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# 1 Background

Among the interested companies, we have discussed during offline that in NGAP the Global eNB ID in Target ID refers to the Global ng-eNB ID IE Type, which is specified as “globally identify an ng-eNB (see TS 38.300). “

This paper summarize the discussion so far and aiming to make a conclusion on the matter.

# 2 Discussion

In TS 38.300, it is defined that “ng-eNB” as “node providing E-UTRA user plane and control plane protocol terminations towards the UE, and connected via the NG interface to the 5GC”.

Two issues are discussed offline:

1. Does it bring confusion that the Global eNB ID refers to the Global ng-eNB ID?

**Views:**

1. The reference causes confusion and we better to fix it, so that the Global eNB ID does not refer to Global ng-eNB ID. If we decide to correct it, there are a couple of solutions.
2. Global eNB ID reuses the encoding for Global ng-eNB ID described in 9.3.1.8. This is allowed and not mistake.
3. The Global eNB ID IE is defined in NGAP in Rel 16, which includes home eNB ID. Do we need to align the Global eNB ID in the Target ID?

**Views:**

1. we don't have specific discussion on inter-system HO to HeNB, we also don't consider how to route to HeNB via a HeNB GW. Maybe no need.
2. Yes, we need to align. There are at least 3 solutions:

-          Option A: Add a new choice for “Home eNB ID” in the Global ng-eNB ID IE  => This does not seem preferable, since Home eNB is not supported per-se in 5GS.

-          Option B: Add a new choice for “Global eNB ID” in the Target ID IE, that points to Global eNB ID defined in 9.3.1.165 => This does not seem preferable, since it overlaps with an existing choice.

-          Option C: Add a new choice for “Global Home eNB ID” in the Target ID IE, that points to a newly defined IE in 9.3.1.x. Option C seems to be the best option, illustrated below.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 9.3.1.25        Target IDThis IE identifies the target for the handover.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IE/Group Name** | **Presence** | **Range** | **IE type and reference** | **Semantics description** |
| CHOICE *Target ID* | M |  |   |   |
| *>NG-RAN* |   |  |   |   |
| >>Global RAN Node ID | M |  | 9.3.1.5 |   |
| >>Selected TAI | M |  | TAI9.3.3.11 |   |
| *>E-UTRAN* |   |  |   |   |
| >>Global eNB ID | M |  | Global ng-eNB ID9.3.1.8 |   |
| >>Selected EPS TAI | M |  | EPS TAI9.3.3.17 |   |
| *>Target RNC-ID* |   |  |   |   |
| >>LAI | M |  | 9.3.3.30 |   |
| >>RNC-ID | M |  | 9.3.1.123 | This IE is ignored if the *Extended RNC-ID* IE is included in the *Target ID* IE. |
| >>Extended RNC-ID | O |  | 9.3.1.124 | The *Extended RNC-ID* IE is used if the RNC identity has a value larger than 4095. |
| *>Target Home eNB ID* |   |  |   |   |
| >>Global Home eNB ID | M |  | 9.3.1.x |   |
| >>Selected EPS TAI | M |  | EPS TAI9.3.3.17 |   |

  |

It is proposed that RAN3 to discuss this issue and make a conclusion. If CR is needed, it can be produced during the meeting.

**Proposal 1: RAN3 to discuss the issue related to the Global eNB ID in Target ID IE and make a conclusion.**

# 3 Summary of the offline discussion

**CB: # 86\_GlobaleNBIDinTgtIDIE**

**-** **should inter-system HO to E-UTRAN be allowed when tgt node is a HeNB? Check w.r.t. routing (TAI used for HeNBs)**

**- continue checking IE encoding**

**- should we introduce an IE which is not used?**

**- go for** **a simple description in semantics?**

(E///)
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**Question 1: should inter-system HO to E-UTRAN be allowed when the target node is a HeNB? If yes, how to solve routing when TAI is used for HeNBs?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Yes | Looking back at the history of this issue, it seems clear to us that the current encoding was introduced by mistake during the discussion about 2-byte versus 3-byte TAC, i.e. companies either didn’t notice that the IE type was Global ng-eNB ID, or did not realize that Global ng-eNB ID had different encoding than Global eNB ID. There is no reason to preclude inter-system HO to HeNB.We support a Rel-15 CR that introduces Option C (backwards compatible).We do not believe there is any routing issue. The selected EPS TAI is part of the encoding (like any other HO target in E-UTRAN).  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2: should we introduce an IE which is not used? (i.e. the HeNB in the target ID)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | X | This question does not make sense. Option C (Global HeNB ID + Selected EPS TAI) would be used for inter-system HO to HeNB. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 3: do you consider Global eNB ID refers to Global ng\_eNB ID an issue and need to be fixed?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | No | The existing choice that includes Global eNB ID can be left as-is, and introduce an additional choice to support the “delta” between Global eNB ID and Global ng-eNB ID (i.e. Option C) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 4: if Yes to Question 3, provide your view how to fix, i.e. a simple description in semantics or other way?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |