Georg/SA plenary Chair is invited to join SA3 work plan meeting.

Georg gives a short presentation on SA level.

Georg states if there is objection in WG, it should not be sent to plenary directly. It should be discussed in next WG meeting. 2nd way is to bring company contribution to plenary and points out if there is only very minor objection in WG. 3rd way is to set working agreement.

[Lenovo] asks what is the scope that working agreement can be set?

Georg replies that it is upto the Chair and the group.

[Huawei] asks the location about Nov. Meeting.

SA3 chair replies in France, Toulouse.

Work plan

4.1 MnF SCAS

[Huawei] presents.

(No question).

4.3 Mission critical

[MSI]:present. Everything is ok.

(No question.)

4.6 split-gNB SCAS

VC shows slides.

(No question.）

5.1 FBS SID and WID

[Apple] presents. No updates on FBS. There is some progress about SERP.

5.3 Prose\_phase 2

[CATT] presents. Asks when could be last SA3 meeting for R18.

Chair clarifies April meeting must be the last meeting. Suggest to set target as February meeting.

[CATT] emphasizes as key issue with empty threats and/or requirements is not accepted in this meeting, it should be allowed to bring key issue and solution in same meeting. The objection should not be considered as valid to solution accompanied with new key issue just because there is no existing key issue.

[Nokia] asks whether it is fit for all future meeting or just next meeting.

[CATT] replies it should be considered as the principle for next meeting.

[CATT] presents status for 5G Prose.

5.4 Id\_privacy

[Interdigital] presents.

[Huawei] asks question about the scope. Whether the SID exclude RAN or not.

[Interdigital] replies, not exclude.

5.10 PIN security

[ViVo] presents.

Chair asks whether there will be more key issues as there are only 2 now.

[Vivo] confirms. There will be more.

Chair comments it would be late to introduce new key issues after August meeting.

[ViVo] replies. It depends on SA2 progress. October meeting may still has chance to introduce more.

5.12 network slicing

[Huawei] presents and would like to raise issue for discussion: whether to progress KIs only after SA2’s conclusions.

Chair comments it is not practical to set up SID only after SA2’s conclusion.

[Interdigital] comment and requests to postpone the discussion.

5.14 AIML\_ngRAN security

[Ericsson] presents.

(No question.)

5.15 RTC security

[Huawei] presents.

(No question.)

5.16 eNPN security

[Ericsson] presents.

Chair asks question: only 2 questions are mentioned now. Is there any more key issues in future?

[Ericsson] replies.

Chair comments to keep them open, do not rule out any potential solutions.

[Ericsson] clarifies.

5.17 UAS

VC shows slides.

(No question.)

5.18 URSP rules security

[Lenovo] presents.

(No question.)

5.19 Ranging security

[Xiaomi] presents.

(No question.)

5.21 Zero trust

[Lenovo] presents.

(No question.)

5.22 User consent

[Huawei] presents.

(No question.)

5.23 5MBS security

[Huawei] presents.

(No question.)

5.24 eSBA security

VC shows slides.

(No question.)

[Huawei] asks question. Does it needs to have work plan for WID also?

Chair confirms.

General issue proposed by Interdigital

-Impediments During SA3 Studies and Proposed Solutions

[Interdigital] presents.

[Huawei/Noamen] asks questions for clarification, about whether the target is 3GPP feature or SA3’s own feature?

[Interdigital] replies.

[Ericsson] comments. For “R-16...”, the argument is useful to reduce workload of SA3. But agree with the opinion to keep closer with other SA working group.

[Huawei/Noamen] asks question the meaning about “pending”.

[Interdigital] clarifies.

[Huawei/Zander] agrees with the opinion about the relationship with other WG, and proposes way forward how to work together with other WG.

[Xiaomi] also consider the dependency with other WG is a general key issue.

Chair comments some parallel work is needed, but some example shows solutions are not selected by SA2 but be set as SA3 principle.

Chair suggests when SA2 solution are obvious or more possible be set for future progress, it is encouraged to start work.

[Interdigital] comments.

Chair comments dependency is mutual. SA2 is also dependent on SA3 to make progress, but with ENs studies continue.

[Interdigital] comments.

[Oppo] comments SA3 should be able to study, but final conclusion can be kept pending.