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# 1 Introduction

This is a discussion document on 37.340 related issues for LP-WUS:

* [Post129][213][LPWUS] Running CR for TS 37.340 (ZTE)

Intended outcome: Running CR for submission to the next meeting

Deadline: Long

# 2 Discussion

## Issue 1: LP-WUS monitoring in NR-DC

The following agreements have been achieved in RAN1#118bis for the support of LP-WUS for DC case:

Agreement

LP-WUS is supported when UE is configured with NR-DC in RRC CONNECTED mode

* Above is supported for the case PDCCH monitoring is triggered by LP-WUS in the same cell group
* FFS: The cell(s) where PDCCH monitoring triggered by a LP-WUS is applicable

For NR-DC case when LP-WUS is supported, RAN1 has agreed that LP-WUS can only trigger PDCCH monitoring in the same cell group. We understand the LP-WUS operation would be per cell group.

Then within each cell group, it is worth considering the LP-WUS monitoring and the follow up PDCCH monitoring behaviors.

In NR-DC MCG, similar as the DCP monitoring, we understand the LP-WUS can only be configured to be monitored on the PCell.

**Question 1: Do companies agree that in NR-DC MCG, the LP-WUS can only be configured to be monitored on the PCell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes | We do not see the purpose of other configuration options.  FYI: the RAN1 agreement from Athens is still open whether LP-WUS is only configured on PCell/PSCell. But it is clear that when LP-WUS is detected all serving cells of the cell group wake-up and enter Active Time:  **Agreement**   * For the case when UE is configured with NR-DC with CA without dual DRX groups or without CA in RRC CONNECTED mode,   + LP-WUS can be configured on a serving cell per cell-group     - This does not imply that LP-WUS has to be configured on both cell groups   + LP-WUS indication is applicable to all serving cells in the cell-group     - Note: There is no impact to PDCCH monitoring behaviour related to SCell dormancy/activation/deactivation   + FFS whether to support this case as separate UE capability from CA case   + FFS UE capability for monitoring LP-WUS on one cell group or both cell groups * FFS: Whether the serving cell on which the LP-WUS is configured is only PCell/PSCell or can be RRC configured cell other than Pcell/PSCell |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

For NR-DC SCG, similar as the DCP monitoring, we understand the LP-WUS can only be configured to be monitored on the PSCell.

**Question 2: Do companies agree that in NR-DC SCG, the LP-WUS can only be configured to be monitored on the PSCell?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes | Same as for Q2 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

RAN1 has agreed that LP-WUS can only trigger PDCCH monitoring in the same cell group. We understand the LP-WUS operation would be per cell group and the LP-WUS in PCell of MCG and PSCell of SCG should be configured and to be monitored independently.

**Question 3: Do companies agree that the LP-WUS in PCell of MCG and PSCell of SCG should be configured and to be monitored independently?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Issue 2: LP-WUS support in MR-DC

**Question 4:** **For the following MR-DC scenarios, which scenario(s) companies would like to support LP-WUS?**

* **NE-DC**
* **EN-DC**
* **NGEN-DC**
* **All**
* **None**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **NE-DC/EN-DC/NGEN-DC/all/None?** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Comments on the CR

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** | **Rapporteur Feedback** |
| Ericsson | One minor comment/suggestion:  For DCP it says:  In MR-DC, for power saving purpose, the UE can be configured with DCP …  For LP-WUS it says:  In NR-DC, the UE can be configured with LP-WUS …  We could also consider, dependent on whether option 1-1 or 1-2 is configured:  In NR-DC, for power saving or latency purpose, the UE can be configured with LP-WUS … |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

Based on post-meeting email discussion, Rapporteur identify the following stage 3 open issues: