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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN3 would like to thank RAN2 for the received LS on UE location aspects in NTN, and also SA2 and SA3-LI for their replies already received.

Regarding the questions posed in the LS:

* **Question: RAN2 would like to ask RAN3, SA3-LI and SA2 to confirm whether the current functionality identified above is sufficient for use in Non-Terrestrial Networks including initial registration procedure.**

**Answer from RAN3**:

RAN3 understands from the RAN2 response that only the serving NTN Uu cell ID (broadcast cell ID of the serving cell) and the broadcast TAC(s) would be available at initial access. As a consequence, RAN3 assumes that at initial access the gNB is typically not able to provide in the ULI a CGI (Earth fixed) with location granularity similar to the ULI provided in TN; and also at initial access, the CGI provided in the ULI may represent a geographical area spanning multiple TACs. Based on the previous reply from SA2 on this topic, RAN3 also assumes that this is acceptable at system level.

Regarding NNSF (and e.g. country selection), RAN3 understands that there may be cases where the NG-RAN is not able to guarantee the selection of the correct CN at initial access without more precise location information, and this would need to be corrected later by the NG-RAN or the CN. Minimizing the number of actions (e.g. by providing some level of additional location information at initial access) seems useful, if at all possible, and RAN3 would like to ask RAN2 to check such feasibility.

After AS security is setup, RAN3 understands from the RAN2 LS that the NG-RAN will be able to obtain the UE’s location information (e.g. GNSS information or otherwise), and thereby construct a CGI provided in the ULI satisfying accuracy requirements comparable to those for TN.

**Question 1: RAN3 would like RAN2 to confirm whether the gNB will be able to acquire UE location information with an accuracy comparable to TN cell granularity (e.g. GNSS information** **or otherwise) after AS security, and also to confirm whether it is possible to provide any level of UE location information (i.e. finer than NTN Uu cell accuracy) before AS security.**

In addition to the above, RAN3 would like to draw RAN2’s attention to the scenario in which a RRC\_CONNECTED UE moves across a country border but remains in the same NTN cell. To enable triggering of the N2-based Handover to change the AMF, RAN3 agreed that the gNB is expected to know from the UE, when the UE moves across the country border (to some reasonable level of precision), in case the serving NTN cell serves more than one country. This is linked to a requirement in TS 23.502. RAN3 assumes that this scenario may be covered by functionality needed for CGI mapping.

**Question 2: RAN3 welcomes any feedback from RAN2 on the described case (i.e. the gNB to trigger inter-AMF handover when crossing country borders).**

RAN3 has also considered the related question of TAC reporting in the ULI, taking into account RAN2’s agreement to support broadcast of multiple TACs per PLMN in a cell [see LS in R2-2104377]. RAN3 is not clear on which of the broadcast TACs the gNB will indicate to the CN in ULI, and RAN3 also noted that one or more of the broadcast TAIs might not be consistent with the UE’s Registration Area.

**Question 3: RAN3 requests RAN2, CT1 and SA2 to provide any feedback on above issue (i.e. which TAC should be reported by the gNB in case of multiple broadcast TAC).**

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN WG2, SA WG2, SA WG3-LI, SA WG3 and CT WG1**

**ACTION:** RAN3 kindly asks the above groups to take the above information into account, and provide any feedback if needed, and RAN WG2, SA WG2 and CT WG1 to provide feedback on the questions raised in this LS.

**3. Date of Next RAN3 Meetings:**
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