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# 1 Scope of the offline email discussion

This document contains the summary of the offline email discussion “[AT109e][301][NBIOT R14] Clarification on polling bit for RRCConnectionRelease”, as indicated below:

* [AT109e][301][NBIOT R14] Clarification on polling bit for RRCConnectionRelease (Huawei)

 Scope: Discuss and review the CRs

 Intended outcome: Agreeable CRs, or decision to e.g. postpone/not agree.

 Deadline: 06-03-2020, 12:00 CET

 Timeline:

* + - Companies input: Wednesday, Mar 04th 12:00 CET
		- Rapporteur summary and updated CR (if needed): Wednesday, Mar 04th 17:00 CET
		- Wording comment, if any, on updated CR: Thursday, Mar 05th 12:00 CET
		- Final check, including shadow CR, e-mail discussion stops, Mar 06th 12:00 CET

# 2 Offline email discussion

[R2-2000617](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e%5CDocs%5CR2-2000617.zip) Clarification on polling bit for RRCConnectionRelease Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-14 36.322 F

Companies are requested to provide comments in the table below (one row for each new comment to better keep track of the discussion – please don’t edit the previous comments).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Do you agree with the intent of the CR?** | **Detailed comments** |
| Qualcomm | **Yes** | We prefer slight rewording to be consistent with the text below the note. To my understanding poll field is always present but the value of the field determine whether receiver is polled or not.NOTE 2: In case the RLC data PDU carries RRCConnectionRelease, E-UTRAN may **set P field to "0"**~~omit the poll~~ in the RLC data PDU (see [5]). " |
| Sequans | **Yes** | Agree with Qualcomm |
| Apple | **Yes** | Agree that explicitly stating “Set P field to 0” would be useful, as the poll field is always included. |
| Nokia | **Yes** | Agree with QC for modified note.  |
| Mediatek | **Yes** | Agree with Qualcomm |

Conclusion: TBC

Proposal: TBC

# 3 Conclusions

**Conclusions:**

TBC

**Agreed CRs:**

TBC –agreed Rel-14 CR and shadow Rel-15 CR (with Tdoc numbers).
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