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# 1 Overall description

RAN3 would like to thank CT4 for their LS on Information on the port number allocation solutions.RAN3 had discussions the different solutions in the TR, and reached the following understandings:

From RAN3 perspective:

* Both Solutions 1 and 2 are feasible.
* RAN3 also noticed that Solution 11 is a once-and-for-all solution that can be considered, though it is not pursued by CT4its adoption is not entirely under 3GPP control. It requires IETF endorsement.
* The rest of the solutions are not desirable.

# 2 Actions

**To CT4**

**ACTION:** RAN3 respectfully asks CT4 to take the above understanding into account.

# 3 Dates of next RAN3 meetings

3GPP TSG RAN3#113e 08/2021 E-Meeting

3GPP TSG RAN3#114e 011/2021 E-Meeting