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***-----------------Start of the Changes-------------------***

### 16.15.5 Non-Homogeneous support of PDU set based handling in NG-RAN

During a handover from a gNB supporting PDU Set based handling to another gNB, the source gNB signals the PDU Set Information over Xn-U if the target node has signalled the support of PDU Set based handling in the Xn Handover Request Acknowledge message.

During a handover or a transition from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED or a re-establishmen from a gNB not supporting PDU Set based handling to a gNB supporting PDU Set based handling, the target gNB may indicate the support of PDU Set based handling to the SMF during the Path Switch Request procedure (in case of Xn handover) or Handover Resource Allocation procedure (in case of NG handover), the SMF will act as specified in TS 23.501[3]. If the indication is absent, the SMF infers that PDU Set based handling is not supported by the target NG-RAN node, then the SMF will act as specified in TS 23.501[3].

During a handover from a NG-RAN node not supporting PDU Set based handling to a NG-RAN node supporting PDU Set based handling, the target NG-RAN node may receive unmarked PDU(s) (i.e. PDU(s) without PDU Set Information Container) forwarded from the source NG-RAN node or last serving gNB, and marked PDU(s) (i.e. PDU(s) with PDU Set Information Container) from UPF, how the target NG-RAN node handles the marked and unmarked PDUs for the same QoS flow is up to implementation.

During a transition from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED or a re-establishment with a serving gNB supporting PDU Set based handling, the serving gNB may indicate the support of PDU Set based handling to the SMF during the Path Switch Request procedure, the SMF will act as specified in TS 23.501[3]. If the indication is absent, the SMF infers that PDU Set based handling is not supported by the serving gNB, then the SMF will act as specified in TS 23.501[3]. In case the last serving gNB does not support PDU Set based handling, the serving gNB may receive unmarked PDU(s) (i.e. PDU(s) without PDU Set Information Container) forwarded from the last serving gNB and marked PDU(s) (i.e. PDU(s) with PDU Set Information Container) from UPF, how the serving gNB node handles the marked and unmarked PDUs for the same QoS flow is up to implementation.J

***-----------------End of the Change-------------------***