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**Comments**

It is proposed to add a new key issue about studying the interface of AEAD algorithm inputs.

\* \* \* First Change \* \* \* \*
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[y2] 3GPP TS 35.240: "Specification of the Snow 5G based 256-bits algorithm set: specification of the 256-NEA4 encryption, the 256-NIA4 integrity, and the 256-NCA4 authenticated encryption algorithm for 5G; Document 1: algorithm specification".

[y3] 3GPP TS 35.243: "Specification of the AES based 256-bits algorithm set: Specification of the 256-NEA5 encryption, the 256-NIA5 integrity, and the 256-NCA5 authenticated encryption algorithm for 5G; Document 1: algorithm specification".
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\* \* \* Second Change \* \* \* \*

## 5.X Key issue #X: AEAD algorithm interface

5.X.1 Key issue One of the advantages of using a combined AEAD mode is that some important security decisions have already been made in the construction of the mode, such as in which order encryption and integrity protection is applied. From SA3 perspective, this means that we don't need to discuss in which order operations are to be applied in PDCP and NAS.

Many different AEAD constructs are available and by using a generic interface, it is possible to treat the AEAD as a black box where the underlying construction is transparent to the user of the interface. One such interface is specified in RFC 5116 [X1].

Existing interfaces for encryption and integrity algorithms in Annex D.2 and Annex D.3 of TS 33.501 [y1] cannot be used for the new AEAD algorithms directly. This is because the new algorithms combine both operations and also require additional input parameters as described in TS 35.240 [y2], TS 35.243 [y3], TS 35.246 [y4]. For example, in addition to the key and IV, an AAD parameter (as described in TS 35.240 [y2], TS 35.243 [y3], TS 35.246 [y4]) is required to enable flexible partial encryption, the output parameters include both the ciphertext and the MAC.

Consequently, how to set the input parameters for NAS and PDCP needs to be further studied because the existing requirements in clause 6.4.3, 6.4.4, 6.5.1, 6.5.2, 6.6.3, 6.6.4 of TS 33.501 [y1] cannot be directly applied.

Existing construction of IV for encryption and integrity algorithms in Annex D.2 and Annex D.3 of TS 33.501 contains a 32-bit COUNT, a 5-bit BEARER, a 1-bit DIRECTION. ETSI SAGE anticipates that in the future, the entropy for the IV might need to increase from the 38 bits defined by 3GPP. Hence, an extra entropy field called EXTRA\_IV of 6 bytes is introduced as described in TS 35.240 [y2], TS 35.243 [y3], TS 35.246 [y4].

The AEAD input Additional Associated Data (AAD) makes the AEAD suitable for partly ciphering.

### 5.X.2 Security threats

There is a threat to system evolution. For example, if the interface is not designed well from day one, it will not be stable for future enhancements and there can be problems to add new functionality. This will not only increase complexity of the system but will also make it more difficult to analyze from a security perspective, and hence the risk for missing threats increases.

5.X.3 Potential security requirements

The 6G system shall define a generic interface towards the AEAD algorithms.

\* \* \* End of Change \* \* \* \*