3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #109 electronic draftR2-2001877

Electronic meeting, 24 Feb – 6 Mar 2020

Agenda Item: 7.1.5

Source: Ericsson (Rapporteur)

Title: Report of [AT109e][412][eMTC/NB-IoT] Scheduling multiple TBs: Open issues (Ericsson)

Document for: Report

# 1 Introduction

This document contains the report of the following offline discussion:

**[AT109e][412][eMTC/NB-IoT] Scheduling multiple TBs: Open issues (Ericsson)**

Scope: Further discussion on proposals 3, 4, 6, and 10 and identify potential agreements

Intended outcome: Report with a list of proposals categorized as agreeable, need further discussion, postpone. The outcome can be provided in R2-2001877

Deadline: Tuesday, Mar 3rd 17:00 CET

Schedule: Wednesday, Mar 4th, 06:30 - 07:30 CET

The referenced proposals from [R2-2001862](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2//TSGR2_109_e/Docs//R2-2001862.zip) [1] are the following:

Proposal 3 For unicast, multiple TBs scheduling is enabled separately for uplink and downlink for both LTE-M and NB-IoT.

Proposal 4 Discuss over email the details of unicast configuration for scheduling multiple TBs for 1) NB-IoT and 2) LTE-M.

Proposal 6 Discuss over email whether scheduling gap configuration is in SC-MTCH or in SIB20(-NB) for 1) NB-IoT and 2) LTE-M with details of configuration to be captured.

Proposal 10 Discuss whether multiple TBs scheduling in multicast is optional without capability reporting.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Unicast configuration

In [2] (Huawei) in the text proposals, scheduling of multiple TBs can be enabled/disabled separately for uplink and downlink. In [3] (Ericsson) text proposal, enabling/disabling separately is possible for eMTC but this doesn't seem possible for NB-IoT. The text proposals are provided below for companies to comment on.

In [2] an explicit proposal was presented (for NB-IoT) on this and the following proposal was presented in the summary document:

**Proposal 3 For unicast, multiple TBs scheduling is enabled separately for uplink and downlink for both LTE-M and NB-IoT.**

This was however not agreed to during the brief online discussion. Companies are asked whether they agree to above proposal or if they have some concerns. Please elaborate in comments especially if not agreeable.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree P3 for NB-IoT? | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree P3 for eMTC? | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Text proposals for NB-IoT on unicast configuration:

The following new information element is already captured in (endorsed) NB-IoT running TS 36.331 CR [4]:

#### *– MultiTB-Config-NB*

The IE *MultiTB-Config-NB* is used to specify the multiple TBs scheduling configuration for unicast transmission.

*MultiTB-Config-NB information element*

-- ASN1START

MultiTB-Config-NB-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

...

}

-- ASN1STOP

The following text proposal has been provided in [2] (Huawei) for NB-IoT:

-- ASN1START

MultiTB-Config-NB-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

ul-MultiTB-Config-r16 ENUMERATED {interleaving, non-interleaving}

OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

dl-MultiTB-Config-r16 ENUMERATED {interleaving, non-interleaving}

OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

dl-HARQ-ACK-Bundling-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Cond dl-interleaving

...

}

-- ASN1STOP

And based on RAN1 parameter list, the following is also proposed in [2] (Huawei):

-- ASN1START

MultiTB-Config-NB-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

ul-MultiTB-Config-r16 ENUMERATED {interleaving, non-interleaving}

OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

dl-MultiTB-Config-r16 ENUMERATED {interleaving, non-interleaving}

OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

dl-HARQ-ACK-Bundling-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Cond dl-interleaving

...

}

-- ASN1STOP

The following text proposal has been proposed in [3] (Ericsson) for NB-IoT:

*– MultiTB-Config-NB*

The IE *MultiTB-Config-NB* is used to specify the multiple TBs scheduling configuration for unicast transmission.

***MultiTB-Config-NB information element***

-- ASN1START

MultiTB-Config-NB-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

multi-TB-DL-Unicast-Interleaving-r16 ENUMERATED {on} OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

multi-TB-UL-Unicast-Interleaving-r16 ENUMERATED {on} OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

multi-TB-HARQ-ACK-Bundling-r16 ENUMERATED {on} OPTIONAL -- Need OR

}

-- ASN1STOP

| ***MultiTB-Config-NB* field descriptions** |
| --- |
| ***multi-TB-DL-Unicast-Interleaving***  Activation of interleaving of repetitions of separate transport blocks, when multiple downlink TBs are scheduled by one DCI, see TS 36.211 [21], TS 36.212 [22] and TS 36.213 [23]. |
| ***multi-TB-UL-Unicast-Interleaving***  Activation of interleaving of repetitions of separate transport blocks, when multiple uplink TBs are scheduled by one DCI, see TS 36.211 [21], TS 36.212 [22] and TS 36.213 [23]. |
| ***multi-TB-HARQ-ACK-Bundling***  Activation of HARQ-ACK feedback bundling, when a single DCI schedules multiple transport blocks for DL unicast, see TS 36.212 [22] and TS 36.213 [23]. HARQ-ACK bundling is only supported when interleaving is configured. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments on above text proposals (from [2] and [3]) for NB-IoT: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Text proposals for eMTC on unicast configuration:

The following text proposal has been provided in [3] (Ericsson) for eMTC:

– *PDSCH-Config*

The IE *PDSCH-ConfigCommon* and the IE *PDSCH-ConfigDedicated* are used to specify the common and the UE specific PDSCH configuration respectively.

***PDSCH-Config* information element**

-- ASN1START

< removed unmodified part >

PDSCH-ConfigDedicated-v16xy ::= SEQUENCE {

ce-PDSCH-MultiTB-AllocConfig-r16 CHOICE {

release NULL,

setup SEQUENCE {

multi-TB-DL-Interleaving-r16 ENUMERATED {on} OPTIONAL, -- Need OR

multi-TB-DL-HARQ-Bundling-r16 ENUMERATED {on} OPTIONAL -- Need OR

}

}

}

< removed unmodified part >

-- ASN1STOP

And following suggestions for field descriptions:

| ***multi-TB-DL-Interleaving***  Activation of interleaving of repetitions of separate transport blocks, when a single DCI schedules multiple transport blocks for DL unicast in CE mode A/B in RRC\_CONNECTED, see TS 36.213 [23]. |
| --- |
| ***multi-TB-DL-HARQ-Bundling***  Activation of HARQ-ACK feedback bundling, when a single DCI schedules multiple transport blocks for DL unicast in CE mode A in RRC\_CONNECTED, see TS 36.212 [22] and TS 36.213 [23]. |

– *PUSCH-Config*

The IE *PUSCH-ConfigCommon* is used to specify the common PUSCH configuration and the reference signal configuration for PUSCH and PUCCH. The IE *PUSCH-ConfigDedicated* is used to specify the UE specific PUSCH configuration.

***PUSCH-Config* information element**

-- ASN1START

< removed unmodified part >

PUSCH-ConfigDedicated-v16xy ::= SEQUENCE {

ce-PUSCH-MultiTB-AllocConfig-r16 CHOICE {

release NULL,

setup SEQUENCE {

multi-TB-UL-Interleaving-r16 ENUMERATED {on} OPTIONAL -- Need OR

}

}

}

< removed unmodified part >

-- ASN1STOP

And following suggestion for field description:

|  |
| --- |
| ***multi-TB-UL-Interleaving***  Activation of interleaving of repetitions of separate transport blocks, when a single DCI schedules multiple transport blocks for UL unicast in CE mode A/B in RRC\_CONNECTED, see TS 36.213 [23]. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments on above text proposals (from [3]) for eMTC |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Rapporteur summary: TBD

## 2.2 Configuration for scheduling gap

The following proposals related to scheduling gap configuration are proposed by Huawei and Ericsson:

* *multiTB-Gap* is introduced in *SC-MTCH-Info-NB-r14* to indicate the scheduling gap for each SC-MTCH configured with multiple TBs scheduling. [2] (Huawei)
* Scheduling gaps for multi-TB scheduling with multicast are configured in SIB20 for LTE-M.[3] (Ericsson)
* Scheduling gaps for multi-TB scheduling with multicast are configured in SIB20-NB for NB-IoT.[3] (Ericsson)

Thus, the two companies who have provided input on this issue to this meeting have different view on whether the configuration should be in SC-MCCH or in system information. Related proposal in [1] is:

**Proposal 6 Discuss over email whether scheduling gap configuration is in SC-MTCH or in SIB20(-NB) for 1) NB-IoT and 2) LTE-M with details of configuration to be captured.**

Companies are asked to provide their views and preferences on this:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Scheduling gap configuration in SC-MCCH or in SIB20(-NB)? | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The rapporteur suggestion is to first try to achieve consensus on above and then look at possible text proposals, as those should not be very controversial once the location is agreed.

Rapporteur summary: TBD

## 2.3 Capabilities

The following proposal was also not agreed during online discussion, and companies are welcome to provide their views on this:

**Proposal 10 Discuss whether multiple TBs scheduling in multicast is optional without capability reporting.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Scheduling multiple TBs in multicast is optional without capability reporting? Please elaborate. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Rapporteur summary: TBD

# 3 Summary

TBD
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