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# 1 Introduction

At RAN#88e [1] and RAN#89e [2] email discussions were held on TEI issues.

In [3], MCC brought up problems of (in particular) cross-RAN WG TEI CRs. In general, many companies felt that RAN WGs and their Chairmen are well able to cope pragmatically with TEI issues on the basis of [4]. Also, TEI is seen as an important tool and RAN WG s need to have the flexibility to continue using TEI in a pragmatic way, without too many artificial restrictions.

Nevertheless, it was identified that there is scope for improvement on TEI visibility/traceability.

It was decided to have an email discussion on this between RAN#89e and RAN#90e, to allow the involvement of RAN WG Chairs (and MCC).

The target of this discussion is then to **identify pragmatic, workable ways to improve visibility/traceability of TEI**.

# 2 Structuring of the discussion

The first step will be to collect practical ideas/proposals on improving the visibility/traceability. To this end, proponents should provide the following information, structured in a table format (see template in section 3).

Although a fully-fleshed out proposal will be the most useful for later review, it is also allowed to provide a rough idea that may need further refinement.

The template requires information on the following 6 points.

(1) Proponent. *E.g. Company A, RAN WGx Chairman, TSG RAN Vice-Chairman, MCC, ...*

(2) Short identifier of the idea/proposal. *E.g. "TEI unique ID"*

 (3) One-sentence abstract of the idea/proposal that gives an immediate indication of what is intended. *E.g. "Each different TEI topic gets a unique ID number allocated by <tbd> that allows tracing of that particular TEI on the cover sheets of CRs in all (RAN) WGs"*.

(4) Full description of the idea/proposal and how it is foreseen to work in a practical manner. Please be as precise as possible, identify which formal documents would be affected (*e.g. CR cover sheet, Status Report, RAN WG Chairman report, MCC minutes, new formal document required, ...*) and how (*e.g. the box xyz, a new tick box, standardized wording in RAN WG Chairman's report, ...*).

(5) Foreseen advantages/what problems does it solve.

(6) Foreseen disadvantages/problems and other issues that may require fine-tuning.

At a later stage, RAN WG officials, MCC and companies may review and comment on the proposals. It will also be possible to come with improved or new proposals, which may result from the discussion and exchange of views.

# 3 Proposals

For each proposal/idea, please fill out a separate table according to the following template

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1) | Proponent | MCC |
| (2) | Short identifier | [WG impact analysis via CR cover] |
| (3) | One-sentence abstract | TEI cat.B/C CRs will have to indicate on the CR cover sheet:impacts on other WGs: yes/no?if yes: which WGs are impacted: ....? |
| (4) | Full description | Currently, a missing information on the CR cover sheet about "other specs affected" can mean a. there is really no impact or b. the impact on other specs is not clear or not indicated. This makes it problematic/impossible to identify cross-WG/TSG TEI cat.B/C CRs.If such an indication is introduced, it will be mandatory for cat.B/C TEI CRs and if it is missing, then the CR will not be approved.If it is present, it is possible to crosscheck with the "other specs affected" field and corresponding linked CRs can be identified. If this identification is not possible, it will be a trigger to discuss this CR at the RAN meeting. |
| (5) | Foreseen advantages | - Easy way to separate single WG CRs from problematic cross-WG/TSG CRs.- Easy to implement (can be 2 lines in the summary of change, no CR cover template revision needed).- Better checking possible for other WGs.- Avoiding approval of just partly completed TEI features.- Possibility to check whether the 1 quarter rule is really fulfilled. |
| (6) | Foreseen problems/ disadvantages/ open issues to be resolved | - It is still possible to cheat and declare that a TEI CR has no impact on other WGs although it has some impact (but CR authors and the resp. WG have an increased responsibility to check the impacts and there is a way to cross-check with other specs affected)- In case of any doubt regarding the impact on another WG, you better list this WG than hiding it. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1) | Proponent | MCC |
| (2) | Short identifier | [WG chairman's report of cat.B/C TEI CRs to RAN] |
| (3) | One-sentence abstract | Listing in WG chairman's report to RAN of TEI16 cat.B/C CRs and NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 cat.B/CRs CRs thata. have no impact at all on other WGsb. have impact on other WGs |
| (4) | Full description | This could be one slide in the RAN1/2/3/4 chairman's report to RAN:TEI16 cat.B/C CRs and NR\_newRAT-Core, TEI16 cat.B/CRs CRs:a. without impact on other WGs: Rx-20..., Rx, 20...b. with impact on other WGs:- Rx-20...: title: impact on RANn- Rx-20...: title: impact on RANn, RANmNote: MCC could provide WG chairmen with a list of these CRs and WG chairmen can then quickly sort them. Main target would be cross-RAN WG related CRs. But also impacts in other TSGs could be indicated (if any). |
| (5) | Foreseen advantages | - Easy to generate overview and easy for other WGs to cross-check(in combination with "WG impact analysis via CR cover" this will be easy to generate)- Better visibility of this sort of CRs at RAN (than just block approving them) and better checking of cross-WG/TSG impacts |
| (6) | Foreseen problems/ disadvantages/ open issues to be resolved | - a little effort for WG chairmen (in theory, we should not have too many of this sort of CRs) but much better visibility and transparency |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1) | Proponent |  |
| (2) | Short identifier |  |
| (3) | One-sentence abstract |  |
| (4) | Full description |  |
| (5) | Foreseen advantages |  |
| (6) | Foreseen problems/ disadvantages/ open issues to be resolved |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1) | Proponent |  |
| (2) | Short identifier |  |
| (3) | One-sentence abstract |  |
| (4) | Full description |  |
| (5) | Foreseen advantages |  |
| (6) | Foreseen problems/ disadvantages/ open issues to be resolved |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1) | Proponent |  |
| (2) | Short identifier |  |
| (3) | One-sentence abstract |  |
| (4) | Full description |  |
| (5) | Foreseen advantages |  |
| (6) | Foreseen problems/ disadvantages/ open issues to be resolved |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (1) | Proponent |  |
| (2) | Short identifier |  |
| (3) | One-sentence abstract |  |
| (4) | Full description |  |
| (5) | Foreseen advantages |  |
| (6) | Foreseen problems/ disadvantages/ open issues to be resolved |  |

[...] <copy further templates as needed>

# 4 Review and discussion

Format to be specified after collecting initial ideas.

# 5 Summary

To be provided later.
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