

**3GPP/PCG Meeting#2**  
**Sophia Antipolis,**  
**6-7 July 1999**

**3GPP/PCG#2(99)9**

1 July 1999  
page 1 of 3

**Source: T1**

**Title: Contribution on MRP Status**

**Agenda item: 10**

**Document for:**

Decision	
Discussion	<b>X</b>
Information	

This contribution was presented during the OP Meeting in Seoul where it was agreed that it should be further considered by the PCG.

**3GPP Organizational Partners  
Meeting#1,  
Seoul, Korea  
27-28 May 1999**

**3GPP\_OP#1(99)24**

27 May 1999

page 2 of 1

**Source: T1****Title: Contribution on MRP status****Agenda item: 7.1****Document for:**

Decision	<b>X</b>
Discussion	
Information	

## **1 Introduction**

At the Copenhagen meeting of the Organizational Partners the T1 Delegation brought forward the issue of granting the MRPs some decision-making role in the 3GPP. The matter could not be discussed then in any detail for a lack of time. This contribution proposes to have a fresh look at the issue at the Seoul meeting.

## **2 Discussion**

The MRPs are not standards developers. So, their role in 3GPP needs to be different from that played by the SDO Organizational Partners. However, it is essential that 3GPP formally recognizes the vital role played by the MRPs to ensure the success of timely generation of relevant 3G specifications.

The MRPs bring in the "market needs" input to the 3GPP. They are the close to the "market" in terms of end user needs, timeframe of standards requirements, priority among deployable services, ramifications of regulatory developments, etc. 3GPP will clearly benefit by enduring and encouraging MRPs strong input in these areas.

## **3 Proposal**

3GPP needs to develop a process through which MRPs get a voice in their areas of expertise. We need to ensure that the '99 deliverables are not delayed while this process is developed and implemented. However, the process needs to have provisions for seeking MRP input in the areas of:

- End users needs in terms of services and systems

- Time frame for specification generation
- Prioritization among service needs

for releases starting with Y2000.

It is suggested that PCG be invited to discuss this issue without undue delay and advise the Partners meeting.