

Way Forward for Handling of new CRs to specifications that were not indicated in closed WI

Source: NEC

Agenda Item 9.9

WF on 'error handling'

- It is clear that careful handling of WIs is needed to avoid that situations like described in RP-240243 happen, and that it is problematic to put a 'rule' for something that is 'against the rules'
- At the same time, if despite careful checking they do happen, and there is consensus that the situation needs to be resolved, it is better to do it in a consistent manner
- Proposal: Put the following text in the meeting report:
 - *If, despite careful checking, situations such as described in RP-240243 occur*
 - *AND if there is consensus that changes in specifications that were not identified originally are needed*
 - *It is recommended to handle them in a consistent way, e.g. by the 'error handling' proposed in RP-240243:*
 - *Approve the RANy CR with WI code TEI18 Cat. B*
 - *Add a unique TEI identifier that is equal to the original RANx WI code [TEI identifier=RANx WI code]*

Reference

- RP-240243 Handling of new CRs to specifications that were not indicated in closed WI (NEC)