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# Discussion

In R1-2108703(R4-2114991) “Reply LS on PUCCH and PUSCH transmissions”, RAN 4 asked the following question to RAN1:

* **What are the consequences if phase continuity cannot be maintained in the case of UL transmissions from other signals/channels in the repetition gap?**

Companies are welcome to provide answers in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Answer to RAN4 question** |
| Ericsson | To motivate our answer we’d first offer some observations:* PUCCH is likely to be transmitted at different power and in fewer PRBs than PUSCH, and is more likely to be frequency hopped that PUSCH
* SRS is used for CSI, and so tends to be transmitted in wider bandwidths and/or frequency hopped
* Many SRS configurations involve switching among antenna ports or beams different from PUSCH

Then we propose to answer RAN4’s question with:**Proposal:*** The consequences of not maintaining phase continuity for the case where UE transmits other signals/channels are not likely to be serious, since the constraints to meet phase continuity preclude the common use of this case for JCE.
 |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

TBD