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***Start of change***

4.2.23.2 General Parameters

| **Definitions for parameters** | **Per** | **M** | **FDD-TDD**  **DIFF** | **FR1-FR2**  **DIFF** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***inactiveStateNCR-r18***  Indicates whether the NCR-MT supports RRC\_INACTIVE as specified in TS 38.331 [9]. | NCR-MT | No | No | No |
| ***supportedNumberOfDRBs-NCR-r18***  Indicates the number of DRB that NCR-MT supports. If absent, NCR-MT does not support DRB. If absent, NCR-MT also does not support SDU discard in PDCP and RLC, and counter check in RRC.  Value *n1* indicates support of 1 DRB, value *n16* indicates the support of 16 DRBs. | NCR-MT | No | No | No |

***End of change***