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\* \* \* \* First change \* \* \* \*

## 6.3 Authorization for Ranging/SL positioning service

### 6.3.1 General

According to clause 4.1 of TS 23.586 [2], a UE capable of Ranging/SL Positioning may take different roles roles (e.g., Target UE, SL Reference UE, Located UE, SL Positioning Server UE) in various Ranging/SL Positioning operations. Each of the UEs in a Ranging/SL Positioning service acts in its own authorized role. The UE shall follow the policy/parameters defined in clause 5.1 of TS 23.586 [2] for authorization with the network. TS 23.586 [2] clause 5.6 also specifies that Ranging/SL Positioning service can be exposed to an authorized SL Positioning Client UE5GC NF or AF or LCS client to obtain the relative or absolution distance/direction result between two UEs capable of Ranging/SL positioning.

This clause specifies the authorization requirements and procedures for the operations in Ranging/SL positioning services wherever authorization or privacy check is required.

### 6.3.2 Authorization requirements

The 5G system shall support the authorization of the role of the UE (e.g. as a Target UE/SL Reference UE/SL Positioning Server UE/Located UE) in a Ranging/Sidelink Positioning service.

The 5G system shall support authorization of the UE for Ranging/SL positioning communication in unicast mode, broadcast/groupcast mode.

The 5G system shall support authorization of the AF, 5GC NF, LCS Client or SL Positioning Client UE, for Ranging/SL Positioning service exposure.The 5G system shall support authorization of the SL Target UE/SL Reference UE/Located UE for Ranging/SL Positioning service privacy information sharing between peer UEs.

The 5G system shall support privacy protection of the to-be-measured UEs for Ranging/SL Positioning service exposure.

Note: It is assumed that all the SL UE(s) selected as SL Positioning Server UE are assumed to be trusted and therefore not required for authorization.
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