3GPP TSG-RAN meeting #5

Document **RP-576**

Kyongju, Korea, 6-8 October 1999

3G CHANGE REQUEST Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly.	
	TS 25.301 CR 018 Current Version: 3.1.0 r2 Current Version: 010 <t< th=""></t<>
	3G specification number ↑
For submission to TSG RAN#5 for approval X (only one box should list TSG meeting no. here 1 for information be marked with an X)	
	Form: 3G CR cover sheet, version 1.0 The latest version of this form is available from: ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/Information/3GCRF-xx.rtf
Proposed change affects: USIM ME UTRAN X Core Network (at least one should be marked with an X) VIII ME X UTRAN X Core Network	
Source:	TSG RAN WG2 Date: 1999-09-24
Subject:	Mapping of BCCH logical channel onto FACH transport channel
3G Work item:	
Category:FA(only one categoryShall be markedCwith an X)D	CorrectionCorresponds to a correction in a 2G specificationAddition of featureFunctional modification of featureEditorial modification
<u>Reason for</u> change:	Some RRC System Information messages will be transmitted on FACH. It is assumed that all System Information messages are carried on a BCCH logical channel. This implies that mapping of a BCCH to a FACH transport channel shall be possible.
Clauses affected	531 533 562
<u>Olduses anceled</u>	<u>.</u> 0.0.1, 0.0.0, 0.0.2
Other specs	Other 3G core specifications \rightarrow List of CRs:TS 25.321 CR 014Other 2G core specifications \rightarrow List of CRs:MS test specifications \rightarrow List of CRs:BSS test specifications \rightarrow List of CRs:O&M specifications \rightarrow List of CRs: \rightarrow List of CRs: \rightarrow List of CRs:
Other comments:	



<----- double-click here for help and instructions on how to create a CR.

1 Scope

2 References

3 Definitions and Abbreviations

4 Assumed UMTS Architecture

5 Radio interface protocol architecture

5.1 Overall protocol structure

5.2 Layer 1 Services and Functions

5.3 Layer 2 Services and Functions

- 5.3.1 MAC Services and Functions
- 5.3.1.1 MAC Services to upper layers
- 5.3.1.1.1 Logical channels

5.3.1.1.2 Mapping between logical channels and transport channels The following connections between logical channels and transport channels exist:

- SCCH is connected to SCH
- BCCH is connected to BCH and may also be connected to or-FACH
- PCCH is connected to PCH
- CCCH is connected to RACH and FACH
- DTCH can be connected to either RACH and FACH, to RACH and DSCH, to DCH and DSCH, to a DCH, a CPCH (FDD only) or to USCH (TDD only)
- CTCH can be connected to DSCH, FACH or BCH (ffs.)

[Note: Above potential mappings are proposed by the editor. This channel type will be included into the Figures below when the mappings have been agreed.]

• DCCH can be connected to either RACH and FACH, to RACH and DSCH, to DCH and DSCH, to a DCH, to FAUSCH, CPCH (FDD only), or to USCH (TDD only).

The mappings as seen from the UE and UTRAN sides are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively. Figure 6 illustrates the mapping from the UE in relay operation. Note that ODMA logical channels and transport channels are employed only in relaylink transmissions (i.e. not used for uplink or downlink transmissions on the UE-UTRAN radio interface).



Figure 4: Logical channels mapped onto transport channels, seen from the UE side



Figure 5: Logical channels mapped onto transport channels, seen from the UTRAN side

5.3.1.2 MAC functions

- 5.3.2 RLC Services and Functions
- 5.3.3 Data flows through Layer 2
- 5.3.3.1 Data flow for BCCH mapped to BCH (ffs.)

5.3.3.2 Data flow for BCCH mapped to FACH

No RLC header is needed, i.e. the transparent data transfer mode of RLC is applied. A MAC header is required for identification of the logical channel carried by the FACH. The data flow shown in Figure 8 is applicable.

- 5.3.3.25.3.3.3 Data flow for PCCH mapped to PCH (ffs.)
- 5.3.3.35.3.3.4 Data flow for SCCH mapped to SCH (ffs.)
- 5.3.3.45.3.3.5 Data flow for CCCH mapped to FACH/RACH (ffs)
- 5.3.3.55.3.3.6 Data flow for DCCH mapped to FACH/RACH
- 5.3.3.65.3.3.7 Data flow for DCCH mapped to DSCH
- 5.3.3.75.3.3.8 Data flow for DCCH mapped to CPCH

5.3.3.85.3.3.9 Data flow for DTCH (non-transparent RLC) mapped to FACH/RACH

5.3.3.95.3.3.10 Data flow for DTCH (non-transparent RLC) mapped to DSCH

- 5.3.3.105.3.3.11 Data flow for DTCH (transparent RLC) mapped to DCH
- 5.3.3.115.3.3.12 Data flow for DTCH (non-transparent RLC) mapped to DCH
- 5.3.3.125.3.3.13 Data flow for DTCH (non-transparent RLC) mapped to CPCH.

5.3.3.135.3.3.14 Data flow for DCCH mapped to DCH

5.4 Layer 3 - RRC Services and Functions

5.5 Interactions between RRC and lower layers in the C plane

5.6 Protocol termination

5.6.1 Protocol termination for DCH

5.6.2 Protocol termination for RACH/FACH

Figure 14 and Figure 15 show the protocol termination for RACH/FACH for the control and user planes, respectively. Control plane termination refers to the case where RACH/FACH carry dedicated or common control information (i.e. CCCH or DCCH, and in the downlink possibly also BCCH). User plane termination refers to the case where RACH/FACH carry user data (DTCH) (two alternatives cases, referred to as case B and C, are described in the Annex).

It is assumed that macrodiversity/soft handover is not applied for RACH/FACH. Therefore, the physical layer terminates in Node B. For RACH/FACH carrying DCCH, MAC is split between Controlling and Serving RNC. RLC, and in the C plane also RRC terminate in the Serving RNC. Since Iur can support common channel data streams, the users of that common channel can depend on different SRNCs. However, they depend on the same Controlling RNC. Therefore, for a given user, the Controlling RNC and the Serving RNC can be separate RNCs.

For FACH carrying BCCH, MAC, RLC and RRC are terminated in the CRNC.

For RACH/FACH carrying CCCH, MAC, RLC and RRC are terminated in the RNC.

[Note: It is currently an open issue whether or not there are CCCH messages that need to be routed between Controlling and Serving RNC over Iur. If it is only the initial access message that is defined for CCCH, C-RNC and S-RNC are always identical and no routing would be needed. If messages such as "URA update", "Cell update" and "RRC connection re-establishment" would be signalled on CCCH, routing of these messages on RRC level would need to be performed]

BCCH:



CCCH:



DCCH:







DCCH:



Figure 14: Protocol Termination for RACH/FACH, control plane



Figure 15: Protocol Termination for RACH/FACH, user plane