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\*\*\* 1st CHANGE \*\*\*

# Annex R (Normative): Security for IMS avatar communication

## R.1 General

This clause specifies the security for IMS avatar communication.

TS 23.228 [3], Annex AC.11, has specified the procedures for IMS avatar communication.

## R.2 Security requirements

The security requirements for the security and privacy of IMS avatar communication are:

- The 3GPP system shall support means for integrity and confidentiality protection for Avatar ID List downloading, avatar representation downloading and Avatar ID transferring.

- The 3GPP system shall support means to ensure that stored Avatar representations and Avatar-IDs are accessed and used only by authenticated and authorized entities, e.g. MF, DC AS, UEs.

## R.3 Security Procedures

The security procedures are based on the TS 23.228 [3] AC.11 procedures with the following security handling.

To prevent the sending UE from providing an Avatar ID belonging to another UE, it shall be verified whether the Avatar ID provided by the sending UE is in the sending UE’s Avatar ID list. The verification is performed by BAR or DC AS.

NOTE 1X: Either the Avatar ID in the token or the Avatar ID in the Avatar representation downloading request can be used for verification.

NOTE Y: The above verification is not related to DC AS based authorization for Avatar representation downloading.

The authorization of avatar representation downloading by BAR shall be based on a token mechanism. The token shall include the Avatar ID chosen by the sending UE, the UE ID of the sending UE (i.e., IMPU), one of MF ID or DC AS ID, the BAR ID, and expiration time. In case of the receiving UE centric rendering mode, if the token is generated by the sending UE, the token shall further include the receiving UE ID (i.e., IMPU) and shall be provided to the receiving UE through the established application data channel. token and the parameters enabling the token verification The BAR shall verify the token as follows:

- The BAR shall verify the signature of the token.

- The BAR shall verify whether the Avatar ID in the Avatar representation downloading request matches that in the token, and whether the URL used to download the Avatar representation is associated with the Avatar ID.

- The BAR shall verify whether the UE ID of the sending UE in the Avatar representation downloading request matches that in the token.

- In the receiving UE centric rendering mode, if the token is generated by the sending UE, the BAR shall verify whether the receiving UE ID in the Avatar representation downloading request matches that in the token.

- The BAR shall check whether the MF ID or the DC AS ID in the token matches the entity sending the Avatar representation downloading request.

- The BAR shall check whether the BAR ID in the token matches its own ID.

- The BAR shall check, based on the token expiration time, whether the token is valid.

If the token verification is successful, the BAR shall send the sending UE's Avatar representation to the MF or DC AS.

If the Avatar representation is indirectly downloaded via DC AS, the Avatar representation downloading may also be authorized by DC AS based on the token verification operation as per the previous paragraph.

The e2DCe or e2e media plane protection mechanism in clause 5 shall be reused for authentication, integrity and confidentiality protection between UE and MF, UE and DC AS for Avatar ID list downloading and avatar representation downloading, Avatar ID transfer via BDC/ADC. The security specification in Annex P.1 shall be reused for security of aspects of SBA in IMS media control interface, to protect SBA communication between MF/DCSF and DC AS/BAR. The security specification in TS 33.501 [11], clause 12 shall be reused for protection of the NEF – AF interface, to protect communication between DCSF and DC AS if the DC AS is deployed outside of operator’s domain.

In a receiving UE centric rendering mode, the receiving UE should delete the avatar representation of the sending UE after the avatar communication session is over.

NOTE 1: This can mitigate the situation of the receiving UE from using the avatar representation of the sending UE in a sending UE centric procedure after a receiving UE centric avatar session where receiving UE receives the avatar representation of the sending UE for rendering.

\*\*\* END OF CHANGES \*\*\*