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# Introduction

**# NRIIOT2-New\_QoS\_Parameters**

**- Open issues from last meeting in R3-211137:**

1. **details of Survival Time e.g. minimum and maximum value of Survival Time?**
2. **whether to include Survival Time for uplink?**
3. **the exact encoding, whether aperiodic type is allowed?**

**- Survival Time does not apply to aperiodic deterministic traffic in Release 17?**

**- The NG-RAN node may fulfil the survival time requirements either the uplink or downlink, but can not meet the TSN services in acknowledge mode. An LS to SA2 can be sent if any need?**

**- Capture agreements and open issues in the summary**

**- Agreeable to have BL CRs at this time?**

(Samsung - moderator)

# For the Chairman’s Notes

<TBD>

# Discussion – Phase 1

## Applicability of survival time to aperiodic deterministic traffic

3 companies [Nokia, CATT, Huawei] propose that the Survival Time is not applicable to aperiodic traffic in Rel.17 and there is no other related proposal.

**Q1: Do you agree that the survival time is not applicable to aperiodic deterministic traffic in Rel.17?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung | Yes | RAN2 already agreed that only periodic traffic is considered for survival time work in Rel-17. |
| Huawei | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

## Expression of Survival Time

For the expression of Survival Time, two options are proposed:

* **Option 1: Unit of time**, e.g. INTEGER (0…1920000) in unit of us [ZTE, CATT, Ericsson, Samsung]
* **Option 2: Unit of Periodicity**, e.g. INTEGER (0…3) in unit of Periodicity [Nokia, Huawei]

4 companies support the unit of time and 2 companies support the unit of Periodicity.

**Q2: Do you agree on the expression of Survival Time with the unit of time (Option 1)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung | Yes | We think both options can work.But option 1 is more aligned with the description in SA2 spec:“the SMF converts maximum number of messages into time units by multiplying its value by the TSCAI Periodicity, and sets the TSCAI Survival Time to the calculated value.” |
| Huawei |  | We are fine with option1.  |
| CATT | Yes | Option 1. The SMF only provide the time unit value. Also lots of survival time is not equal to times of Periodicity. I add annex in this summary, the table is copied from TS 22.104 v17.5. It is the latest Table 5.2-1: Periodic deterministic communication service performance requirements.In this table you may find some pair (Periodicity and survival time) like as below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ≤ 10 ms | 10 ms |
| ≤ 50 ms | 50 ms |

 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

## Minimum and maximum value of Survival Time

All companies proposed the **minimum value** of survival time with **0 (in unit of time or in unit of Periodicity)**.

Regarding the **maximum value** of survival time, the following options are proposed:

* **Option 1: 3 times of maximum periodicity value or Periodicity** [ZTE, Samsung, Nokia]
	+ Option 1-1: 1920000 us (3 times of max Periodicity) [ZTE, Samsung]
	+ Option 1-2: 3 in unit of Periodicity [Nokia]
* **Option 2: 16 times of Periodicity** [Huawei]
* **Option 3: 10 times of maximum periodicity value** (6400000 us) [Ericsson]
* **Option 4: 180000 ms and larger** [CATT]

3 companies support 3 times of Periodicity and other 3 companies propose different values.

**Q3: Do you agree on setting the minimum value of Survival Time to 0 and the maximum value of survival time to 3 times of Periodicity or maximum periodicity value?** (The encoding of the maximum value for survival time depends on the agreement of sect 3.2 Q3.)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung |  | In TS 22.104, the performance requirement of the survival time is >= 3 times of the transfer interval. So we’re ok with Option 1, 2 and 3, and have no strong preference. |
| Huawei | Yes for minimum value;No for maximum value | In TS 22.204, the maximum value can be set as three numbers of periodicity or the TBD. So a larger number (larger than 3 times or 1920000 us) should be considered. Also for future purpose, we should allow a larger value at this stage. We may suggest we can mark this FFS if no agreement is made at this meeting.  |
| CATT | Yes for minimum valueNo for the maximum | You may find in the Annex table which I add. The maximum Transfer interval(Periodicity) is **100 ms to 60 s (note 7)** And the survival time is **≥ 3 x transfer interval value**So the maximum survival time is ≥180s |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

One company, CATT, proposes the extension of the maximum value of the Periodicity and introduces the new IE:

9.3.1.132 Periodicity

This IE indicates the Periodicity of the TSC QoS flow as defined in TS 23.501 [9].

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IE/Group Name** | **Presence** | **Range** | **IE type and reference** | **Semantics description** |
| Periodicity | M |  | INTEGER (0..640000, …) | Periodicity expressed in units of 1 us.This IE is ignored if the Extended Periodicity IE is included. |
| Extended Periodicity | O |  | INTEGER (640..60000, …) | Extended Periodicity expressed in units of 1 ms. |

**Q4: Do you agree extending the Periodicity and introducing a new IE for the extended Periodicity ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung | No | When deciding the range of the Periodicity in Rel.16, the maximum periodicity of SPS/CG is considered. If the larger periodicity value is signalled to NG-RAN, it’s not clear how to support the extended periodicity in NG\_RAN and what could be the benefit. |
| Huawei |  | Seems not necessary, unless there are such requirements e.g. from SA1.  |
| CATT | Yes  | Refer to the answer for Q3The maximum Transfer interval(Periodicity) is **100 ms to 60 s (note 7)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

## Whether to support Survival Time for uplink

5 companies agree on supporting Survival Time for downlink and for uplink and one company proposes waiting for RAN2 conclusion further:

* For UL and DL [Nokia, CATT, Huawei, Ericsson, Samsung]
* For UL and DL, but wait further for RAN2 conclusion [ZTE]

So all companies seem to support the Survival Time for UL and DL, but the final decision may would depend on RAN2 conclusion.

**Q5: Do you agree supporting the Survival Time for downlink and uplink?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung | Yes | In our understanding, RAN2 and SA2 consider the survival time for both downlink and uplink. |
| Huawei | Yes | We understand RAN2 already agree to support the survival time for uplink. Also in our specification, it is very flexible to support downlink only, uplink or both to have survival time.  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

**Q6: Do you agree on adding the following ‘Editor’s note’ regarding the survival time for uplink and waiting for RAN2 conclusion?**

“Editor’s note: Whether Survival Time should be included in TSC assistance information Uplink.”

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung |  | We don’t have strong objection on adding the editor’s note at this time. |
| Huawei |  | This note seems not necessary, since RAN2#113 already agreed the survival time for uplink. RAN2 confirms that specification enhancement for survival time support may only needed for uplink. Downlink is addressed by implementation and no specification impacts.  |
| CATT | No |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

## Others

One company[Huawei] proposes that RAN3 discusses TSN services in acknowledgement mode:

“Proposal 1: Discuss the TSN servces in acknowledgment mode. Typically, The NG-RAN node may fulfil the survival time requriements either the uplink or downlink, but can not meet the TSN services in acknowledge mode. An LS "to SA2 can be sent if any need.”

**Q7: Do you agree on discussing TSN services in acknowledgement mode in RAN3?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comment |
| Samsung | No | We don’t find a reason that RAN3 discusses this issue first and provides the input to SA2. We think it should be contributed and discussed in SA2 first. |
| Huawei |  | As the proponent company, the intention of this part is to collect initial views (if any) on this issue.  |
| CATT |  | Contribution driven is ok |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s Summary: <TBD>**

# Discussion – Phase 2

<TBD>

# Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

If needed
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# Annex - Table 5.2-1 in 22.104 v17.5.0

**Table 5.2-1: Periodic deterministic communication service performance requirements**

| **Characteristic parameter** | **Influence quantity** |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Communica­tion service availability: target value (note 1)** | **Communication service reliability: mean time between failures** | **End-to-end latency: maximum (note 2)** (note 12a) | **Service bit rate: user experienced data rate** (note 12a) | **Message size [byte]** (note 12a) | **Transfer interval: target value** (note 12a) | **Survival time** (note 12a) | **UE speed** (note 13) | **# of UEs** | **Service area (note 3)** | **Remarks** |
| 99.999 % to 99.999 99 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value | – | 50 | 500 μs  | 500 μs | ≤ 75 km/h | ≤ 20 | 50 m x 10 m x 10 m | Motion control (A.2.2.1) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value | – | 40 | 1 ms  | 1 ms | ≤ 75 km/h | ≤ 50 | 50 m x 10 m x 10 m | Motion control (A.2.2.1) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value | – | 20 | 2 ms  | 2 ms | ≤ 75 km/h | ≤ 100 | 50 m x 10 m x 10 m | Motion control (A.2.2.1) |
| 99.999 9 % | – | < 5 ms | 1 kbit/s (steady state)1.5 Mbit/s (fault case) | < 1,500 | < 60 s (steady state)≥ 1 ms (fault case) | transfer interval | stationary | 20 | 30 km x 20 km | Electrical Distribution – Dis­tributed automated switch­ing for isolation and service restoration (A.4.4); (note 5)  |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value |  | 1 k | ≤ 10 ms | 10 ms | - | 5 to 10 | 100 m x 30 m x 10 m | Control-to-control in motion control (A.2.2.2); (note 9) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value (note 5) | 50 Mbit/s |  | ≤ 1 ms | 3 x transfer interval | stationary | 2 to 5 | 100 m x 30 m x 10 m | Wired-2-wireless 100 Mbit/s link replacement (A.2.2.4) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value (note 5) | 250 Mbit/s |  | ≤ 1 ms | 3 x transfer interval | stationary | 2 to 5 | 100 m x30 m x 10 m | Wired-2-wireless 1 Gbit/s link replacement (A.2.2.4) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value |  | 1 k | ≤ 50 ms | 50 ms | - | 5 to 10 | 1,000 m x 30 m x 10 m | Control-to-control in motion control (A.2.2.2); (note 9) |
| > 99.999 9 % | ~ 10 years | < transfer interval value | – | 40 to 250 | 1 ms to 50 ms (note 6) (note 7) | transfer interval value | ≤ 50 km/h | ≤ 100 | ≤ 1 km2 | Mobile robots (A.2.2.3) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 1 month | < transfer interval value | – | 40 to 250 | 4 ms to 8 ms (note 7) | transfer interval value | < 8 km/h (linear movement) | TBD | 50 m x 10 m x 4 m | Mobile control panels – remote control of e.g. assembly robots, milling machines (A.2.4.1); (note 9) |
| 99.999 999 % | 1 day | < 8 ms(note 14) | 250 kbit/s | 40 to 250 | 8 ms | 16 ms | quasi-static; up to 10 km/h | 2 or more | 30 m x 30 m | Mobile Opera­tion Panel: Emer­gency stop (connectivity availability) (A.2.4.1A) |
| 99.999 99 % | 1 day | < 10 ms(note 14) | < 1 Mbit/s | <1024 | 10 ms | ~10 ms | quasi-static; up to 10 km/h | 2 or more | 30 m x 30 m | Mobile Operation Panel: Safety data stream (A.2.4.1A) |
| 99.999 999 % | 1 day | 10 ms to 100 ms(note 14) | 10 kbit/s | 10 to 100 | 10 ms to 100 ms | transfer interval | stationary | 2 or more | 100 m² to 2,000 m² | Mobile Operation Panel: Control to visualization (A.2.4.1A) |
| 99.999 999 % | 1 day | < 1 ms(note 14) | 12 Mbit/s to 16 Mbit/s | 10 to 100 | 1 ms | ~ 1 ms | stationary | 2 or more | 100 m² | Mobile Operation Panel: Motion control (A.2.4.1A) |
| 99.999 999 % | 1 day | < 2 ms (note 14) | 16 kbit/s (UL)2 Mbit/s (DL) | 50 | 2 ms | ~ 2 ms | stationary | 2 or more | 100 m² | Mobile Operation Panel: Haptic feedback data stream (A.2.4.1A) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 1 year | < transfer interval | – | 40 to 250 | < 12 ms (note 7) | 12 ms | < 8 km/h (linear movement) | TBD | typically 40 m x 60 m; maximum 200 m x 300 m | Mobile control panels -remote control of e.g. mobile cranes, mobile pumps, fixed portal cranes (A.2.4.1); (note 9) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ≥ 1 year | < transfer interval value | – | 20 | ≥ 10 ms (note 8) | 0 | typically stationary | typically 10 to 20 | typically ≤ 100 m x 100 m x 50 m | Process automation – closed loop control (A.2.3.1) |
| 99.999 % | TBD | ~ 50 ms  | – | ~ 100 | ~ 50 ms | TBD | stationary | ≤ 100,000 | several km2 up to 100,000 km2 | Primary frequency control (A.4.2); (note 9) |
| 99.999 % | TBD | ~ 100 ms | – | ~ 100 | ~ 200 ms | TBD | stationary | ≤ 100,000 | several km2 up to 100,000 km2 | Distributed Voltage Control (A.4.3) (note 9) |
| > 99.999 9 % | ~ 1 year | < transfer interval value | – | 15 k to 250 k | 10 ms to 100 ms (note 7) | transfer interval value | ≤ 50 km/h | ≤ 100 | ≤ 1 km2 | Mobile robots – video-operated remote control (A.2.2.3) |
| > 99.999 9 % | ~ 1 year | < transfer interval value | – | 40 to 250 | 40 ms to 500 ms (note 7) | transfer interval value | ≤ 50 km/h | ≤ 100 | ≤ 1 km2 | Mobile robots (A.2.2.3) |
| 99.99 % | ≥ 1 week | < transfer interval value | – | 20 to 255 | 100 ms to 60 s (note 7) | ≥ 3 x transfer interval value | typically stationary | ≤ 10,000 to 100,000 | ≤ 10 km x 10 km x 50 m | Plant asset management (A.2.3.3) |
| >99.999 999 % | > 10 years | < 2 ms | 2 Mbit/s to 16 Mbit/s | 250 to 2,000 | 1 ms | transfer interval value | stationary | 1 | < 100 m2 | Robotic Aided Surgery (A.6.2) |
| >99.999 9 %  | > 1 year | < 20 ms | 2 Mbit/s to 16 Mbit/s | 250 to 2,000 | 1 ms | transfer interval value | stationary | 2 per 1,000 km2 | < 400 km (note 12) | Robotic Aided Surgery (A.6.2) |
| >99.999 % | >> 1 month (< 1 year) | < 20 ms | 2 Mbit/s to 16 Mbit/s | 80 | 1 ms | transfer interval value | stationary | 20 per 100 km2 | < 50 km (note 12) | Robotic Aided Diagnosis (A.6.3) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < 0.5 x transfer interval | 2.5 Mbit/s | 250500 with localisa­tion informa­tion | > 5 ms> 2.5 ms> 1.7 ms(note 10) | 0transfer interval2 x transfer interval(note 10) | ≤ 6 km/h (linear movement) | 2 to 8 | 10 m x 10 m x 5 m;50  m x 5 m x 5 m(note 11) | Cooperative carrying – fragile work pieces; (ProSe communication) (A.2.2.5) |
| 99.999 9 % to 99.999 999 % | ~ 10 years | < 0.5 x transfer interval | 2.5 Mbit/s | 250500 with localisa­tion informa­tion | > 5 ms > 2.5 ms> 1.7 ms (note 10) | 0transfer interval2 x transfer interval(note 10) | ≤ 12 km/h (linear movement) | 2 to 8 | 10 m x 10 m x 5 m;50 m x 5 m x 5 m(note 11) | Cooperative carrying – elastic work pieces; (ProSe communication) (A.2.2.5) |
| NOTE 1: One or more retransmissions of network layer packets may take place in order to satisfy the communication service availability requirement.NOTE 2: Unless otherwise specified, all communication includes 1 wireless link (UE to network node or network node to UE) rather than two wireless links (UE to UE).NOTE 3: Length x width (x height).NOTE 4: (void)NOTE 5: Communication includes two wireless links (UE to UE).NOTE 6: This covers different transfer intervals for different similar use cases with target values of 1 ms, 1 ms to 10 ms, and 10 ms to 50 ms.NOTE 7: The transfer interval deviates around its target value by < ±25 %.NOTE 8: The transfer interval deviates around its target value by < ±5 %.NOTE 9: Communication may include two wireless links (UE to UE).NOTE 10: The first value is the application requirement, the other values are the requirement with multiple transmission of the same information (two or three times, respectively).NOTE 11: Service Area for direct communication between UEs. The group of UEs with direct communication might move throughout the whole factory site (up to several km²). NOTE 12: Maximum straight-line distance between UEs. NOTE 12a: It applies to both UL and DL unless stated otherwise.NOTE 13: It applies to both linear movement and rotation unless stated otherwise. NOTE 14: The mobile operation panel is connected wirelessly to the 5G system. If the mobile robot/production line is also connected wirelessly to the 5G system, the communication includes two wireless links. |