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### 5.8.1 Generic requirements

The long-term key(s) used for authentication and security association setup purposes shall be protected from physical attacks and shall never leave the secure environment of the UDM/ARPF unprotected. If the long term key is transferred between UDR and UDM/ARPF, it shall be transferred in encrypted form. The transfer of the encrypted long-term key over the Nudr interface is protected at transport level using the security mechanisms defined in clause 13.1.

NOTE 1: Security mechanisms for protection of subscription credentials in ARPF are left to implementation.

NOTE 2: Security mechanisms for storage of subscription credentials in the UDR are left to implementation.
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