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# 1 Introduction

This is a summary document on collection of comments to TS 38.321 CR for LP-WUS during below running CR discussion:

* [Post130][213][LPWUS] Running CR for 38.321 (Apple)

Intended outcome: Updated and reviewed the CR for endorsement, update the open issue list if needed, can also discuss open issues to form proposals to the next meeting

Companies are invited to provide comments/additional issues in the below table by 31st July, 2025.

# 2 Collection of comments

Please provide your comments in below table, and Rapporteur will response. Please do not insert any comments in running CR directly, which is hard for Rapporteur to follow all comments.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Detailed comments** | **Rapporteur response** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Open issue list

Followings are the Editor’s NOTE in the running CR.

|  |
| --- |
| Editor’s NOTE: The parameter name may be further updated to align with the name used in RRC specification.  <Dual DRX group>  Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* is configured per DRX group or common to DRX groups.  Editor’s NOTE: The case where *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* is not configured includes legacy DRX operation and LP-WUS option 1-1, but not LP-WUS option 1-2.  <Option 1-1>  Editor’s NOTE: The case where LP-WUS monitoring is configured without *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* is LP-WUS Option 1-1.  Editor’s NOTE: The DRX operation in LP-WUS Option 1-1 takes DCP description as baseline.  Editor’s NOTE: The working assumption for UE operation under collision for Option 1-1 needs to be confirmed.  <Option 1-2>  Editor’s NOTE: The case where *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* is configured is LP-WUS Option 1-2.  Editor’s NOTE: The LP-WUS based DRX model is that LP-WUS monitoring and sending LP-WUS indication (together with the timepoint to start timer in Option 1-2) to MAC is captured in RAN1 spec (38.213), and the DRX operation based on the LP-WUS indication is captured in MAC spec.  Editor’s NOTE: The relationship between UE's LP-WUS monitoring and DRX active time is assumed to be reflected in RAN1 spec (38.213), so we will not capture this part in MAC spec.  Editor’s NOTE: FFS in Option 1-2 whether the UE should start the *lpwus\_PDCCHMonitoringTimer* (as if LP-WUS was detected) when the UE is not able to monitor the LP-WUS occasion(s). |

The following RAN2 progress needs further confirmation.

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#129bis progress**   * Working assumption for the case of potential collision (if any): In Option 1-1, when the UE is not able to monitor the LP-WUS occasion(s) the UE should start the drx-OnDurationTimer (as if LP-WUS was detected). FFS for Option 1-2. |
| **RAN2#130 progress**   * Working assumption: LP-WUS can be configured on the PCell with secondary DRX. LP-WUS with secondary DRX is supported with option 1-1 and 1-2, i.e. the UE monitors LP-WUS before the on-duration occasion or periodically outside ActiveTime. When LP-WUS is detected, then UE starts the drx-onDurationTimer (with option 1-1) or the lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer (with option 1-2) in both DRX groups. * Check whether we need to capture in MAC that UE is not expected to monitor LP-WUS if not in Cell DTX active period. |

According to the EN list in running CR, and the RAN2 working assumptions for further confirmation, MAC open issues can be summarized as follows:

* Open issue 1: Support of LPWUS with dual DRX group.
* Open issue 2: UE operation for potential collision.
* Open issue 3: MAC spec impact to support the LP-WUS in Cell DTX operation.

In addition to the above 3 Open issues, please provide your comments on any other MAC specific open issues for discussion in the table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Open issue** | **Rapporteur response** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Discussion of the Open issues

## Open issue 1: Support of LP-WUS with dual DRX group

There are three sub-issues:

* + Issue 1-1: Confirm the RAN2 WF or not?
  + Issue 1-2: If supported, whether to monitor LP-WUS on PCell if the secondary DRX group is in DRX active time?
  + Issue 1-3: If supported, for option 1-2, is lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer configured per DRX group or common for both groups?

Open issue 1-1: Confirm to support the LP-WUS with dual DRX group.

RAN2 made the following working assumption to support the LP-WUS with dual DRX group in RAN2#130 meeting.

As no concerns were raised during the online discussion, Rapporteur think that we can confirm it and discuss the details.

**Proposal 1: Confirm the following working assumption to support LP-WUS with dual DRX group.**

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#130 progress**   * Working assumption: LP-WUS can be configured on the PCell with secondary DRX. LP-WUS with secondary DRX is supported with option 1-1 and 1-2, i.e. the UE monitors LP-WUS before the on-duration occasion or periodically outside ActiveTime. When LP-WUS is detected, then UE starts the drx-onDurationTimer (with option 1-1) or the lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer (with option 1-2) in both DRX groups. |

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether to agree the proposal 1.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

Open issue 1-2: If supported, whether to monitor LP-WUS on PCell if the secondary DRX group is in DRX active time?

If the secondary DRX group is not configured, UE only monitor LP-WUS when UE is not in DRX active time.

With the secondary DRX group configuration, there is a new case that the default DRX group (including PCell) is not in DRX active time, but the secondary DRX group is in DRX active time.

For this new case, there are three options:

* Option 1: UE monitors LP-WUS when both DRX groups are not in DRX active time;
* Option 2: UE monitors LP-WUS when the default DRX group is not in DRX active time and secondary DRX group is in DRX active time.

According to the following RAN1#121 agreement which is indicated in RAN1 LS (R1-2504888), UE is not able to operate LR and MR simultaneously in Rel-19, which means UE cannot monitor LP-WUS and PDCCH at the same time.

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN1 Agreement:**  As the reply to RAN2 LS in R1-2503616, RAN1 assumes that UE is not able to operate LR and MR simultaneously in Rel-19. RAN1 understanding is that the terminology of LR and MR operations are for discussion purpose and will not be specified   * LR operation is the UE operation for LP-WUS monitoring * MR operation is the UE operation for all other NR signals/channels transmissions/receptions in connected mode |

Therefore, if the secondary DRX group is in active time, UE will monitor PDCCH on MR, and UE cannot monitor LP-WUS on LR simultaneously.

**Observation: According to RAN1 agreements, UE cannot monitor LP-WUS and PDCCH simultaneously.**

Based on the RAN1 agreements and the observation, if secondary DRX group is configured, UE cannot monitor LP-WUS when any DRX group is in DRX active time. We can only go for Option 1.

**Proposal 2: If secondary DRX group is configured, UE monitors LP-WUS only when both DRX groups are not in DRX active time.**

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether to agree proposal 2.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

Open issue 1-3: If supported, for option 1-2, is *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* configured per DRX group or common for both groups?

In legacy dual DRX group configuration, the *drx-onDurationTimer* and *drx-InactivityTimer* can be configured with different values for two DRX groups, and the value for the secondary DRX group should be smaller than that for the default DRX group.
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For the LP-WUS configuration with the secondary DRX group configuration, according to the existing configuration, in Option 1-1, the *drx-onDurationTimer* configuration and the restriction for dual DRX groups should be kept.

* The *drx-onDurationTimer* configuration for secondary DRX group is smaller than that for the default group.

For Option 1-2, the same principle should be also applied for *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* configuration.

* The *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* configuration for secondary DRX group is smaller than that for the default group.

**Proposal 3: If secondary DRX group is configured, the *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* configuration for secondary DRX group is different from that for the default DRX group.**

**Proposal 3a: The *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* configuration for secondary DRX group is smaller than that for the default DRX group.**

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether to agree proposal 3 and 3a.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

## Open issue 2: UE operation for the potential collision

There are three sub-issues:

* + Issue 2-1: Confirm the RAN2 WF on UE operation for potential collision for Option 1-1?
  + Issue 2-2: What cases are considered as the potential collision?
  + Issue 2-3: What’s the UE operation for potential collision in Option 1-2?

Open issue 2-1: Confirm the RAN2 WF on UE operation for potential collision for Option 1-1?

RAN2 made the following progress in RAN2#129bis, and RAN1 confirmed the collision case in RAN1#121 agreements and indicated it in RAN1 LS (R1-2504888)

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#129bis progress**   * Working assumption for the case of potential collision (if any): In Option 1-1, when the UE is not able to monitor the LP-WUS occasion(s) the UE should start the drx-OnDurationTimer (as if LP-WUS was detected). FFS for Option 1-2. |
| **RAN1#121 Agreement:**  As the initial reply to RAN2 LS in R1-2503616, RAN1 confirms that at least the collision with Active Time, measurement gap, and RAR window monitoring for BFR can be considered for the cases/scenarios on when the UE is not able to monitor LP-WUS. |

Therefore, Rapporteur think that we can confirm it for option 1-1

**Proposal 4: Confirm the following RAN2#129bis working assumption for Option 1-1.**

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#129bis progress**   * Working assumption for the case of potential collision (if any): In Option 1-1, when the UE is not able to monitor the LP-WUS occasion(s) the UE should start the drx-OnDurationTimer (as if LP-WUS was detected). FFS for Option 1-2. |

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether to agree the proposal 4.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

Open issue 2-2: What cases are considered as the potential collision?

RAN1 LS/agreements confirm the two collision cases:

Case 1) measurement gap, and Case 2) RAR window monitoring for BFR.

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN1#121 Agreement:**  As the initial reply to RAN2 LS in R1-2503616, RAN1 confirms that at least the collision with Active Time, measurement gap, and RAR window monitoring for BFR can be considered for the cases/scenarios on when the UE is not able to monitor LP-WUS. |

As LP-WUS Option 1-1 design takes DCP as baseline, we should also consider the UE internal processing timing which is highlighted in yellow in current DCP part (as below) in LP-WUS operation, and consider the same description for LP-WUS operation in Option 1-1.

* Current DCP text to capture the UE operation for the collision and timing issue.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

* The proposed LP-WUS text to capture the UE operation in Option 1-1 for the collision and timing issue.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Companies are invited to provide comments on the proposed LP-WUS operation in Option 1-1 for potential collision and internal processing timing issue.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

Issue 2-3: What’s the UE operation for potential collision in Option 1-2?

Regarding the UE operation for the potential collision in Option 1-2, it’s FFS in RAN2#129bis discussion and RAN1 would like RAN2 to make decision for Option 1-2.

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#129bis progress**  Working assumption for the case of potential collision (if any): In Option 1-1, when the UE is not able to monitor the LP-WUS occasion(s) the UE should start drx-OnDurationTimer (as if LP-WUS was detected). FFS for Option 1-2. |
| **RAN1#121 Conclusion**  From RAN1 perspective, for the case of potential collision (if any) in Option 1-2, when the UE is not able to monitor all the LP-WUS MO(s) in a LP-WUS periodicity,   * It is up to RAN2 to further discuss and finalize the specification support, if any. |

We need to check companies view on whether and how to handle the collision in Option 1-2. There are three options:

* Option 1: UE starts the *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* when there is collision. (Same operation as Option 1-1)
* Option 2: UE doesnot start the *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* when there is collision.
* Option 3: NW can configure UE whether to start the *lpwus-PDCCHMonitoringTimer* or not when there is collision.

**Companies are invited to provide the preference amongst the 3 options for collision in Option 1-2.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred Option** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

## Open issue 3: MAC spec impact to support the LP-WUS in Cell DTX operation

RAN1#120bis agreed that UE doesnot monitor LP-WUS during Cell DTX inactive time.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**  For RRC CONNECTED mode when LP-WUS is configured with Cell DTX, during Cell DTX inactive time, **t**he UE is not expected to monitor LP-WUS both for Option 1-1 and 1-2 |

And RAN2 needs to further check the MAC spec impact to reflect the RAN1 agreements.

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN2#130 progress**   * Check whether we need to capture in MAC that UE is not expected to monitor LP-WUS if not in Cell DTX active period. |

After further check the RAN1 agreed R19 38.213 CR for LP-WUS (R1-2504971), it has been captured in RAN1 spec as below.

|  |
| --- |
| 10.4D PDCCH monitoring activation by WUS in RRC\_CONNECTED **……**  A UE does not monitor WUS during DTX inactive period for the primary cell. |

Therefore, the change in MAC spec is not needed. and RAN2 doesnot need to further discuss this issue.

**Proposal 5: There is no MAC spec impact to reflect the LP-WUS operation in Cell DTX operation.**

**Companies are invited to provide the comments if have different view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

# 3 Conclusion

Based on post-meeting email discussion,