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# 1 Decision/action requested

***The group is asked to discuss and agree on the proposal.***

# 2 References

[1] Study on intent driven management service for mobile network phase 3 v0.0.0

[2] SP-231737 "Study on intent driven management services for mobile network phase 3"

# 3 Rationale

When the MnS Consumer expresses an intent for fulfilment, the producer may have numerous solutions for fulfilment. The MnS consumer may want the producer provide a feedback to indicate the possible impact.

This contribution is related to WT-3.1 of the FS\_IDMS\_MN\_Ph3 SID [2], which is to investigate intent negotiation functionalities.

# 4 Detailed proposal

***Start of First change***

# 5 Use cases

## 5.X Use case#<X>: Negotiation on the possible outcome/solution during the fulfilment phase

### 5.X.1 Description

In TS 28.312 R18, the intent fulfilment feasibility check is already supported. When intent intent-driven MnS producer receives the intent instance creation or modification request, it automatically conducts a feasibility check to determine whether the intent instance is feasible. If the feasibility check result is feasible, the MnS producer performs the service or network management tasks to satisfy the intent instance.

However, the MnS Producer cannot decide the content of intent it receives and the MnS Consumer may express a feasible intent but the producer has multiple ways to fulfil it. One of these solutions might be better in one aspect and another solution might be better in another aspect. For example, the MnS Consumer may express intent for energy saving but only specify the target for energy consumption reduction without more constraints, e.g., reduce 20% energy consumption. There will be numerous solutions to fulfil the energy consumption target with various impacts, e.g., one solution may reduce the coverage and one may reduce the user number. The consumer may have concerns on the unexpected impact of the selected solution by the Producer. Therefore, it is important to introduce the MnS capability to enable the MnS producer to provide feedback (e.g., possible solution, possible outcome, and expected impacts).

### 5.X.2 Potential requirements

**REQ-Intent\_Negotiation \_01:**The intent-driven MnS producer should have the capability to enable the MnS producer to provide feedback including possible outcome and solution to the MnS Consumer.

Note: the relation and definition for outcome and solution are FFS.

### 5.X.3 Potential solutions

#### 5.X.3.i Potential solution #<i>: <Potential Solution i Negotiation on the possible outcome/solution during the fulfilment phase>

This solution proposes to enhance the existing Intent IOC and IntentReport IOC defined in TS 28.312 [X] to enable the MnS producer to provide feedback including the possible outcome and solution to the MnS Consumer. Following are the proposed enhancements:

**Enhancement on Intent IOC**: Introduce attribute “NegotiationType” in the Intent IOC to determine the possible feedback including the possible outcome and solution the MnS Consumer can obtain from the MnS Producer. The allowed values for “NegotiationType” are as follows:

1. RecommendedOutcome, it represents that the MnS Consumer requests the MnS Producer to provide possible outcome based on the received intent.
2. RecommendedSolution, it represents that the MnS Consumer requests the MnS Producer to provide possible solution based on the received intent.

**Enhancement on IntentReport IOC**: Introduce IntentNegotiationReoprt <<dataType>> as an attribute of IntentReport IOC to represent the feedback including possible outcome and solution provided by MnS producer to allow the MnS Consumer determine the appropriate solution/outcome. IntentNegotiationReoprt <<dataType>> includes following attributes:

1. PossibleOutcome, supported when the NegotiationType is “RecommendedOutcome”. It includes the possible outcome.
2. PossibleSolution, supported when the NegotiationType is “RecommendedSolution”. It includes the possible solution.
3. ExpectedImpact, it includes the potential impact of corresponding outcome or solution above.

Note: the relation and definition for outcome and solution are FFS.

***End of First change***