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# 1 Introduction

This paper provides summary of discussions at RAN#110-e on:

**CB: # RANSlicing3-SA2impact**

**- The slice uniform availability principle (i.e. the slices included in an Allowed NSSAI are available in any cell of a UE’s Registration Area) shall be maintained in Rel17?**

**- The slice support on multiple frequency scenario described by SA2 in S2-2001467 can be supported from RAN3 point of view?**

**- Pending to SA2 conclusion?**

(E/// - moderator)

# 2 For the Chairman’s Notes

[To be completed]

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 Slice Availability in Rel17

In [1] an analysis of KI#7 documented in SA2’s TR 23.700-40 is carried out. This discussion was agreed to be taken by RAN3 at the last meeting, as per minuted agreement:

*RAN3’s feedback to SA2 concerns KI#7 in Section 5.7 of TR23.700-40 and that no other feedback from RAN3 is requested concerning solutions in 23.700-40, unless explicitly requested by SA2*

In order to tackle the issues of KI#7, the following agreement from RAN3-109 needs to be recalled:

*Status Quo in Rel-16 is that the slices included in an Allowed NSSAI are available anywhere (i.e. in any cell) within the UE’s Registration Area*

This agreement was derived during discussions at RAN3-109 with the intention of confirming that an S-NSSAI included in the Allowed NSSAI of a UE is available, i.e. is supported by the RAN, in every cell of the UE’s RA. Whether, at any point in time, a cell in the RA has sufficient resources to admit a QoS flow of a supported S-NSSAI, is subject to admission control and it is independent of whether the S-NSSAI is supported by that cell or not.

Respecting the slice availability principle in Rel17 would be needed to maintain backwards compatibility with respect to Rel16 networks and UE behaviours. In fact, if the slice availability principle is not respected in Rel17, legacy Rel16 UEs and older would still expect uniform availability to be supported. This implies that uniform slice availability would need anyhow to be supported in order to allow correct operation of legacy UEs.   
As an example, if a slice is not supported in a cell of an RA where the slice is allowed (included in the Allowed NSSAI), a legacy UE will still be able to request access to that slice and the RAN will still need to act as if the slice is supported (i.e. it is not possible for the RAN to reject access to the slice due to “Slice(s) not supported” cause as this may trigger a NAS rejection for the slice).

Companies are invited to provide their view on how to ensure correct operation of legacy UEs (Rel16 and older) expecting that uniform slice availability is respected.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Ericsson | Uniform Slice Availability shall be supported in Rel17 to ensure correct operation of Rel16 and older UEs, which expect slice support in every cell of a RA. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 Support of Slice deployment in different Frequency Bands

The LS from SA2 in [2] provides a scenario described below for convenience:

*The frequency ranges in which NR can operate are identified as described in Table 5.1-1 in TS 38.101-1 and TS 38.101-2.*

***Table 5.1-1: Definition of frequency ranges***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Frequency range designation*** | ***Corresponding frequency range*** |
| *FR1* | *410 MHz – 7125 MHz* |
| *FR2* | *24250 MHz – 52600 MHz* |

*Roughly speaking, the radio spectrum for 5G can be more than ten times wider than the radio spectrum for 4G, considering that the practical operational spectrum bands of 4G are less than 3 GHz in the most of regions. It is therefore important to manage such a wide range of 5G frequencies efficiently.*

*One of the requirements documented in GSMA 5GJA NG.116 is to define the radio spectrum supported by the network slice. A specific frequency band can be used to access a specific network slice(s). For instance, eMBB slice can be supported in 2.6 GHz and 4.9 GHz while URLLC slice can be supported only in 4.9 GHz. In some other deployment scenarios, the lower frequency band can be used for IoT while using the higher frequency bands for eMBB services. That is, the combination of the spectrum bands and the network slices can be a good tool for operators requiring the service isolation/management as well as the maximum use of the 5G spectrum bands.*

*The example below are the possible deployment scenario and information flows showing the current network slicing mechanism when we introduce the GST attribute,* ***Radio spectrum****, e.g. frequency band X is used to access S-NSSAI #1 whilst frequency band Y is used to access S-NSSAI #2.*

**

*[NG-RAN cell coverage]*

It can be concluded that the scenario presented by SA2 can be supported in the following ways:

1. The slice deployment on multiple frequencies scenario, where Frequency Band X is used solely to support S-NSSAI 1 and Frequency Band Y is used to support S-NSSAI 2, can be supported by defining one RA1, including RAN1 coverage and another RA2, including RAN2 coverage. Namely, frequency band X and Frequency band Y belong to two different RA’s.   
   In this way the UE can be provisioned with an Allowed NSSAI in RA1, including S-NSSAI1, and another Allowed NSSAI in RA2, including S-NSSAI2.
2. The slice deployment on multiple frequencies scenario can be supported by creating a single RA, including RAN1 and RAN2 coverage. However, in this case S-NSSAI1 and S-NSSAI2 shall be supported on all cells of such RA, i.e. on cells of both Frequency Band X and Frequency Band Y. In this case, it is still possible to have preferred frequencies per S-NSSAI, so that UEs requesting a given slice will be steered to the frequency preferred for such slice.

**Companies are invited to provide their views on feasibility of the scenario support described above.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Ericsson | The scenario support options described are feasible. The scenario described by SA2 in their LS in [1] can be supported while maintaining uniform slice availability |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.3 Feedback on KI#7 solutions in TR23.700-40

The following feedback is proposed to be provided on the solutions available in TR23.700-40 and concerning KI#7.

Solution 17 is feasible for cases where slices are only supported on some frequencies. The solution allows for fast access to network slices. The solution is backwards compatible with previous UE releases.

Solution 29 is feasible for cases where slices are supported on all frequencies. This solution does not ensure fast access to network slices. The solution may negatively impact the RAN if the UE uses the configured frequency band per slice to steer cell re-selection. The solution cannot be supported by with previous UE releases.

Solution 30 is feasible for cases where slices are supported on all frequencies. This solution does not ensure fast access to network slices. Solution 30 may be useful to aid the UE at cell selection and re-selection The solution may negatively impact the RAN if the UE uses the configured frequency band per slice to steer cell re-selection. The solution cannot be supported by with previous UE releases.

Solution 31 is feasible for cases where slices are supported on all frequencies. Assuming that Solution 31 is conform to the uniform availability principle (slices supported in all cells of an RA), Solution 31 have potential to provide a method for steering UE’s to the preferred frequency band, that works for all UE’s. The solution allows for fast access to network slices, although it needs to be clarified how PDU session procedure is triggered if DC is used to access preferred frequency. Latency in triggering PDU session resource allocation procedures at the RAN delays access to the slice. The solution cannot be supported by with previous UE releases.

Solution 44 is feasible for cases where slices are supported on all frequencies. The solution ensures fast access to network slices. The solution is backwards compatible with previous UE releases. Solution 44 provides a straightforward solution that keep RAN in charge of the radio resources and UE mobility.

Companies are invited to provide their views on the feedback on the solutions above.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Ericsson | Agree to the feedback above and agree to include this feedback in a reply LS to SA2. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

If needed
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