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# Introduction

This contribution provides email discussion for the following,

**CB: # RANSlicing1-Workplan\_Conclusion**

**- check work plan, revise R3-210902 if needed**

**- check the details of TR38.832, and revise R3-210903 if needed**

**- Close the discussions on key issue#7 in RAN3 waiting SA2 progress and explicit request from SA2 by new LS as proposed in R3-210696?**

**- Conclusions based on the output of other CBs, if available**

(CMCC - moderator)

Summary of offline disc R3-211017

# For the Chairman’s Notes

Propose the following:

Propose to capture the following:

# Discussion

The following contributions are captured in this section,

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| R3-210902 | TR 38.832 v0.4.0 (CMCC, ZTE) | draft TR |
| R3-210903 | Revised Work Plan for RAN Slicing (CMCC, ZTE) | Work Plan |
| R3-210696 | Potential Feedback to SA2 on key issue#7 (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell) | discussionMove to 17.1 |

## Updated work plan and draft TR

Regarding the updated work plan in 0903 [2], please provide comments in the following table, if any,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Regarding the draft TR in 0902 [1], please provide comments in the following table, if any,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Potential feedback to SA2 on key issue#7

In 0696 [3], the discussion on whether to feedback to SA2 on key issue#7 is provided. As quoted by 0696 [3] as below,

*At the last RAN3#110 meeting, it was discussed whether any feedback of SA2 key issue 7 should be provided by RAN3.*

*However, it was already agreed at previous meeting that we would feed back only if requested by an LS from SA2:*

*“no other feedback from RAN3 is requested concerning solutions in 23.700-40, unless explicitly requested by SA2”*

*However, at the last SA2 meeting which took place in parallel with RAN3, no LS was sent by SA2 on such request. Moreover, since last RAN3 meeting, SA2 did not meet, therefore there is no change compared to the conclusion RAN3 took last time.*

*It is therefore proposed to close this discussion until SA2 further progresses in their discussions on key issue 7 and any potential RAN3 impact.*

Since it was agreed in RAN 3 that no feedback from RAN3 is required unless explicitly requested by SA2, and key issue#7 is related to slice-aware cell selection which is more relevant to discussions in RAN2. Therefore, there’s no need to spend too much time to discuss this issue, and no need to feedback to SA2 on key issue#7 from RAN3 for now.

Please provide comments in the following table, if there’s any need to feedback to SA2 on key issue#7 from RAN3 during this meeting,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Huawei | Share the same view in [3] and the moderator’s observation.  |
| ZTE | Share the above views. |
| CATT  | Agree with above |

## Conclusion

As hinted by the guidelines, the conclusion part will be based on the output of another CB: **CB: # RANSlicing3-Slice\_Solutions\_and\_Evaluation**

So we’ll wait for the outcome of another CB, and then decide if some conclusions can be agreed.

## Others

Please provide comments in the following table, in case there’s any other issue which is not mentioned but is suggested to be discussed in this CB,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion, Recommendations

# Refs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [1] R3-210902 | TR 38.832 v0.4.0 (CMCC, ZTE) | draft TR |
| [2] R3-210903 | Revised Work Plan for RAN Slicing (CMCC, ZTE) | Work Plan |
| [3] R3-210696 | Potential Feedback to SA2 on key issue#7 (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell) | discussionMove to 17.1 |