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# Introduction

The objective of this email discussion is to identify/summarize all remaining open issues related to UE assistance (AI 6.11.3) and seek feedback from companies on the need to solve the identified issues and their preferred solutions.

Please note that this email discussion also include FFS issues from online discussion on Feb 25, 2020. They can be found after Section 2.4.

# Open issues from submitted contributions

## SCG specific power saving UAI

In RAN2#108, companies agreed to use option 1 (i.e. MN-aware solution) for overheating assistance information in (NG)EN-DC and NR-DC, so that MN is aware of the overheating assistance information for SN. But there was no agreement in the discussion on how to transfer UAI for power saving to the NR SN and it was deferred to the Power Saving Session. At least four companies have submitted proposals on this issue (see Appendix).

*Q1. Do you think SCG specific UAI for power saving should be supported for MR-DC with NR SN?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Q2. If your answer to Q1 is ‘YES’, do you agree that this SCG specific UAI should include all the power saving related parameters (i.e. drx-Preference, maxBW-Preference, maxCC-Preference, maxMIMO-LayerPreference, and minSchedulingOffsetPreference) except RRC Release Request?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Q3. If your answer to Q1 is ‘YES’, what is your preference on the following options to signal this SCG-specific UAI? (Note: you may choose combination of the options too, as some of them do not work in all DC configurations)*

1. *Report SCG specific UAI for power saving directly via SRB3 if configured;*
2. *Report SCG specific UAI for power saving in a transparent container to MN and the MN then forwards the received container to the NR SN;*
3. *Extend LTE’s UAI to include this NR UAI for power saving;*
4. *Include an indicator in the current NR UAI to indicate which CG it is intended for;*
5. *Any other method.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## UE assistance for SCG setup and release

At least four companies propose to support UE assistance for SCG setup and release (see Appendix). The main motivation is that keeping a SCG can consume UE considerable amount of power. It should be setup and release timely based on UE’s traffic dynamics.

Note: SCG setup and release are asked separately in different questions below.

*Q4. Do you support UE assistance for NR SCG release in Rel-16?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Q5. If your answer to Q4 is ‘Yes’, what is your preference on the following options for UE to request SCG release?*

1. *Introduce a new field in UAI to signal UE’s request to release SCG;*
2. *UE may implicitly request SCG release by indicating zero number of carriers or zero aggregated maximum bandwidth in both FR1 and FR2. No new field is introduced;*
3. *Any other methods.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Q6. Do you support UE assistance for NR SCG setup (including not to configure SCG) in Rel-16?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Q7. If your answer to Q6 is ‘Yes’, please indicate your preference on the following options for indicating SCG setup:*

1. *UE can only request to configure NR SCG or not before UE is connected, using a new indication in the RRCSetupComplete, RRCConnectionSetupComplete, RRCConnectionResumeComplete, or RRCResumeComplete message;*
2. *UE can only request to setup or not to setup SCG during RRC Connected, using a new indication in UAI.*
3. *Both Option 1 and 2 are supported.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Signaling aspects of UAI (including state transition)

At least three papers have raised the issues how to interpret UE’s intention when a power saving parameter is not included in an UAI.

*Q8. What is your preferred interpretation of UE’s intention when it does not include a parameter in UAI? The possible options include the following:*

1. *UE does not have a preference for this parameter, regardless of whether it has been reported before;*
2. *UE prefers not to change the value of this parameter, if it has been reported before.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

One paper proposes that if UE sends “Connected” to cancel a previous release request, then this request should be exempted from the prohibit timer.

*Q9. Do you think UE should be allowed to send “Connected” to cancel a previous release request even when the prohibit timer is running?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## New parameters for power saving UAI

One paper proposes to support UE to indicate its preferred carrier grouping for SCell dormancy. The main motivation is that different carriers may be supported by different transceivers. Assigning carriers on the same transceiver to the same SCell dormancy group can help achieve best power efficiency. However, this information largely depends on UE implementation, and network needs to rely on UE assistance for the group assignment.

*Q10. Do you support adding a new indication to UAI which allows UE to indicate its preferred grouping of carriers for SCell dormancy?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

One paper proposes that preferred number of carriers should be indicated for FR1 and FR2 respectively. The main motivation is that network can’t fully determine UE’s preferred number of carriers on FR1/2 based on preferred total number of carriers and per-FR max aggregated bandwidth.

*Q11. Do you support that preferred number of carriers should be indicated for FR1 and FR2 respectively, instead of a single, combined number?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# FFS issues from online discussion

## Zero aggregated bandwidth

It was agreed that “A UE can report a preference of 0MHz aggregated bandwidth for power savings. **FFS how to deal with it for EN-DC**.”

In the context of EN-DC (or NR-DC in general), if UE requests zero aggregated bandwidth for all its FRs, one possible interpretation could be that UE prefers to have its NR SCG released (which is already listed as one of the options in Question 5). Or nothing special is needed, as one may argue that it is up to network to decide how to handle it, as with other UE assistance requests.

*Q12. What is your preferred option when a UE requests zero aggregated bandwidth for power saving in EN-DC?*

1. *It is a special request by UE to release its SCG;*
2. *Nothing special is needed. It is up to network to decide how to handle it;*
3. *Any other options.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Range of requested values

It was agreed that “The reported values of UE assistance on reduced bandwidth, cells and MIMO layers for power savings can range up to at least the corresponding value in the current active configuration. **FFS if it can be up to UE capability**.”

*Q13. Please indicate whether you support UE to request any value of maximum aggregated bandwidth, number of carriers and MIMO layers for power saving up to UE capability.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

##  Requesting bandwidth in a unconfigured FR

It was agreed that “A UE can report a preferred aggregated bandwidth for a frequency range on the configured serving cell. **FFS if it is allowed even if it is not configured with serving cells on that frequency range**.”

*Q14. Please indicate whether you support UE to request preferred maximum aggregated bandwidth for a frequency range with no configured serving cells.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## *releasePreference* IE

During the online discussion, there was no clear consensus whether the *releaseReference* IE should include a single IE to indicate preferred RRC state after the release or two separate, optional fields (i.e. one for release indication and another for preferred RRC state).

*Q15. Please indicate your preference between the following two options for releasePreference:*

*Option 1: Preferred state is always reported, and indicates idle, inactive, connected and out of connected, i.e.*

preferredRRC-State-r16 ENUMERATED {idle, inactive, connected, out of connected}

*Option 2: Release indication and preferred RRC state are separately indicated, i.e.*

releaseIndication-r16 ENUMERATED {connected, out-of-connected} OPTIONAL,

preferredRRC-State-r16 ENUMERATED {idle, inactive} OPTIONAL

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preference** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Any additional open issues

*Please raise any other issues that are related to UE assistance but not covered by the questions above.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments (if any)** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary

Based on all the discussions, we’d recommend the following agreements:

*<to be filled in at end of the discussion>*

# Appendix – List of all submitted proposals

NOTE: The topics are not arranged in any particular order.

## CG specific power saving UAI

**R2-2000255, Reporting UE Assistance Info to NR SN, CATT.**

Proposal 1: Support UE assistance info for power saving in NR-DC and (NG)EN-DC.

Proposal 2: UE assistance info for release request is only applicable to the NR MN.

Proposal 3: The UE assistance info for power saving except release request can be configured separately by the NR SN.

Proposal 4: The UE can report related UE assistance information for power saving for the NR SN directly via SRB3 if configured, or report the info via the MN and the MN forwards the received container to the NR SN transparently.

**R2-2000351, Open issues for MR-DC scenarios, Ericsson.**

Proposal 1: RAN2 to discuss introduction of UEAssistanceInformation message on SRB3 or introduce transparent “UEAssistanceInformation-v16xx-IEs” signalling in LTE.

**R2-2000585, UE Assistance Information for MR-DC, Apple, Samsung, Qualcomm, Huawei, HiSilicon.**

Proposal 2: Support NR SCG specific UE assistance information for power saving in (NG)EN-DC, in which includes drx-Preference, maxBW-Preference, maxCC-Preference, maxMIMO-LayerPreference, and minSchedulingOffsetPreference.

Proposal 3: NR SCG specific PS UAI reporting should follow the same framework as the overheating UAI reporting in (NG)EN-DC:

1) LTE UAI message is extended to include NR PS UAI information;

2) UE reports the NR SCG specific PS UAI via the LTE UAI information;

3) MN forwards the NR SCG specific PS UAI to SN;

4) The NR SCG specific UAI reporting is configured/controlled via MN RRC configuration.

**R2-2001483, Remaining issues on UE Assistance Information, Qualcomm.**

Proposal 1. UE can indicate its preference for cDRX, SCell, aggregated maximum bandwidth, and max MIMO layer per cell-group in UE Assistance Information.

## UE assistance for SCG release and setup

**R2-2000351, Open issues for MR-DC scenarios, Ericsson.**

Proposal 2: Introduce 1 bit in RRCSetupComplete RRCConnectionSetupComplete, RRCConnectionResumeComplete, RRCResumeComplete, and set to true the UE expects not to require NR configuration.

**R2-2000369, UE assistance information for power saving, vivo.**

Proposal 3: The UEAssistanceInformation message can be extended for MR-DC UE to indicate SCG release for power saving purpose in MR-DC.

**R2-2001330, Remaining issues on UE assistance information, Huawei.**

Proposal 2: Allow UE to report its preference on the MR-DC configuration (i.e. the NR SCG) or request for NR SCG release through UE assistance information.

**R2-2002030, Introducing SCG release indication in UAI for EN-DC, OPPO.**

Proposal 1 UE can indicate SCG release indication in UE assistance information if it prefers to de-configure SCG configuration.

## Signaling aspect of UAI

**R2-2000351, Open issues for UE assistance, Ericsson.**

Proposal 3: When the UE does not signal a preference for a parameter, the UE does not have a preference for that parameter. The UE shall not signal preferences that completely match the current configuration.

**R2-2000369, UE assistance information for power saving, vivo.**

Proposal 1: If the UEAssistanceInformation message only includes part of the parameters for C-DRX, the UE has no preference on the other parameters for C-DRX, even if some preferences are reported before.

**R2-2000649, Remaining open issues on UE assistance information, OPPO.**

Proposal 1. RAN2 confirm the understanding that when certain field is not present in the UEAssistanceInformation message, it means that the preference, if reported earlier, doesn’t change.

**R2-2001301, Remaining issue on UE assistance, LG Electronics.**

Proposal 1. UE is allowed to sending "connected" to cancel the previous ReleaseRequest while the prohibit timer is running.

## New parameters for power saving UAI

**R2-2001330, Remaining issues on UE assistance information, Huawei.**

Proposal 1: Preferred CC grouping information for adaptation of dormancy behaviour is supported to be reported as UE capability/assistance information.

**R2-2001483, Remaining issues on UE Assistance Information, Qualcomm.**

Proposal 1. UE can indicate its preference for cDRX, SCell, aggregated maximum bandwidth, and max MIMO layer per cell-group in UE Assistance Information.

Proposal 2. UE can indicate its preferred number of carriers in each frequency range.

## Issus already discussed online before or covered by email discussion on 38.331 running CR

**R2-2000351, Open issues for UE assistance, Ericsson.**

Proposal 1: The UE may signal a preferred RRC state upon configuration, which then starts the prohibit timer. The UE may also include a preferred RRC state when it indicates that it has no more data to send or receive in the near future, i.e. that it would like to be released. The UE may send another preference to be released, e.g. when the network did not release the UE upon a previous release preference because there happened to be data in the DL buffer, provided that the prohibit timer is no longer running.

Proposal 2: The UE may cancel a preference for reduced #SCells and/or aggregated BW.

**R2-2000369, UE assistance information for power saving, vivo.**

Proposal 2: The UEAssistanceInformation message can be sent without including “UE’s preferred configuration”, if the UE doesn’t have a preference anymore.

**R2-2000451, Open issues of new UE assistance information for PWS, Intel.**

Proposal 1. To update 38.331 CR to explicitly capture that UE can indicate its preference of moving out of RRC\_CONNECTED by adding a clause that preferredRRC-State is not included in the ReleaseRequest IE when UE prefers moving out of RRC\_CONNECTEd, as explained in option (2) or by adding a new value of out-of-connected to the preferredRRC-State, as explained in option (3).

Proposal 2. For SCell and aggregated BW related UE assistance, UE can provide as its preference any value within UE’s capabilities (independent of the current configuration in used).

Proposal 3. For resume/suspend, to agree that UE and network releases the last value provided by the UE for the new PWS related UE assistance and to discuss whether the related configuration is kept or released.

Proposal 4. The new sub-parameters defined in UE assistance for PWS purposes should be defined as OPTIONAL in ASN.1.

**R2-2000585, UE Assistance Information for MR-DC, Apple.**

Proposal 1: Confirm the WUS mechanism is applicable on NR SCG in (NG)EN-DC.

**R2-2000596, UE Assistance Information for Scell, Apple.**

Proposal 1: UE preference on SCell configuration is associated to the actual data transmission and reception.

Proposal 2: UE can prefer more SCells/BW than the existing configuration in SCell associated UAI.

Proposal 3: UE can prefer fewer SCells number or narrower BW than the existing configuration in SCell associated UAI.

Proposal 4: The term “reduced” should refer to the UE requested configuration value in UAI being less than the UE signaled capability and not be treated as relative to the current active configuration.

**R2-2000649, Remaining open issues on UE assistance information, OPPO.**

Proposal 2 RAN2 confirm the mandatory presence of parameters in DRX-Preference, as shown in the current running CR.

**R2-2000836, Power Saving UE assistance information, Sony.**

Proposal 1: When multiple types of UE assistance information/feedback are available, the gNB is able to provide a configuration message (i.e. RRC message) to the UE that specifies relevant UE assistance information the gNB may be interested in.

Proposal 2: The transmission of UE assistance information shall be controlled/managed by the gNB, including the possibility of grouping UE assistance information and maximum number of transmissions.

Proposal 3: C-DRX parameters are suited to be placed in the same group of assistance information.

Proposal 4: gNB transmits and acknowledgement on the received UE assistance information.

Proposal 5: The UE may signal UE assistance information including a preferred value of PS\_offset and indication on its capability.

**R2-2001301, Remaining issue on UE assistance, LG Electronics.**

Proposal 2. The preferred value of aggregated BW for FR2 should be reduced from the current active configuration.

Proposal 3. The restriction that 0 MHz is not allowed for the preferred Aggregated BWP of FR1, i.e., keep the restriction in the current specification.