3GPP/PCG#11 Meeting Boston, US 1 October 2003

Source: Secretary

Title: Report of MCC Activities

Agenda item: 8.1

Document for:

Decision	
Discussion	
Information	Χ

1 Introduction

This report covers the period between PCG#10 and PCG#11 and contains detailed information concerning the implementation of TSG#20 results. Since TSG#21 only took place one week prior to this PCG meeting those results will be included in the report presented to PCG#12.

2 The Support Team

2.1 Departures and arrivals

During this period Lidia Salmeron has left MCC to take up her new role as a mother. It is not expected that she will return.

Claus Dietze has now returned to his home company (Giesecke & Devrient). However, he will continue to provide support to WG T3 (and SCP) on a part time basis until the end of 2003.

2.2 Organization of MCC

The figure given below shows the allocation of resources to each entity within 3GPP and is a snapshot taken on 30 June 2003.

It can be seen that Alain Sultan now supports WG T1 following the departure of Lidia Salmeron. This does of course mean that he will have less time available for managing the work plan, but it is hoped that a reasonable level of service will still be maintained.

TSG GERAN has now restructured into three Working Groups and this rationalization is reflected in the resources shown in the chart. Following the departure of Lidia, the new Working Group 3 is now supported by Michael Clayton.

This chart is regularly maintained and the latest version may always be obtained from the 3GPP website at http://www.3gpp.org/




Figure 1: MCC Organizational Chart

3 Statistics and targets

3.1 Interesting statistics

At the start of TSG#21, MCC were managing approximately **2700** active specifications. The distribution of those specifications looks as follows:

CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER OF ACTIVE SPECS
Phase1	121
Phase 2	183
Release 96	201
Release 97	219
Release 98	281
Release 99	440
Release 4	511
Release 5	564
Release 6	219
Release 7	2
TOTAL SPECIFICATIONS	2741

Approximately **200** new versions of specifications resulted from TSG#21 which need to be added to the above table.

The table below shows the number of approved change requests for these specifications across the different 3GPP Releases as at the start of TSG#21.

CLASSIFICATION	CRs in 1999	CRs in 2000	CRs in 2001	CRs in 2002	CRs in 2003	TOTAL
Rel 99 Specifications	1408	4400	2266	1004	333	9411
Rel-4 Specifications		374	2807	1901	425	5507
Rel-5 Specifications		27	620	3281	1790	5718
Rel 6 Specifications				171	512	683
TOTAL	1408	4801	5693	6357	3060	21319

Approximately **1500 CRs** were approved during the TSG#21 session which need to be added to the table above.

3.2 MCC performance

The chart below shows the results obtained in the delivery of specifications following TSG#20 and compares that to the results following TSG#19. It can be seen that a total of 325 specifications were delivered after the TSG session and that 300 of them (92%) were delivered by the first deadline. A further 23 specifications (99,4%) were delivered by the second deadline. Only 2 specifications (less than 1%) were not delivered within the deadline. It is hoped that this performance level can be maintained for the future





Figure 2: MCC Performance following TSG#19 and 20

For completeness, the chart below shows performance since TSG#11 and includes data for TSG GERAN.





The chart below shows the cumulative error rate for the implementation of CRs. It can be seen that despite maintaining production performance the error rate is also being held constant at approximately 3 errors in 1000 implementations (0,3%).




Figure 4: CR implementation error rate

4 Release Stability

4.1 Change Requests

The chart below shows the rolling average of the number of Change Requests per Release but excludes Category A (mirror) CR's. It can be seen that Release 99 and Release 4 are stabilising well with the number of CRs to those Releases continuing to fall. In a similar manner, the number of Release 5 CRs are also continuing to fall. It is too early to predict precisely what will happen when Release 6 is functionally frozen and the related specifications are placed under change control, but it is of course most likely that a similar increase will be experienced as for previous Releases.





Figure 5: CR statistics (cumulative)





5 Budget for 2004

The 2004 Budget for 3GPP will be set during this PCG/OP meeting. The Draft Budget assumes that the two experts that have left MCC during 2003 will not be replaced and hence the size of the team in 2004 will be two less than in 2003. This will of course place additional work on the shoulders that remain. In addition, the Travel and Subsistence budget is proposed to be reduced by approximately 10%.

The Draft Budget takes into account the decision that Working Groups should only hold one meeting between each TSG session and that MCC support can only be guaranteed on that basis. It is clear from the recent TSG meetings than a number of Working Groups are planning to hold more than 4 WG meetings each year (with some planning to hold seven meetings per year) and this will place strain on the budget. There are currently 20 Working Groups in 3GPP and it is clear that if all of them hold more than 4 meetings per year then the budget will not be sufficient to support them. It should be noted that the intention here is not just to save travel and subsistence costs. The primary reason is to allow MCC experts to support more than one WG such that in the longer term the size of the team can be reduced.

6 Improvements to the handling of Liaison Statements

As a result of the Chairmen's Satisfaction Survey (which was reported at length during TSG#19) an action has been undertaken to improve the way in which Liaison Statements are processed. A web based tool has been developed which will enable each group to see the list of Liaison Statements that are targeted for their next meeting and to see the list of outgoing Liaisons that result from each meeting. The homepage for this tool will look similar to that shown below and the tool should be available within the next few weeks. It is hoped that this tool will enable much greater visibility of the handling of liaison statements and that it will streamline the process for handling them. It should be noted that MCC process approximately 1000 Liaison Statements per year

	Incoming liaisons for next 36PP TSG or WG meeting				
CN	SA	RAN	GERAN	1	
CRI Plenary	SAPienary	RAN Ptenary	OERIAN Plenary	T Planary	
CNWG1	SAW01	RAN 1	GERAN WO1	T W01	
CN WG2	SAWG2	RAN 2	GERAN WO2	TW92	
CNW03	BAWOO	RAN 3	GERAN WO3	T W93	

Note: No link means no incoming Balaons for that TEOWIO meeting received yet.

	SA	RAN	GERAN	
CN .	-54	ROOM	Labores .	
CN Flanary	SAPlenary	FIAM Plenary	GERIAN Plan ary	T Pienary
CNW01	SA 190 1	RAN 1	GERAN WAD1	TWOT
CNW02	5AV90 2	RAN 2	GERAN W02	TW02
CNW0-3	SAW0 3	RAN 3	OERAN W03	TW03
CNW94	SAWO 4	RAN 4		
CNWG 5	SAWG 5			

For more information, see also the 30PP Liaison database

```
Figure 7: New Liaison tracking tool
```

7 Release Feature Descriptions

MCC have now completed the detailed description of each Feature within Release 5 and this document was submitted to TSG#21 as document SP-030526. This is the result of considerable effort by all within MCC and it is hoped that it will serve as a useful guide within Individual Member companies. The document can be found on the 3GPP website at

ftp://ftp.3gpp.org//Information/WORK_PLAN/Description_Releases/Rel5_features_v_2003_09_09.zip

although it is intended to find a more prominent place for it!

Work has started on similar Feature description documents for Releases 99, 4, and 6. This represents quite a challenging task (especially for Release 99!) but it hoped that these can be completed within a reasonable time frame.

8 Chairman's Survival Guide

Work continues on the preparation of a Chairman's Survival Guide. It had been hoped that this would have been completed by this PCG meeting but this target has not been met. The Guide (which has been prepared in consultation with 3GPP leaders) will hopefully be completed by the year end.

9 Concluding remarks.

MCC is managing to maintain a consistent service level and to meet its production targets. The process of continual improvement will hopefully lead to gains in efficiency such that the same service level will be maintained with reduced resources. This of course also depends on 3GPP Individual Members playing their part in the process. Suggestions are always welcome on how efficiency can be improved.

Comments to: <u>adrian.scrase@etsi.org</u>