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# 1 Introduction

The scope of this document is

* To discuss and agree on the proposals summarised in R2-2001865.
* Discuss on the open items indicated in R2-2001865

# 2 Discussion

Following are the proposals summarised in R2-2001865 related to running CR text.

**Proposal 1: RAN1 further discuss and agree on the final word for running CR text relevant for cell selection behaviour in standalone cell.**

**Proposal 2: RAN2 further discuss and conclude whether additional changes needed related to cell reselection behaviour for non BL UE from /to standalone cell.**

**Proposal 4: RAN2 further discuss on proposal 1 of [4] related to changes to be captured for TS36.304**

Following are the proposal related to enhancements to the cell reselection behaviour for standalone mode deployments.

**Proposal 3: (Potential RAN2 agreement): In standalone deployment, if a UE considers itself to be in enhanced coverage with S criteria of normal coverage fulfilled, absolute priorities for cell reselection are used (i.e. UE does not switch to ranking as it would when in enhanced coverage due to S-criteria).**

Section 2.1 contains the questions related to proposals for running CR. Section 2.2 contains the question related to the proposal for modification to cell reselection behaviour for standalone mode deployments.

## 2.1. Proposals for changes to running CR.

The CR submitted for TS36.304 [2] capturing the Rel-16 enhancements for eMTC contains the following text which contains [ ] for further confirmation.

|  |
| --- |
| If cell selection criteria S in normal coverage is fulfilled for a cell, UE [may] consider itself to be in enhanced coverage if *SystemInformationBlockType1* cannot be acquired but UE is able to acquire *MasterInformationBlock, SystemInformationBlockType1-BR* and *SystemInformationBlockType2*. |

As part of e-mail discussion on the above running CR, 3 companies supports confirmation of the word may. 2 companies proposed to change the word to shall.

**Q1. Do you agree to confirm the above text proposal by removing the [ ]. ? [This question is related to P1)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | The only way to make this a shall is to have explicit indication that this is a standalone cell, and require it for that case only, because the case that UE is able to acquire SIB1-BR but not SIB1 may happen in the non-standalone case so we should not mandate it unless the standalone cell can be identified as such. If we use “may” then of course it is in the UE interest to camp, and this can be applied for “non-standalone” case too, so we are fine. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Conclusion: To be updated.**

**Text proposal: To be updated.**

In case if the UE considers itself to be in enhanced coverage when the cell selection criteria for normal coverage is satisified but unable to decode MIB,SIB-1 and SIB-2 but able to decode MIB,SIB1-BR and SIB2, the will not consider the absolute priority of inter-frequency neighbours if it follows the existing specification. As the UE actual radio condition meets the normal coverage condition in this case, it should consider these priorities. For the above issue, following is the proposal from [4].

**Proposal : In standalone deployment, if a UE considers itself to be in enhanced coverage with S criteria of normal coverage fulfilled, absolute priorities for cell reselection are used (i.e. UE does not switch to ranking as it would when in enhanced coverage due to S-criteria).**

**Q2. Do you agree to the above proposal ?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | The reason for a UE in EC to use ranking is to ensure to be always in the cell with the best radio conditions, which can reduce the overall number of repetitions needed to receive paging, SI. If the UE is actually in normal coverage, the service-based absolute priorities should be used.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Conclusion: To be updated.**

**Text proposal: To be updated.**

Another proposal from [4] is to clarify the existing text for cell selection for normal coverage and enhanced coverage to be changed to replace the word “considered to be “ to “is. This change is to differentiate case where UE is considered to be in “enhanced coveage” which was included in the running CR. This proposal is the detailed version of P4 in the summary proposal.

**Proposal : Following two exact concepts are captured at least in TS 36.304:**

1. **In enhanced coverage: where S criteria of normal coverage is not fulfilled and S criteria of enhanced coverage is fulfilled;**
2. **Consider to be in enhanced coverage: where S criteria of normal coverage is fulfilled and *SystemInformationBlockType1* cannot be acquired but UE is able to acquire *MasterInformationBlock*, *SystemInformationBlockType1-BR* and *SystemInformationBlockType2*.**

**would when in enhanced coverage due to S-criteria).**

**Q3. Do you agree to the above changes given in the proposal ? (Ref Text proposal for section 5.2.3.2 in [4])**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | It is needed to distinguish between truly in enhanced coverage and considered in enhanced coverage, because the behaviour is slightly different if the UE which is only considered to be in enhanced coverage uses the normal coverage reselection rules. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Conclusion: To be updated.

Text proposal: To be updated.

## 2.2 Further Discussion on open Items.

When non-BL UE camped onto standalone cell, for cell reselection it is prefered to selected normal cell than standalone cell for better performance. It is also applicable for the case when UE is reselecting from normal coverage to standalone cell. The problem is analysed in [3]. Following are the proposal from [3] for introduction of new parameter to be considered in cell reselection from/to standalone cell.

Proposal : A new offset is introduced in the calculation of the neighbour cell rank when the UE is in a standalone cell and could camp in the neighbour non-standalone cell in normal coverage or vice-versa

 The document also proposes text proposal to capture the above changes in TS 36.304.

Below question is related to P2 in the summary of proposal for discussion on cell reselection enhancement for standalone deployments.

**Q4. Do you agree to the above Proposal ? If yes, please indicate whether the text proposal can be considered for TS36.304 or further changes needed.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | no | We don’t see any need for this, existing offsets can be used and reselection should be based on radio conditions. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Conclusion: To be updated.**

# 3 Summary

To be updated.
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