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# 1 Introduction

This paper collects comments for service continuity in 38331 runing CR

* [Post130][402][Relay] Rel-19 relay service continuity CR to 38.331 (CATT)

Scope: Update the CR in R2-2503430 to take into account agreements of RAN2#130.

Intended outcome: Endorsed draft CR for merge into WI RRC CR before RAN2#131

Deadline: June 20th

Please fill in the contact information in the table below

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact Person** | **Email Address** |
| Sharp | Takuma Kawano | Kawano.takuma@mail.sharp |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Examining the running CR

This section is used to collect comments for the running CR in *draft\_CR\_Introduction of Service Continuity for MH Sidelink Relay in 38331*.

***Question: Any comments on the running CR?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Issue** | **Suggestion** |
| Sharp | Typo in 3.1  **UE-to-Network Relay discovery:** A mode of NR sidelink discovery in which a UE disovers other UEs for U2N Relay communication. | It is fixed to “discovers”.  [Rapp] Fix it, thanks. |
| Sharp | 5.3.5.5.2 Reconfiguration with sync  Upon L2 U2N Relay UE receiving *reconfigurationWithSync*, it either indicates to upper layers (to trigger PC5 unicast link release with Child UE) or sends *NotificationMessageSidelink* message to the connected L2 U2N Remote UE(s) or Child UE in accordance with 5.8.9.10. | (s) is added after child UE.  We understood that RAN2 supports tree-like topology, thus it should be changed to child UE(s).  [Rapp] It is ok to add (s) after child UE, thanks. |
| Sharp | Changes on Conditional presence “*DirectToIndirect-PathSwitch*” | Maybe, RAN2 can keep it as it is since indirect path includes single-hop indirect path and multi-hop indirect path.  [Rapp] It is no harm to clarify clearly with the current change, let’s further hear if there is any other company’s view.  In our opinion, regarding to whether it is intra-gNB or inter-gNB, it can be clarified in stage-2 spec. (From UE perspective, a UE doesn’t care whether the path switch is intra-gNB or inter-gNB (i.e., rely on RRCReconfiguration).)  [Rapp] The “intra-gNB” part is deleted, thanks. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |