**3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #116 electronic R2-21xxxxx**

**Online, 1 – 12 Nov 2021**

**Agenda Item: 6.1.4.1.1 Connection control**

**Source: Huawei**

**Title: Report of [AT116-e][008][NR16] Connection Control I (Huawei)**

**Document for: Discussion and decision**

# Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT116-e][008][NR16] Connection Control I (Huawei)

Scope: Determine agreeable parts in a first phase, for agreeable parts agree on CRs. Treat [R2-2110879](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110879.zip), [R2-2109314](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109314.zip), [R2-2110626](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110626.zip), [R2-2109864](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109864.zip), [R2-2110421](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110421.zip), [R2-2110423](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110423.zip), [R2-2111173](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111173.zip), [R2-2110631](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110631.zip), [R2-2110632](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110632.zip), [R2-2111080](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111080.zip), [R2-2111070](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111070.zip), [R2-2111071](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111071.zip)

Intended outcome: Report, Agreed CRs if applicable

Deadline: Schedule 1

Discussions with Deadline **Schedule 1**:

A **first round** with **Deadline for comments Thursday W1 Nov 4 1200 UTC** to settle scope what is agreeable etc

A Final round with **Final deadline Thursday W2 Nov 11 1200 UTC** to settle details / agree CRs etc.

**Contact Information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

Companies could add comments for contributions below.

## L1 eMIMO

[1] [R2-2110879](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110879.zip) Correction on pucch-SpatialRelationInfoId-v1610 Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.331 16.6.0 2858 - F NR\_eMIMO-Core

In [1], the issues of the presence condition of pucch-SpatialRelationInfoId-v1610 is discussed, and it is proposed to replace the presence condition by Need S (as in the example in A.4.3.6).

**Q1: Do companies agree the changes of the CR [1]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?  (Yes or No) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

## L1 NR-U

[2] [R2-2109314](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2109314.zip) LS to RAN2 on default value for rb-Offset (R1-2108436; contact: Ericsson) RAN1 LS in Rel-16 NR\_unlic-Core To:RAN2

[3] [R2-2110626](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110626.zip) Clarification of default value for rb-Offset Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.331 16.6.0 2840 - F NR\_unlic

[4] R2-2109864 Correction of default value of rb-offset Ericsson CR Rel-16 38.331 16.6.0 2819 - F NR\_unlic-Core

In [2], RAN1 discussed the issue of rb-Offset and has the following conclusions:

RAN1 has discussed both RAN1 and RAN2-centric solutions, and has agreed that it would be more straightforward for RAN2 to make a change to 38.331 to resolve the incompatibility. The RAN2-centric solution that RAN1 discussed is the following; however, RAN1 acknowledges that the final decision is up to RAN2.

|  |
| --- |
| ***rb-Offset***  Indicates the RB level offset in units of RB from the first RB of the first 6RB group to the first RB of BWP (see 38.213 [13], clause 10.1). ~~When the field is absent, the UE applies the value 0.~~ |

And then the action to RAN2 is as below:

**To TSG RAN WG2**

**ACTION:** RAN1 respectfully requests RAN2 to implement a suitable correction to 38.331 to remove incompatibility between RAN1 and RAN2 specs with respect to the Rel-16 parameter *rb-Offset*.

The CR [3] is related to the incoming LS [2] and the proposed changes are as below:

***rb-Offset***

Indicates the RB level offset in units of RB from the first RB of the first 6RB group to the first RB of BWP (see 38.213 [13], clause 10.1). When the field is absent, the UE applies the value specified in 38.213 [13], clause 10.1.

The CR [4] is related to the incoming LS [2] and the proposed changes are as below:

rb-Offset-r16 INTEGER (0..5) OPTIONAL,

***rb-Offset***

Indicates the RB level offset in units of RB from the first RB of the first 6RB group to the first RB of BWP (see 38.213 [13], clause 10.1).

In general, three types of changes are provided ([2][3][4]), so it is proposed to collect companies’ opinions on these changes.

**Q2: In order to solve the issue mentioned in the LS [2], which of changes do companies prefer?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | [2], or [3], or [4], or others? | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

## Conditional Reconfiguration

[5] [R2-2110421](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110421.zip) CPC handling during recovery procedure Lenovo, Motorola Mobility CR Rel-16 38.331 16.6.0 2828 - F NR\_Mob\_enh-Core

[6] [R2-2110423](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110423.zip) CPC handling during recovery procedure Lenovo, Motorola Mobility CR Rel-16 36.331 16.6.0 4731 - F LTE\_feMob-Core

In [5][6], it mentions whether to stop conditional reconfiguration evaluation for CPC when UE initiates re-establishment procedure is not specified, so it is proposed that in the procedure for initiation of RRC connection re-establishment in 5.3.7.2, the UE shall stop conditional reconfiguration evaluation for CPC.

**Q3: Do companies agree the changes of the CRs [5][6]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?  (Yes or No) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

[7] [R2-2111173](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111173.zip) Conditional Handover with Two Triggering Events MediaTek Inc. CR Rel-16 38.306 16.6.0 0663 - F NR\_Mob\_enh-Core

In [7], it mentions that some UEs may not be able to support evaluation of two measIds and CHO execution when both events are satisifed, so it is proposed to change the “manadatory supported” to “optionally supported” for *condHandoverTwoTriggerEvents-r16*.

**Q4: Do companies agree the changes of the CR [7]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?  (Yes or No) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

[8] [R2-2110631](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110631.zip) Correction on condRRCReconfig field description Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.331 16.6.0 2842 - F NR\_Mob\_enh-Core

[9] [R2-2110632](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2110632.zip) Correction on condReconfigurationToApply field description Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 36.331 16.6.0 4736 - F LTE\_feMob-Core

In [8][9], it mentions that the *condRRCReconfig* field can be used for CHO or CPC, and if the field is applied for CPC, it means condRRCReconfiguration can contain the configuration for target SCG, which conflicts with the definition of the *condRRCReconfig* field. So it is proposed to clarify the field description of condRRCReconfig that “the configuration for target SCG” is only for CHO.

**Q5: Do companies agree the changes of the CRs [8][9]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?  (Yes or No) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

[10] [R2-2111080](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111080.zip) Conditional reconfiguration issues for modification of measId Xiaomi Communications discussion

Moved from 6.1.4.1.2

In [10], it mentions that currently a reconfigured *measId* lead to a reset of the fulfillment state to non-fulfilled, so UE need at least a TTT to consider the reconfigured event as fulfilled based on the spec, which increases the time for CHO trigger and UE cannot be handed over faster to target cell. It may conflict with NW's purpose of modifying *measId* or associated *reportConfig* when the NW’s reconfiguration is for faster handover. Proposal 1 is suggested to solve the problem

**Proposal 1: When receiving conditional reconfiguration signaling from network to modify a measId or associated *reportConfig* associated with the *condReconfigurationId*, UE shall compare the modified event and the previous event to determine whether UE need to reset the fulfillment state to non-fulfilled, including comparing event conditions, triggering quantity, time to trigger, and triggering threshold.**

**Q6: Do companies agree with proposal 1 in [10]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?  (Yes or No) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

[11] [R2-2111070](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111070.zip) Modification of reportConfig for conditional reconfiguration Xiaomi Communications CR Rel-16 38.331 16.6.0 2860 - F NR\_Mob\_enh-Core

Moved from 6.1.4.1.2

[12] [R2-2111071](file:///D:\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2\TSGR2_116-e\Docs\R2-2111071.zip) Modification of reportConfig for conditional reconfiguration Xiaomi Communications CR Rel-16 36.331 16.6.0 4743 - F LTE\_feMob-Core

In [11][12], it mentions that when the associated reportConfig of the measId for conditional reconfiguration is modified, the fulfillment state of the event associated to that reportConfig should also be reset to non-fulfilled. This is similar to modification of measId. So it is proposed that in the procedure for reportConfig modification, the fulfilment of a condition for a measId associated with this reportConfig is reset when the reportConfig is reconfigured.

**Q7: Do companies agree the changes of the CRs [11][12]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree?  (Yes or No) | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary: TBD

# Conclusions

[To be added]