

3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Meeting #104bis-e R1-2104014

E-Meeting, April 12<sup>th</sup> – April 20<sup>th</sup>, 2021

Agenda Item: 6.2.3

Source: Moderator (Huawei)

Title: Feature summary on 104b-e-LTE-LTE\_DL\_MIMO\_EE-01

Document for: Discussion and Decision

---

## 1 Introduction

This documents provides the proposals and summary of discussions of the following email discussion:

*[104b-e-LTE-LTE\_DL\_MIMO\_EE-01] Correction on additional SRS symbols:  
R1-2103761 – Yubo (Huawei)*

*Discussion, decision, and text proposal by April 14*

---

## 2 Discussion

In [1], it is proposed to is replace OFDM symbols by SC-FDMA symbols when referring to additional SRS. Because as an uplink signal, the additional SRS symbols are SC-FDMA symbols instead of OFDM symbols.

Please provide your views on whether the CR is agreeable, and comments if any in below:

**Feedback Form 1: Whether CR R1-2103761 is agreeable, and input your comments please if any.**

Item	Company	Comments
1	Intel Corporation (UK) Ltd	OK with CR. Question for clarification whether it should be Cat A or Cat F CR?
2	Classon Consulting	[for FUTUREWEI] Agree with the CR
3	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES Co. Ltd.	We support this CR. Regarding question from Intel, this is a Cat. F CR.

Item	Company	Comments
4	ZTE Corporation	Agree with this correction. But we think a formal CR is not necessary, like we did in section 7.1, the tdoc can be approved in the chair notes for editor.
5	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd	We are fine for the CR.
6	Ericsson-LG Co., LTD	[Ericsson] Support.
7	Nokia Germany	We support the CR.
8	Beijing Lenovo Software Ltd.	Support the CR

---

### 3 Reference

[1] R1-2103761      Correction on additional SRS symbols      Huawei, HiSilicon