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# 1 Introduction

Following online discussions at R2#111e, we agreed to:

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreements**   * + 1. Use R2-2007576 as the baseline for the running CR for RRC.     2. UAI prohibit timers’ description is updated according to R2-2007809.     3. The field description for maxMIMO-Layers is updated according to R2-2007811.     4. UAI for SCG is included in the HandoverPreparationInformation inter-node message in TS 36.331.     5. Discuss over email to verify these are the correct messages: UAI for SCG is included in CG-Config and CG-ConfigInfo inter-node messages.     6. SCG UAI configuration is released on resuming an RRC connection for a UE configured with (NG)EN-DC     7. Review over email the set of clarifications as proposed in R2-2007814.     8. Clarify in the field description that the UE should send the drxcycle if it is sending drxcycle timer   *Proposals can be agreed by email if the use case is valid.*  *2: Repeat SCG UAI transferred via SRB1 within 1 second prior to synchronous MCG reconfiguration (NR)/ handover (LTE)*  *3: Do not repeat SCG UAI transferred via SRB1 within 1 second prior to synchronous SCG reconfiguration (NR)* |

A draft CR [16] is provided along with this document to capture the agreements above. Some of the changes proposed in R2-2007814 are also included in the draft CR. Companies are encouraged to provide their feedback on the CR.

In this document, we continue the discussion on issues raised in R2-2007232, R2-2006988 and R2-2007904.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.2 R2-2007232: Correction to repetition of SCG UAI

Paper [3] discusses repetition of UE Assistance Information (UAI) for the SCG that was sent 1s prior to a reconfiguration with sync. The behaviour is currently captured as below [15]:

2> if *reconfigurationWithSync* was included in *masterCellGroup* or *secondaryCellGroup*; and

2> if the UE transmitted a *UEAssistanceInformation* message for the corresponding cell group during the last 1 second, and the UE is still configured to provide UE assistance information for the corresponding cell group:

3> initiate transmission of a *UEAssistanceInformation* message for the corresponding cell group in accordance with clause 5.7.4.3;

The paper points out that in the case where SCG UAI is reported using SRB1 (i.e. via the MN), the following issues exist with the current text:

**a) Change of MN**: As per the current text, the UE does not trigger repetition of SCG UAI on SRB1. However it may not possible for the source MN to transfer the information to the target MN, if the SCG UAI is received on the source MN after it has initiated handover preparation request to the target MN. Therefore SCG UAI repetition is essential in this case.

**b) Change of SN**: As per the current text, the UE triggers the repetition of SCG UAI on SRB1. However, it should be possible for the MN to transfer the UAI to the target SN after SN change. Therefore repetition by the UE does not seem essential in this case.

However, one company indicated that when the MN changes, a reconfiguration with sync is needed for the SCG as the security key in the SN needs to be updated. As a result, the SCG UAI repetition will take place eventually, and there is no issue with the scenario a) above.

We need to conclude whether the use-case raised in [3] is valid or not. Companies are requested to provide their feedback below.

*Q1. Does an MN change result in a reconfiguration with sync for the SCG?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Justification |
| Google | Yes | We have the same understanding that when the MN changes, a reconfiguration with sync is needed for the SCG due to security key change. Therefore, the current specification already covers scenario a) and nothing is needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Scenario b) results in an unnecessary repetition of the SCG UAI on SRB1. Companies are requested to provide their opinion on whether this unnecessary repetition of SCG UAI needs to be prevented.

*Q2. Should the RRC spec be modified to prevent the UE from repeating SCG UAI transferred via SRB1 (i.e. on the MCG) within 1 second prior to reconfiguration with sync on the SCG?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Justification |
| Google | Yes | In this case, the reconfiguration with sync on the SCG has no impact to transmission of the SCG UAI via SRB1. The UE should not be required to check the “1 second” condition for this scenario and to retransmit the SCG UAI. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.5 R2-2006988, R2-2007904: Inter-node messaging

Papers [2] and [14] describe the need for including UE Assistance Information in the following inter-node messages to allow exchange of SCG UAI from source SN to target SN via the MN, in case of inter-MN handover with SN change:

1. *CG-Config* in 38.331 (to transfer SCG UAI from source SN to source MN)

2. *CG-ConfigInfo* in 38.331 (to transfer SCG UAI from target MN to target SN)

The introduction of SCG UAI in *CG-Config* and *CG-ConfigInfo* is new behaviour and requires further discussion.

*Q3. In case of an inter-MN handover with SN change, should inter-node messaging be modified to transfer the SCG UAI from the source SN to the source MN? If yes, please indicate the message to be used for the transfer.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Message | Justification |
| Google | Yes | CG-Config | Not only for inter-MN handover with SN change but also for SN change without MN change, the source SN should forward the SCG UAI to the target SN via the MN. If the source SN cannot forward the SCG UAI to the target SN, the UE needs to retransmit the SCG UAI after SN change. We prefer that the source SN forwards the SCG UAI to the target SN to avoid the UE needs to retransmit the SCG UAI after SN change.  To support the forwarding of the SCG UAI via the MN, we need to support inclusion of the SCG UAI in the CG-Config, so that the MN can receive the SCG UAI from the source SN. Then the MN can include the SCG UAI in the CG-ConfigInfo in the SN Addition Request for the target SN. In RAN3 specifications, the SN Addition Request includes the CG-ConfigInfo but does not include the CG-Config. Therefore, we also need to support inclusion of the SCG UAI in the CG-ConfigInfo. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

*Q4. In case of an inter-MN handover with SN change, should inter-node messaging be modified to transfer the SCG UAI from the target MN to the target SN? If yes, please indicate the message to be used for the transfer.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Message | Justification |
| Google | Yes | CG-ConfigInfo | Not only for inter-MN handover with SN change but also for SN change without MN change, the source SN should forward the SCG UAI to the target SN via the MN. If the source SN cannot forward the SCG UAI to the target SN, the UE needs to retransmit the SCG UAI after SN change. We prefer that the source SN forwards the SCG UAI to the target SN to avoid the UE needs to retransmit the SCG UAI after SN change.  To support the forwarding of the SCG UAI via the MN, we need to support inclusion of the SCG UAI in the CG-Config, so that the MN can receive the SCG UAI from the source SN. Then the MN can include the SCG UAI in the CG-ConfigInfo in the SN Addition Request for the target SN. In RAN3 specifications, the SN Addition Request includes the CG-ConfigInfo but does not include the CG-Config. Therefore, we also need to support inclusion of the SCG UAI in the CG-ConfigInfo. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

# 3 Contact information

Please provide your name and email address below, when updating this document.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| Google | Frank Wu | frankwu@google.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusion

Ipsum Lorem
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