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# 1 Introduction

This document is to handle the following email discussion:

* [AT116-e][221][R17 DCCA] UP issues for SCG deactivation (Samsung)

Scope:

* + - Discuss remaining UP issues for SCG (de)activation based on [R2-2109942](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_116-e/Docs/R2-2109942.zip). Discuss also whether we need to do MAC reset at SCG deactivation.

Intended outcome:

* + - Discussion summary in [R2-2111314](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_116-e/Docs/R2-2111314.zip) (by email rapporteur).

Deadline for providing comments, for rapporteur inputs, conclusions and CR finalization:

* + - Initial deadline (for company feedback): 2nd week Mon, UTC 0900
    - Initial deadline (for rapporteur summary): 2nd week Mon, UTC 1300

The following documents are to be treated in this email discussion:

## 8.2.2.1 Deactivation of SCG

**Web Conf (1st week Tuesday) (2)**

*UP details of SCG deactivation:*

[R2-2110870](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_116-e/Docs/R2-2110870.zip) UP handling while SCG is deactivated Huawei, HiSilicon discussion LTE\_NR\_DC\_enh2-Core

**FFS if we need to reset MAC at SCG deactivation. Discuss further offline [221] (Samsung)**

[R2-2109942](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_116-e/Docs/R2-2109942.zip) UP issues for SCG deactivation Samsung discussion Rel-17 LTE\_NR\_DC\_enh2-Core

**Discuss in offline [221] (Samsung) how to handle these.**

2 Contact Information

The rapporteur encourages the delegates who provide input to provide their contact information in the below table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Samsung (Donggun Kim) | s\_dg.kim@samsung.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 R2-2110870: MAC reset for SCG activation/deactivation

In 38.321, UE behaviors for MAC reset are specified as shown below.

----------------------------------------------------------- 38.321 -------------------------------------------------------------------------

5.12 MAC Reset

If a reset of the MAC entity is requested by upper layers, the MAC entity shall:

1> initialize *Bj* for each logical channel to zero;

1> initialize *SBj* for each logical channel to zero if Sidelink resource allocation mode 1 is configured by RRC;

1> stop (if running) all timers;

1> consider all *timeAlignmentTimer*s as expired and perform the corresponding actions in clause 5.2;

1> set the NDIs for all uplink HARQ processes to the value 0;

1> sets the NDIs for all HARQ process IDs to the value 0 for monitoring PDCCH in Sidelink resource allocation mode 1;

1> stop, if any, ongoing Random Access procedure;

1> discard explicitly signalled contention-free Random Access Resources for 4-step RA type and 2-step RA type, if any;

1> flush Msg3 buffer;

1> flush MSGA buffer;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Scheduling Request procedure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Buffer Status Reporting procedure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Power Headroom Reporting procedure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered consistent LBT failure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered BFR;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Sidelink Buffer Status Reporting procedure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Pre-emptive Buffer Status Reporting procedure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Recommended bit rate query procedure;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Configured uplink grant confirmation;

1> cancel, if any, triggered configured sidelink grant confirmation;

1> cancel, if any, triggered Desired Guard Symbol query;

1> flush the soft buffers for all DL HARQ processes;

1> for each DL HARQ process, consider the next received transmission for a TB as the very first transmission;

1> release, if any, Temporary C-RNTI;

1> reset all *BFI\_COUNTER*s;

1> reset all *LBT\_COUNTERs*.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*Rapporteur’s comment: If we do not reset MAC at SCG activation or deactivation, it can cause several error cases, e.g. soft combining problem for DL HARQ buffers after SCG activation due to not-flushed one. Before going to the details, it would be better to discuss how to handle MAC reset for SCG activation/deactivation.*

In the last meeting, RAN2 agreed to the following one, which conflicts with one action of legacy MAC reset:

* 1: The TAT associated with the PSCell continues running when the SCG is switched from activated to deactivated state and the UE considers the TA as valid as long as it is still running.

Hence, it seems difficult to keep TAT associated with PTAG running together with the legacy MAC reset at SCG deactivation.

Based on this, Rapporteur think that several options could be on the table:

* **Option 1**: Define a new UE behavior and trigger it upon SCG deactivation (like partial MAC reset in LTE)
  + In this option, the new UE behavior can include necessary actions (FFS) from the legacy MAC reset and the action keeping TAT associated with PTAG running, which can be triggered upon SCG deactivation.
* **Option 2**: Reset MAC upon SCG activation
  + In this option, we can follow the same principle as initiation of RRC Re-establishment, i.e. reset MAC upon SCG activation. We can keep TAT associated with PTAG running upon SCG deactivation.
* **Option 3**: No need for MAC reset upon SCG activation/deactivation
  + Rapporteur doesn’t think that this option would work without any problem. Please correct me if I am wrong.
* **Option 4**: Any other suggestion?

**Q1. Which option do you prefer if you agree that UE should do any actions related to MAC reset for SCG activation/deactivation? or do you have any other suggestion?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q2. If you prefer Option 1, which actions are UE required to do at SCG deactivation? Please describe the reason why which action should be performed.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 R2-2109942: UP issues for SCG deactivation

### 3.2.1 How to specify TAT timer related behaviour upon SCG deactivation

In the last meeting, RAN2 agreed to keep TAT associated with the PTAG running when SCG is switched from activated state to deactivated state. However, we need to note that there can be several TAT timers, e.g. TAT timers associated with PTAG and STAG. There seems no reason to keep TAT timer associated with STAG running upon SCG deactivation, if configured and running. Therefore, the intention would be to keep TAT timer associated with PTAG running and consider TAT timer associated with STAG as expired.

**Q3. Do you agree to the wording “Upon SCG deactivation, except for timeAlignmentTimer associated with PTAG, if configured, consider all timeAlignmentTimers as expired.”? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 3.2.2 UM DRB handling for deactivated SCG

In the last meeting, RAN2 discussed bearer handling for deactivated SCG and finally made small progress:

* 5. The security key update is up to network implementation upon SCG activation from deactivation.
* PDCP entity is not suspended at SCG deactivation for at least AM DRB. FFS for Stage-3 details
* UL data processing is not prohibited during SCG deactivation for at least AM DRB. FFS for Stage-3 details
* UL data transmission to SCG is prohibited during SCG deactivation. FFS for Stage-3 details
* UE-initiated activation is still FFS.

*Rapporteur’s comments: Rapporteur would like to emphasize that we cannot reuse the legacy PDCP suspend procedure as it is for SCG deactivation since it was designed only for RRC INACTIVE state. That’s why there are several cases to just suspend DRBs without triggering PDCP suspend procedure in 38.331, i.e. “suspend a DRB” does not imply “suspend PDCP entity of that DRB”. Rapporteur suggests to focus on UM DRB handling because it would be easy to handle AM DRB and possibly split bearer after having consensus on UM DRB.*

Regarding UM DRBs, we have two issues as follows:

* **Issue 1**: Data loss can happen inside UE even before transmission
  + Data loss can happen inside UE even before transmission if UM DRB is not suspended (i.e. UL data processing is not prohibited during SCG deactivation). In NR, UE implementation can do uplink data processing before/after the reception of uplink grant. For deactivated SCG, UM DRB can process uplink data if not suspended. If the security key is updated at SCG activation, then PDCP/RLC re-establishment will flush all the processed data, which causes data loss inside UE since there is no re-generation procedure for UM DRB according to legacy PDCP re-establishment.
* **Issue 2**: The reordering delay can happen for UM DRB.
  + The reordering delay in the receiving PDCP entity can happen for UM DRB. For example, upon SCG deactivation, the out-of-order PDUs cannot be immediately delivered to upper layer before the expiry of t-reordering timer, which causes unnecessary delay. The reasonable network implementation would not perform HARQ retransmission after sending SCG deactivation indication, i.e. no need to wait for outstanding PDUs.

**Q4. Do you agree to Issue 1? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q5. If you agree to Issue 1, do you agree to suspend UM DRB upon SCG deactivation to resolve Issue 1? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q6. Do you agree to Issue 2? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q7. If you agree to Issue 2, do you agree to stop and reset t-Reordering timer, if running, and deliver all the stored PDCP SDUs to upper layers upon SCG deactivation? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 3.2.3 SRB3 handling for deactivated SCG

For deactivated SCG, it is straightforward to maintain SRB1 for MCG link. However, we need to discuss whether to keep SRB3 or not, if configured. Since keeping SRB3 alive would not have any benefit. In this regard, it would be reasonable to suspend SRB3 or suspend SCG transmission of SRB3 upon SCG deactivation. If we suspend SCG transmission of SRB3 (i.e. UL data processing is not prohibited during SCG deactivation), the UL RRC message may trigger a UE initiated activation request, which would be impacted by another discussion. So we can leave the exact wording as FFS.

**Q9. Do you agree to suspend SRB3 or suspend SCG transmission of SRB3 upon SCG deactivation (FFS for the exact wording)? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

One thing to be noted is that RRC messages may be generated to be transmitted via SRB3 before the reception of SCG deactivation indication. In this case, they may be transmitted later upon SCG activation, which should be avoided.

In Rel-16, the same issue was discussed in DAPS handover and RAN2 finally specified the corresponding behavior as shown below. Hence, the same principle can be applied to the SCG deactivation case.

|  |
| --- |
| 38.331  3> for each SRB:  4> if the *masterKeyUpdate* was not received:  5> configure the PDCP entity for the source PCell with state variables continuation as specified in TS 38.323 [5];  4> release the PDCP entity for the target PCell;  4> release the RLC entity as specified in TS 38.322 [4], clause 5.1.3, and the associated logical channel for the target PCell;  4> trigger the PDCP entity for the source PCell to perform SDU discard as specified in TS 38.323 [5];  4> re-establish the RLC entity for the source PCell; |

**Q10. Do you agree that the old RRC message for SRB3 is discarded upon SCG deactivation? Please share your views on this.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

**TBD**