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# 1 Introduction

This paper summarizes the following email discussion:

**CB: # 92\_IndirectDF**

**- Clarify the benefits and the definition of indirect data forwarding**

**- Rewording the semantic description?**

(HW - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-221102](Inbox\R3-221102.zip)

# 2 For the Chair’s Notes

TBD

# 3 Discussion (Phase 1)

## 3.1 X2AP CR on SGNB RELEASE REQUEST message

Based on the online discussion, it seems there are two options to support the scenario below:

|  |
| --- |
| For the data forwarding for SN-terminated bearers, and for handover from the ENDC to SA,  - the data forwarding can happen from the source SgNB to the target gNB (i.e. source SgNB->SGW(PGW)->UPF->target gNB). |

* **Option 1: Add the DL/UL forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint for S1 in SGNB RELEASE REQUEST message as provided in R3-220690 below**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| >>CHOICE *Resource Configuration* | M |  |  |  |  |  |
| >>>*PDCP present in SN* |  |  |  | This choice tag is used if the *PDCP at SgNB* IE in the *EN-DC Resource Configuration* IE is set to the value "present". |  |  |
| >>>>UL Forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint | O |  | GTP Tunnel Endpoint 9.2.1 | Identifies the X2 transport bearer used for forwarding of UL PDUs | – |  |
| >>>>DL Forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint | O |  | GTP Tunnel Endpoint 9.2.1 | Identifies the X2 transport bearer used for forwarding of DL PDUs | – |  |
| >>>>UL Forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint – S1 | O |  | GTP Tunnel Endpoint 9.2.1 | Identifies the S1 transport bearer used for forwarding of UL PDUs | YES | ignore |
| >>>>DL Forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint – S1 | O |  | GTP Tunnel Endpoint 9.2.1 | Identifies the S1 transport bearer used for forwarding of DL PDUs | YES | ignore |

* **Option 2: update the semantic descriptions, e.g, adding “or S1” (see below), or just removing the “X2”.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| >>>>DL Forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint | O |  | GTP Tunnel Endpoint 9.2.1 | Identifies the **X2 or S1** transport bearer used for forwarding of DL PDUs |
| >>>>UL Forwarding GTP Tunnel Endpoint | O |  | GTP Tunnel Endpoint 9.2.1 | Identifies the **X2 or S1** transport bearer used for forwarding of UL PDUs |

Basically we think that the option 1 is the preferred approach. The reason is that during handover, the source node needs to be aware whether the received TNL destination address is for X2-U, or S1-U. Then it can select the proper source X2-U or S1-U address for data forwarding. Otherwise, the data forwarding would be failed.

For example,

* The received TNL **destination** address is allocated for X2-U,
* But the source RAN node misunderstands it as for S1-U,
* Then the source RAN node will use the S1-U **source** address but with X2-U **destination** address to forward packets.

Then the data forwarding transport is failed.

Note that during the ENDC to SA handover, the source MeNB is already aware of this TNL address for X2-U or S1-U. Typically,

* If the “Direct Forwarding Path Availability” is provided in the HANDOVER REQUIRED message, then the source MeNB understands the received TNL address is for the X2-U; it can allocate X2-U
* Otherwise, the MeNB understands the received TNL address is for S1-U.

So the question here is that the source SgNB also should be aware of the TNL address for X2-U or S1-U.

**Question 1: Your views whether the source SgNB should be aware of the data forwarding destination address is for X2-U or S1-U.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Huawei | As analysed above. The source SgNB should be aware of this. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

On the basis of the question 1, please provide views which option is your preferred one for X2AP.

**Question 2: Which option is preferred option?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

## 3.2 XnAP CR on the Served Cell Information

Over Xn interface, it is ambiguous whether the existing **D*ata Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node*** IE is indicating the Xn transport bearers, or can also be used for NG transport bearers.

So similarly to X2 interface, below provides two options. .

* **Option 1: add a new *Data Forwarding Info from SMF* in the XN-U ADDRESS INDICATION message provided in R3-220691**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| >**Xn-U Address Information per PDU Session Resources Item** |  | *1..<maxnoofPDUSessions>* |  |  | – |  |
| >>PDU Session ID | M |  | 9.2.3.18 |  | – |  |
| >>Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node | O |  | Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node 9.2.1.16 |  | – |  |
| >>Secondary Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node List | O |  | 9.2.1.31 | This IE would be present only when the target M-NG-RAN node decide to split a PDU session between MN and SN | YES | ignore |
| >>PDU Session Resource Setup Complete Info – SN terminated | O |  | 9.2.1.30 |  | – |  |
| >>DRB IDs taken into use | O |  | DRB List 9.2.1.29 | Indicating the DRB IDs taken into use by the target NG-RAN node, as specified in TS 37.340 [8]. | YES | reject |
| >>Data Forwarding Info from target E-UTRAN node | O |  | 9.2.1.35 |  | YES | ignore |
| >>Data Forwarding Info from SMF | O |  | Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node 9.2.1.16 | Indicating the NG transport bearers used for forwarding. | YES | ignore |

* **Option 2: Adding semantic descriptions for the *Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node* IE**

#### 9.1.1.11 XN-U ADDRESS INDICATION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IE/Group Name | Presence | Range | IE type and reference | Semantics description | Criticality | Assigned Criticality |
| <Skipped> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Xn-U Address Information per PDU Session Resources List** |  | *1* |  |  | YES | reject |
| >**Xn-U Address Information per PDU Session Resources Item** |  | *1..<maxnoofPDUSessions>* |  |  | – |  |
| >>PDU Session ID | M |  | 9.2.3.18 |  | – |  |
| >>Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node | O |  | Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node 9.2.1.16 | Indicating the Xn or NG transport bearers used for forwarding. | – |  |
| >>Secondary Data Forwarding Info from target NG-RAN node List | O |  | 9.2.1.31 | This IE would be present only when the target M-NG-RAN node decide to split a PDU session between MN and SN | YES | ignore |

The moderator understands this may dependent on the discussion of X2AP CR. But it may be beneficial to collect company views first.

**Question 3: Which option is preferred option? Or any other comments?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Huawei | Option 1.  We may prefer to define a new IE to differentiate Xn-U or NG-U based data forwarding. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

# 4 Discussion (Phase 2), if needed

TBD

# 5 Conclusions, Recommendations

TBD
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