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[101-e-NR-5G\_V2X\_NRSL-SL\_PHY\_Procedure-02] Email discussion/approval regarding prioritization

* + Issue 2-1: Remaining issues on prioritization for SL HARQ reporting on PUCCH or PUSCH
	+ Issue 2-3: UL/SL prioritization for the case when multiple UL TX and multiple SL TX overlap in time

Till 5/29, with potential TPs by 6/4 – Hanbyul (LGE)

**1. Remaining issues on prioritization for SL HARQ reporting on PUCCH or PUSCH**

In applying the following agreement, there are several cases where the priority of “PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting” is not defined as there is no “priority of the associated PSFCH.” Q1 – Q4 ask how to determine the priority of PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting in these cases.

|  |
| --- |
| * *When PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting overlaps with SL TX,*
	+ *The one with a higher priority is transmitted.*
		- *The priority of PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting is the highest priority of the associated PSFCH*
 |

Q1: For configured grant, the TX UE reports ACK to gNB in case no PSCCH/PSSCH is transmitted in a set of resources. In this case, the priority of “PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting” is defined as

* Option 1-1: The smallest priority value among the possible values for the grant
* Option 1-2: The largest priority value among the possible values for the grant
* Option 1-3: A (pre-)configured priority value
* Option 1-4: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Q2: The TX UE reports NACK to gNB in the following cases:

* When it does not transmit the corresponding PSCCH/PSSCH due to intra-UE prioritization.
* When it does not receive the corresponding PSFCH due to intra-UE prioritization.

In this case, do you agree that the priority of “PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting” is defined as the priority value of the dropped PSSCH or PSFCH?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Answer | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Q3: If the SL transmission does not use SL HARQ feedback (if supported by RAN2), the UE reports NACK to request further resources for blind retransmission and ACK otherwise. In this case, the priority of “PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting” is defined as

* Option 3-1: The smallest priority value among the possible values for the grant
* Option 3-2: The largest priority value among the possible values for the grant
* Option 3-3: A (pre-)configured priority value
* Option 3-4: The priority value of the associated PSSCH
* Option 3-5: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Q4: If the maximum number of HARQ re-transmissions is reached for a TB, the UE sends one bit on the UL resources for SL HARQ-ACK reporting. In this case, the priority of “PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting” is defined as

* Option 3-1: The smallest priority value among the possible values for the grant
* Option 3-2: The largest priority value among the possible values for the grant
* Option 3-3: A (pre-)configured priority value
* Option 3-4: The priority value of the associated PSSCH
* Option 3-5: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Q5: When PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting overlaps with PUSCH without UCI, do you agree the following proposal?

Proposal:

* SL HARQ reporting is piggybacked in the PUSCH transmission.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Answer | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Q6: When PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting overlaps with another UL TX other than PUSCH without UCI, do you agree the following proposal?

Proposal:

* Reuse UL/SL prioritization rule agreed for PSFCH and UL TX other than PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting by replacing PSFCH with PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting, i.e.,
	+ when UL TX is associated with a DCI indicating “high” in “priority field” or configured with “high priority” by higher layers (i.e., URLLC case)
		- If SL-threshold for URLLC case is configured, LTE rule is used (i.e., UL TX is down-prioritized if the priority value of PUCCH carrying SL HARQ reporting is smaller than SL-threshold, otherwise prioritized)
		- Otherwise, UL TX is prioritized
	+ Otherwise, LTE rule is used with another SL-threshold configured for non-URLLC case
	+ Additionally, PRACH and PUSCH scheduled by RAR UL grant are always prioritized.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Answer | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Q7: When PUSCH carrying SL HARQ reporting overlaps with another UL TX,

* Option 7-1: Rule for PUSCH without SL HARQ reporting is reused (i.e. UL transmission associated with a DCI indicating “high” in “priority field” or configured with “high priority” by higher layers is prioritized)
* Option 7-2: PUSCH carrying SL HARQ reporting is prioritized
	+ if the SL HARQ reporting is prioritized over the overlapping UL TX, or
	+ if the PUSCH carrying SL HARQ reporting is associated with a DCI indicating “high” in “priority field” or configured with “high priority” by higher layers and the overlapping UL TX is not associated with a DCI indicating “high” in “priority field” or configured with “high priority” by higher layers
* Option 7-3: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**2. UL/SL prioritization for the case when multiple UL TX and multiple SL TX overlap in time**

Q8: Regarding whether to confirm the following working assumption,

|  |
| --- |
| ***Agreements:**** ***(Working assumption) For handling the case where more than one SL and UL transmissions overlap, adopt the following principle***
	+ ***For more than one SL transmissions overlapping with a UL transmission, the highest priority of SL transmissions is used for the prioritization.***
	+ ***For more than one UL transmissions overlapping with a SL transmission, the highest priority of UL transmissions is used for the prioritization.***
* ***FFS details***
 |

* Option 8-1: Working assumption is confirmed and details are up to UE implementation.
* Option 8-2: Working assumption is confirmed and look-ahead operation is assumed in the details.
	+ To decide whether SL TX in slot i is prioritized, the priority of SL TX in slot i+1 can be used. To decide whether UL TX in slot n is prioritized, the priority of UL TX in slot n+1 can be used.
* Option 8-3: Working assumption is confirmed and look-ahead operation is NOT assumed in the details
	+ To decide whether SL TX in slot i is prioritized, the priority of SL TX in slot i+1 is not used, and to decide whether UL TX in slot n is prioritized, the priority of UL TX in slot n+1 is not used.
* Option 8-4: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment (including elaboration of the specification impact of the preferred option) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |