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\*\*\* 2nd CHANGE \*\*\*

## 3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

AK Anonymity Key

AKA Authentication and Key Agreement

B-TID Bootstrapping Transaction Identifier

BSF Bootstrapping Server Function

CA Certificate Authority

CBOR Concise Binary Object Representation

CoAP Constrained Application Protocol

FQDN Fully Qualified Domain Name

GAA Generic Authentication Architecture

GBA Generic Bootstrapping Architecture

GBA\_ME ME-based GBA

GBA\_U GBA with UICC-based enhancements

GUSS GBA User Security Settings

HLR Home Location Register

HSS Home Subscriber System

IK Integrity Key

KDF Key Derivation Function

KISS Key Indicator for Service Selection

Ks\_int\_NAF Derived key in GBA\_U which remains on UICC

Ks\_ext\_NAF Derived key in GBA\_U

MNO Mobile Network Operator

NAF Network Application Function

OSCORE Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments

PKI Public Key Infrastructure

SLF Subscriber Locator Function

TMPI Temporary IP Multimedia Private Identity

USS User Security Setting

\*\*\* 3rd CHANGE \*\*\*

# H.3 Ua security protocol identifiers for 3GPP specified protocols

The following Ua security protocol identifiers are specified by 3GPP:

 ( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00 ) Ua security protocol according to TS 33.221 [5].

 ( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x01 ) Ua security protocols according to TS 33.246 [26].

NOTE 1: TS 33.246 [26] provides key separation between the keys that are used within HTTP digest and MIKEY protocols.

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x02) Ua security protocol HTTP digest authentication according to TS 24.109 [29], unless HTTP digest authentication is used in the context of another Ua security protocol, which is already covered elsewhere in this Annex.

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x03 ) Ua security protocols used with HTTP-based security procedures for MBMS user services according to TS 26.237 [38].

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x04 ) Ua security protocols used with SIP-based security procedures for MBMS user services according to TS 26.237 [38].

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x05 ) Ua security protocols used with Generic Push Layer according to TS 33.224 [39], unless Generic Push Layer is used in the context of another Ua security protocol, which is already covered elsewhere in this Annex.

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x06 ) Ua security protocol for IMS UE to KMS http based message exchanges according to "IMS media plane security", TS 33.328 [40]

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x07 ) Ua security protocol for shared key TLS 1.3 given in clause 5.4.0.2 of TS 33.222 [25].

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x08 ) Ua security protocol for OSCORE according to Annex Y.

( 0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x09 ) Ua security protocol for DTLS according to Annex O.

 ( 0x01,0x00,0x00, 0x01,0x00 ) Generation of TMPI according to Annex B.4.

NOTE 2: This protocol identifier is not strictly a Ua protocol identifier, but its use in key derivation function is exactly equal.to a Ua protocol identifier.

( 0x01,0x00,0x01,yy,zz ) Ua security protocol for "Shared key-based UE authentication with certificate-based NAF authentication", according to TS 33.222 [25] section 5.3, or "Shared key-based mutual authentication between UE and NAF" for TLS 1.2 (see above for Ua security protocol identifier for TLS 1.3 with shared keys), according to TS 33.222 [25] section 5.4.0.1. Here, "yy,zz" is the protection mechanism CipherSuite code according to the defined values for TLS CipherSuites in the IANA TLS Cipher Suite Registry which is referenced in RFC 8446 [59].

 NOTE 3: The "Certificate based mutual authentication between UE and NAF” according to TS 33.222 [25] section 5.5 does not require a Ua protocol identifier.

NOTE 4: As an example: The TLS 1.2 CipherSuite TLS\_ECDHE\_ECDSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256 has code = { 0xC0,0x2B }, thus the according protocol identifier shall be ( 0x01,0x00,0x01, 0xC0,0x2B  ).

( 0x01,0x00,0x02,yy,zz ) Ua security protocol for "Shared key-based UE authentication with certificate-based NAF authentication", according to TS 33.222 [25] Annex D. Here, "yy,zz" is the protection mechanism CipherSuite code according to the defined values for TLS CipherSuites in the IANA TLS Cipher Suite Registry which is referenced in RFC 8446 [59]. This Ua security protocol identifier is used for the case outlined in TS 33.222 [5] Annex D, where e.g. HTML FORM based authentication is used within a TLS tunnel.

 NOTE 4: The third octet (0x02) distinguish this case from other protocols tunneled inside the TLS tunnel.

\*\*\* 4th CHANGE \*\*\*

# Annex Y (normative): Ua security protocol: Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE)

## Y.1 General

This annex describes how to secure access to NAF using Object Security for Constrained RESTful Environments (OSCORE) [XX]. OSCORE is a lightweight security protocol protecting REST-based communication, designed for use with the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) [XY]. OSCORE protects the CoAP payload and REST parameters such as URI path, media type and method (GET, PUT, POST, DELETE, etc.) but is independent of transport, which makes it suitable for securing application data across gateways and with interchanging transport. OSCORE, like CoAP, is designed for proxy operations to support constrained devices e.g. sleeping for long times to save power. OSCORE could be used instead of or in addition to security protocols at other layers, e.g. transport layer security between the core network and AF.

In the context of the GBA Ua protocol specified in this clause, the UE is assumed to be CoAP Client and the NAF is assumed to be CoAP Server.Figure Y.1-1 shows a network model of the OSCORE GBA Ua protocol.



Figure Y.1-1: Network model of OSCORE in the context of GBA

## Y.2 Requirements

### Y.2.1 General

This Annex covers the aspects specific to the GBA Ua protocol based on OSCORE. This feature is optional to be supported for the UE and NAF. If the feature is supported, the following clauses apply.

### Y.2.2 Requirements on the UE

To utilise GBA as described in this document the UE shall be equipped with an CoAP capable client implementing the particular features of GBA as specified in this document.

The support of OSCORE as a GBA Ua protocol for the UE is optional.

The UE hosts the CoAP client which supports OSCORE. The CoAP client supporting OSCORE may reside in the ME or in the UICC or both might host a CoAP client supporting OSCORE independently of each other. When the CoAP capable client supporting OSCORE to be used is in the ME, Ks\_(ext)\_NAF shall be used as the shared key between the UE and the NAF. When the CoAP capable client supporting OSCORE to be used is located in the UICC, Ks\_int\_NAF shall be used as the shared key between the UE and the NAF.

### Y.2.3 Requirements on the NAF

To utilise GBA as described in this document the NAF shall support the features of GBA as specified in this document.

The support of OSCORE as a GBA Ua protocol for the NAF is optional.

It shall be possible that the NAF is configured to restrict the access to the service based on which key is used, (e.g., access is allowed only for those CoAP capable clients supporting OSCORE that reside in the UICC and use Ks\_int\_NAF). The key selection indication given in the USS shall overrule the local policy of the NAF.

### Y.2.4 Requirements on the OSCORE

The requirements for OSCORE are described in IETF RFC 6813 [XX]. OSCORE derives keys using an HMAC-based key derivation function (HKDF), and protects the communication using an authenticated encryption with additional data (AEAD) algorithm. The AEAD algorithm AES-CCM-16-64-128 defined in the IETF RFC 8152 [XW] with 128-bit key, 13-byte nonce, and 64-bit tag is mandatory to implement, as is HKDF with SHA-256. Other algorithms may be specified in the optional OSC-INP parameter.

## Y.3 OSCORE as a GBA Ua protocol

### Y.3.1 General

The OSCORE as a GBA Ua protocol is specified in this clause by providing the details about the procedures, the OSCORE security context and how it is related to the GBA Ks\_(ext/int)\_NAF and the encoding of OSCORE messages using CBOR specified in IETF RFC 8949 [XZ].

### Y.3.2 Procedures

This section explains how the procedures specified in this document have to be enhanced when OSCORE is used as a Ua protocol between a UE and an NAF. The following gives the complementary description with respect to the procedure specified in clause 4.5.3 or in clause 5.3.3. In the text below, the CoAP Client is assumed to be an application on the UE.

The procedure to establish OSCORE protected communication is shown in Figure Y.3.2-1 and includes the following steps:

1) The CoAP Client (UE) shall send a CoAP request to the NAF. This is the Application Request in Step 1 in clause 4.5.3 or in clause 5.3.3. The CoAP request shall consist of the following:

i) CoAP Method: POST

ii) URI of the GBA resource on the NAF. The URI shall have the format of <NAF\_IP\_or\_FQDN>/gba, where NAF\_IP\_or\_FQDN indicates the IP address or the FQDN of the host that hosts the NAF.

NOTE Y: It is assumed that the NAF IP address or FQDN is already provisioned to the UE for GBA purposes.

iii) Payload: CoAP Security protocol identifer, B-TID, KISS list, N1, NAF-SID, ?OSC-INP

 The parameter CoAP Security protocol identifier is an octet that identifies the security protocol used for the CoAP transfer layer. In the case of OSCORE this parameter shall take the value of "01".

NOTE X: The parameter "CoAP Security protocol identifier" is used for distinguishing between different security protocols used in conjuction with CoAP. OSCORE is on one such protocol and others can potentially be introduced in the future.

 The KISS (Key Indicator for Service Selection) list identifies the type of GBA keys used later for the establishement of the OSCORE security context. The UE includes all the different types of keys it supports. The UE shall use the following values:

a) 0x01 indicates that the UE accepts that AKA-based Ks\_(ext)\_NAF is used to establish the OSCORE security context.

b) 0x02 indicates that the UE accepts that Ks\_int\_NAF is used to establish the OSCORE security context.

c) 0x04 indicates that the UE accepts that GBA\_Digest-based Ks\_NAF is used to establish the OSCORE security context.

 The parameters N1, NAF-SID and ?OSC-INP are specific to OSCORE. N1 is a nonce sent by the UE to the NAF. The NAF-SID is the OSCORE Sender Identifier for the NAF and it is an identifier generated by the UE to enable short locally unique identifiers. The parameter "?OSC-INP" is an optional parameter denoting any additional OSCORE input provided by the UE to the NAF.

2) Steps 2-3 of clause 4.5.3 or of clause 5.3.3 in this specification. If the NAF receives an error message in these steps then the NAF may terminate the procedure.

3) The CoAP Server (NAF) shall respond to the CoAP Client (UE) with a CoAP response. This is the Application Answer in Step 4 in clause 4.5.3 or in clause 5.3.3. The response shall have the following content:

i) Response Code: "Created"

ii) Payload: Selected KISS, N2, UE-SID

- The parameter "Selected KISS " includes the specific KISS value from the KISS list provided by the UE, which was selected by the NAF.

 The parameters N2, UE-SID are specific to OSCORE. N2 is a nonce sent by the NAF to the UE. The UE-SID is the OSCORE Sender Identifier for the UE and it is an identifier generated by the NAF to enable short locally unique identifiers.

4a-4b) The UE and the NAF shall derive the OSCORE security context specified in clause Y.3.3.

5-6) The UE and NAF proceed using protected OSCORE requests/responses.



Figure Y.3.2-1: OSCORE Ua protocol

### Y.3.3 OSCORE Security context

The OSCORE security context consists of the following parts:

- OSCORE Master Secret (OMS): A shared key between the CoAP Client and CoAP Server.

- Master Salt: A shared salt shared between the CoAP Client and CoAP Server.

- UE-SID: The UE Sender Identifier

- NAF-SID: The NAF Sender Identifier

- OSCORE Version: The version of the OSCORE protocol

- HKDF: HMAC-based Key Derivation Function

- AEAD Algorithm: The algorithm used for encryption and integirty protection

- OSCORE ID Context: An identifier which identifies the OSCORE context

The OSCORE security context for the OSCORE Ua protocol shall have the following values:

- OMS = OSCORE Master Secret = HKDF(Ks\_(int/ext)\_NAF, "GBA-OSCORE"), where Ks\_(int/ext)\_NAF is the shared key between the UE and NAF and it follows the semantics of this document for GBA i.e. Ks\_(int/ext)\_NAF refers to Ks\_NAF from GBA\_ME, Ks\_int\_NAF or Ks\_ext\_NAF from GBA\_U.

- Master Salt = Request Payload | Response Payload

- UE Sender ID = UE-SID generated by CoAP Server and sent to the CoAP Client in the Application Response (Step 3 in clause Y.3.2)

- NAF Sender ID = NAF-SID generated by CoAP Client and sent to the CoAP Server in the Application Request (Step 1 in clause Y.3.2)

 where HKDF shall be the HMAC-based Key Derivation Function specified in IETF RFC 5869 [ZZ]

The other OSCORE parameters in the OSCORE security context shall have default values unless superseded by the optional parameter OSC-INP provided by the CoAP Client in Step 1 in clause Y.3.2. The default values of the rest of the OSCORE parameters in the OSCORE security context are:

- OSCORE Version: default version 1

- HKDF: default HKDF with SHA-256

- AEAD Algorithm: default AES-CCM-16-64-128

- OSCORE ID Context: default nil

### Y.3.4 Refresh of OSCORE key material

OSCORE allows both the communication endpoints (UE or NAF) to renegotiate the OSCORE security context after the OSCORE security context is established, according to Appendix B.2 in IETF RFC 8613 [XX] , which is shown in the figure Y.3.4-1, alaternative A1.

Furthermore since the OSCORE master secret is derived from the Ks\_(int/ext)\_NAF and since GBA includes a separate bootstrapping protocol (Ub) the OSCORE key material can be refreshed by refreshing the Ks\_(int/ext)\_NAF key. As a result the NAF may respond to the UE that a new Ks\_(int/ext)\_NAF is needed by initiating an explict request or a respond to a UE request with an indicator "Ub bootstrapping required". Upon sending such explicit message or response to the UE, the NAF shall teminate any ongoing OSCORE session. Upon receiving such indicator the UE shall terminate any current ongoing OSCORE session and UE shall run the Ub bootstrapping and re-establish the OSCORE application session, figure Y.3.4-1, alternative steps A2.



Figure Y.3.4-1: OSCORE key refresh

### Y.3.5 OSCORE Ua protocol payload encoding

IETF CoAP and OSCORE shall use the IETF Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) specified in the IETF RFC 8949 [XZ] for payload encoding for efficient information transfer between constrained IoT devices.

The CoAP media type for CBOR encoding shall be:

- Media Type: application/cbor

- CoAP Content-Format: 60

The Request Payload in the Application Request message shall be formatted as a CBOR Array as follows:

Request Payload = [
 B-TID : tstr,
 KISS list: bstr,
 N1 : bstr,
 NAF-SID : bstr,
 ? OSC-INP: bstr
]OSC-INP = { //CBOR Map
 ? 1 => int, ; version
 ? 3 => int, ; hkdf
 ? 4 => int, ; alg
 ? 5 => bstr, ; salt
 ? 6 => bstr ; contextId
}

NOTE X: The KISS list is an octet with value equal to logical OR of the different KISS values that the UE supports.

The Response Payload in the Application Answer shall be formatted as a CBOR Array as follows:

Request Payload = [
 B-TID : tstr,
 Selected KISS: bstr,
 N1 : bstr,
 NAF-SID : bstr,
 ? OSC-INP: bstr
]OSC-INP = {
 ? 1 => int, ; version
 ? 3 => int, ; hkdf
 ? 4 => int, ; alg
 ? 5 => bstr, ; salt
 ? 6 => bstr ; contextId

\*\*\* END OF CHANGES \*\*\*