needs to co-ordinate Secondary RAT Data Usage Report configuration over X2/Xn. Do you agree?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Radisys | Yes,Like any other 3GPP procedures, co-ordination is needed over X2/Xn interface for Secondary RAT Data Usage for both MN and SN to be in sync. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Q3. Does MN need to support configuration of Secondary RAT Data Usage Report to SN via X2/Xn interface procedures to avoid configuration mismatch between MN and SN and support inter vendor deployments?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Radisys | Yes,This is much needed for inter vendor deployment scenarios where common OAM is absent. MN can be configured via OAM, but MN needs to configure SN based on its OAM configuration.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Moderator’s summary:**

Majority of companies think …

**Proposal 1**: TP...

# Second Round

**Moderator’s summary:**

Majority of companies think …

**Proposal 1**: TP...

# Conclusion

The following is proposed:

**Proposal 1**: TP...
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