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# 1 Decision/action requested

***The group is requested to discuss the issue and endorse the way forward.***

# 2 References

[1] 3GPP TS 28.537 Management and orchestration; Management capabilities

# 3 Rationale

TS 28.537 [1] specifies use cases, requirements, and procedures for management capabilities of 5G networks. This document has been published for Rel-17 and Rel-18.

Clause 6 of TS 28.537 [1] describes use cases, requirements for Managing management data. Clause 7 of TS 28.537 [1] describes use cases, requirements for File management.

**Observation #1**: Several sub-clauses, for use cases, under clause 6 and 7 contains a place holder text, without real content.

For example, clause 6.1.2 of TS 28.537 [1] as quoted below:

*--- start quote ---*

### 6.1.2 Use cases

This clause describes the benefits of the subject capability.

Editor's note: This clause will be extended with the benefits of the subject capability.

*--- end quote ---*

**Observation #2**: Several sub-clauses, for use cases and requirements, under 6 and 7 contains Editor’s note.

For example, clause 6.2.2 and 6.2.3 of TS 28.537 [1] as quoted below:

*--- start quote ---*

### 6.2.2 Use cases

This clause describes the benefits of the subject capability.

Editor's note: This clause will be extended with the benefits of the subject capability.

### 6.2.3 Requirements

REQ-MDMC-CON-1: The 3GPP management system shall coordinate requests from several data consumers to avoid producing multiple times the same data at a certain point of time.

Editor's note: It is tbc what exactly is "same data".

*--- end quote ---*

**Conclusion**:

* The issues identified in Observation#1 and Observation#2 need to be fixed in Rel-17 and Rel-18 of TS 28.537 [1].

**Possible options for corrections:**

* Option#1: The clauses with place holder text and editor’s note to be made Void. The consequence would be missing use cases, and too many void clauses in the TS.
* Option#2: Enhance the clause to replace the place holder text and editor’s note with meaningful text. This would require effort.

# 4 Detailed proposal

Fix the identified issues and observations described in clause 3, with corrections to TS 28.537 [1], as described in Option#1.