**Issue 1-2-2: Necessity of interruption requirement in RRM when more carriers are considered**

|  |
| --- |
| RF agreements in RAN4#114:**Issue 1: Scope clarification**- Specify the switching period(s) based on the scenario with the switching between two low bands in Rel-19 WI- It is not precluded that such two low bands are further combined with the additional band- The combination of those two low bands with other band can be further discussed in the future release |

* Option 1 (CATT, Apple, Xiaomi, Samsung, MTK, QC): RAN4 RRM to close the issue of RRM requirements when more carriers are considered in Rel-19.
	+ Note: If the case of more than 2CCs is introduced in R19, RRM can revisit this issue. (Apple)
* Option 2 (HW): If RAN4 agree to define requirements for more carriers scenario, switching between FDD and SDL would interrupt other combined active SCell, the interruption length is $\left⌈\frac{T\_{switching}}{Slot-length-victim}\right⌉+1$. If no common understanding on RF agreement, it is fine not to specify requirements in R19.
* Option 3(Nokia):
	+ If band combos with 3 or more bands are included where 2 bands use LBCA-sw, RRM will discuss the interruption requirements.
	+ Rel-19 LBCA-sw RRM requirements do not apply if more than 2 cells are active simultaneously.
* Recommended WF
	+ [Moderator]: Based on the agreements from RF session in RAN4#114, check if option 1 is agreeable.
	+ Option 1: RAN4 RRM to close the issue of RRM requirements when more carriers are considered in Rel-19.

**Issue 1-2-3: Interruption or scheduling restriction requirement (if needed) for carrier switching between FDD CC and SDL CC**

* Proposal 1 (MTK):
	+ There is no need for further requirements to be defined for the switching time, interruption, and scheduling restrictions, hence, RAN4 RRM to close this issue.
* Recommended WF
	+ [Moderator]: Close this issue